Redevelopment of an RTTT District Professional Development System 2013 Guidelines for Review and Approval

Completed by all RTTT districts and submitted by September 30, 2013 Send digital files to <u>ProfDevSystemEQ@fldoe.org</u>

Professional learning is the result of the individual's commitment to improvement. Each district supports that commitment through a research-based professional development system that meets the intent of statutes and regulations on professional development. The guidelines below are designed to assist RTTT districts with the submission of their redeveloped professional development systems that, at a minimum, address changes resulting from RTTT commitments. Please contact John Moore at john.moore@fldoe.org or 850-245-0546 if you need any assistance during the submission and review process.

Please complete the following and send this form with the documents you submit for review.

RTTT District Name: _Pinellas	Date Submitted: _9/30/13
Contact Person's Name:Lisa Grant	
Title/Position:Director, Professional Dev	elopment
Phone:727-588-6312	_E-mail: _grantli@pcsb.org

Instructions for Completing the Checklist

- 1. Submit this form with your district's redeveloped professional development system to <u>ProfDevSystemEQ@fldoe.org</u>.
- 2. To facilitate the review process, for each element of the listed RTTT Scope of Work issues, provide the page number(s) where that element is addressed in your documentation.
- 3. <u>Optional</u>: Under the section "Other," list any other substantial changes to your professional development system that are included for review and approval at this time, including a brief description and page number(s).

RTTT Scope of Work (SOW) issues impacting RTTT district professional development (PD) systems	Page number(s)
EVALUATION OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT (see MOU LEA Scope of Work p. 39, 40)	
<u>Revised PD Evaluation System</u> : A component of the district's PD system reflecting a revised process for evaluating the district's PD in accordance with protocol standards, the requirements of the Memorandum of Understanding, and as described in the grant.	42-51 Appendix O, R
<u>Personnel Evaluation Data</u> : The district will utilize data from teachers' and principals' evaluations to plan and evaluate professional development.	20-21, 22, 25, 29- 30, 34, 37, 39, 46, 50
<u>Impact on Practice</u> : The district will evaluate the effectiveness of PD based on changes in practice and student outcomes.	43-44
INSTRUCTIONAL IMPROVEMENTS (see MOU LEA Scope of Work p. 42)	
<u>Faculty Development</u> : Training administrators and other school leaders on methods of classroom observation, feedback and coaching for improvement, and using lesson study and related protocols to focus and support teacher work on improving instructional and assessment practices (aligns with Protocol 3.1.6).	40-41, 44
<u>Common Core State Standards Transition Support</u> : Teacher content knowledge with a focus on the CCSS (aligns with Protocol 1.2.2; 2.2.2; 3.2.2).	10-14, 16, 17 Appendix A, B
<u>Research-based Strategies</u> : Instructional strategies and methods for implementation of the Common Core State Standards (aligns with Protocol 1.2.2; 2.2.2; 3.2.2)	10-14 Appendix A, N, S
<u>Differentiated Instruction</u> : Methods, strategies and the conceptual background appropriate to differentiating instruction (aligns with Protocol 1.2.3; 2.2.3; 3.3.3)	14-19
Assessments and Lesson Studies: Use of formative assessment and the principles of lesson study to guide instruction [Ref. MOU criterion (B) (3)2.] (aligns with Protocol 1.2.1; 1.4.2; 1.4.4; 2.2.1; 3.2.1)	28, 32, 37 Appendix G
<u>Common Planning</u> : Effective use of common planning time to focus on teaching and learning improvements (aligns with Protocol 1.2.6; 2.2.6; 3.2.6)	14-19
ABOUT DATA (see MOU LEA Scope of Work p. 42)	
<u>Data Access</u> : A comprehensive plan to deliver professional development to teachers, principals and administrators on how to access local instructional improvement and state-level data systems for the purpose of improving instruction. [Ref. Section (C), Data Systems] (aligns with Protocol 1.2.5; 2.2.5; 3.2.5).	38
<u>Data Systems</u> : Teacher and principal use of data systems involving assessment information on student learning (aligns with Protocol 1.4.4; 2.4.4; 3.4.4).	14-21, 30, 34, 43- 44
<u>Data Guiding Growth Plans</u> : Methods for using student learning data to formulate targets for improvement in IPDP and ILDP (aligns with Protocol 1.1.3; 2.1.5).	15, 16, 20 Appendix K, L
SPECIAL PURPOSE PROGRAMS (see MOU LEA Scope of Work p. 42)	
<u>Beginning Teacher Support Progra</u> ms: Effective beginning teacher support programs based on evaluation data of student learning and teacher performance (aligns with Protocol 1.3.1; 1.3.2; 2.3.2; 3.3.2)	36, Appendix I
<u>High-Needs Students</u> : Instructional practices that target high-needs students (aligns with Protocol 1.2.3; 2.2.3; 3.3.3)	11-12, 25
<u>Low-Performing Schools</u> : If the district has schools in the 5 percent of persistently lowest-performing schools and is participating in the Leadership Academy, include the academy in the plan [Ref. Section (E), Struggling Schools]. (Mark "NA" if no qualifying schools)	Appendix C, D
ADDITIONAL DISTRICT SPECIFIC RTTT ISSUES – add if applicable	
Local Instructional Improvement System: Professional development on use of data (see MOU p. 13)	Appendix T
OPTIONAL: ANY OTHER PD POLICIES/PRACTICES INCLUDED FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL Provide a brief description and indicate page number(s):	Page number(s
1.	
2.	
3.	

The page numbers for each issue are listed in the chart above. In addition, an overview sheet is included providing a summary of each RTTT listed item.

Race To The Top SOW District Professional Development Systems PINELLAS COUNTY SCHOOLS Overview of Impact Issues

EVALUATION OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Revised PD Evaluation System

The district continues to revise the processes for effectively evaluating professional learning and will use the FLDOE upcoming Technical Assistance as a resource for continual improvement.

The system involves evaluation of participation, impact and use of data for planning. There is monthly monitoring of professional development participation (Sample, Appendix R). The Implementation Rubrics guides (Appendix C & D) monitor the implementation of professional learning. The assessment surveys are completed by individual participants and are used

At the individual level, achievement of Individual Professional Development Plan (IPDP) goals and teacher evaluation results provide data about individual learning and change (Appendix K, L).

Personnel Evaluation Data:

Teacher and administrator evaluation results are used to set professional development priorities. The draft professional development priority development process is illustrated in Appendix H. It will be revised based upon feedback and results of the first implementation cycle.

At the district level, teacher evaluation performance review results are used to identify specific teacher needs according to the rubric describing quality instruction in Pinellas County Schools. Specific professional learning "explicit slices" are then developed for teachers. The teacher evaluation results are also used in the developing the content of administrator trainings each month. These are analyzed with the calibration results and then used to continue to build the common language of instruction.

A similar process is used for administrator evaluation results. The performance review indicators are analyzed to identify the areas of focus and then professional development developed for implementation at monthly principal and monthly assistant principal trainings.

The pilot teacher evaluation is also providing formative assessment results as well as unit assessment results. Both will be used to identify specific teacher needs at the individual and school level.

At the school level, teacher performance review data, in conjunction with student performance data, is accessible and used by schools in the School Improvement Planning process.

At the individual educator level, individuals use evaluation results to identify areas of focus for the Individual Professional Development Plan (IPDP) and the Administrator Deliberate Practice.

Impact on Practice

At the district and school level, the Implementation Rubrics (Appendix C, D) provide data regarding the implementation of professional development initiative.

Individually, the IPDP review process and the observation-evaluation process provide evidence of change in practice.

INSTRUCTIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

Faculty Development

All administrators are initially trained on teacher observation and evaluation and must successfully complete evaluation certification prior to formally observing or evaluating instructional staff. The certification process includes an initial three hour overview, a six hour observation class and a two day live observation practice and certification process. Following certification, administrators receive training monthly at the Leadership, Area meeting and Assistant Principal trainings regarding specific indicators.

Every administrator completes calibration twice each year with results use to guide district and individual needs/improvements.

The pilot teacher evaluation system also includes initial training on the Marzano framework, and ongoing monthly coaching for both administrators and teachers.

Lesson study has been incorporated at the school level for schools in district or state oversight. In the 2013-2014 school year, all Leading the Learning school teams will be trained in Lesson Study and each school will develop a school based implementation plan.

Common Core State Standards Transition Support

A focus of teacher professional learning is building content knowledge in CCSS in order to improve instruction. The CCSS implementation plan (Appendix A) outlines the district plan for implementation of the CCSS. Specific content training is being provided to teachers through CCSS summer institutes (FLDOE and local) involving Core Connections ELA training and Julie Dixon Math training. These trainings are continuing throughout the school year, with sessions on multiple dates throughout the school year (Appendix S).

The focus of the content learning of the Leading the Learning Cadres is also understanding and implementation of CCSS (Appendix G). This LLC learning includes online CCSS modules. The district is working in partnership with ASCD to design and facilitate the ongoing LLC trainings.

Each content team has developed a professional development plan of all offerings for the school year (Appendix P). These offerings offer teachers additional "explicit slices" of professional development according to need and aligned to the School Improvement Plan and Individual Professional Development Plan (IPDP).

At the school level, each school has included implementation of CCSS as a component of the School Improvement Plan.

Research-based Strategies:

The training includes use of research-based instructional strategies. The component creation and approval process includes identification of the research base for the professional learning as well as the strategies to be used within the training to facilitate adult learning.

Differentiated Instruction

Formative assessment is the instructional focus of the Leading the Learning Cadre learning for the 2013-2014 school year.

In addition, the Pilot Teacher evaluation includes specific training in the development and use of formative assessment. Weekly formative assessments are part of the pilot system and the training. The formative assessment is then used to differentiate in planning and instruction.

The district has developed new instructional units as part of CCSS implementation and transition. These units include training on planning and the planning framework includes addressing differentiated instruction.

Assessments and Lesson Studies

Formative assessment is the instructional focus of the Leading the Learning Cadre learning for the 2013-2014 school year. As part of the Leading the Learning focus on adult learning strategies, each LLC will be trained in Lesson Study facilitation and will develop a Lesson Study implementation plan. Results of the Lesson Study process will be used as a learning tool in subsequent LLC sessions.

In addition, the Pilot Teacher evaluation includes specific training in the development and use of formative assessment. Weekly formative assessments are part of the pilot system and the training.

The district has developed new instructional units as part of CCSS implementation and transition. These units involve planning and will be used in the Lesson Study process.

Common Planning

Schools implement guided collaborative planning in order to support effective planning, differentiated instruction, and effective planning. The guided planning is supported by instructional coaches and administrators.

ABOUT DATA

Data Access

The division of Technical Instructional Services (TIS) provided training to all administrators on how to use the new Data Warehouse.

At the school level, School Based Leadership Teams (SBLTs) are supported in use of data by district MTSS coaches. The coaches also provide training in how to use data for teachers and administrators.

Data Systems

Each School Based Leadership Team (SBLT) is trained in how to use data to assess results and make decisions. The SBLTs at the school level are supported by district MTSS coaches that provide ongoing coaching and training.

The Pilot Teacher evaluation is designed to use data on a regular basis for planning and instructional decision.

In addition, the department of Assessment Accountability and Research (AA&R) has gained two additional positions specifically intentioned for training teachers in use of data.

Data Guiding Growth Plans

The Individual Professional Development Plan (IPDP) and Deliberate Practice processes involve use of data in the development of goals and professional learning needs. These are reviewed in a cycle of continual improvement.

During the 2013-2104 school year the Appraisal Advisory committee will continue redevelopment of the IPDP in conversion to Deliberate Practice. The committee includes administrators, instructional staff, Pinellas County Teachers Association (PCTA) and Professional Development representatives.

SPECIAL PURPOSE PROGRAMS

Beginning Teacher Support Programs

The Early Career program has been redesigned (Appendix I) and continues to be revised based upon feedback and results. The program involves a New Teacher Induction (NTI), site based mentors, New Teacher Cadres providing ongoing support, and full time mentors to support teachers in identified schools.

High-Needs Students

At the district level, the ESOL department and the Exceptional Student Education (ESE) department, including FDLRS, offer training to support the training of teachers working with English Language Learners and ESE teachers. The training includes specific strategies to work with ESOL students and ESE students.

Each school, as part of the School Improvement planning process, identifies a plan to support the needs of students not meeting expectations. This includes strategies to increase achievement of the specific needs of students in each school.

Each Title I school also develops a specific Title I plan for use of Title I funds to support the learning of students.

Low-Performing Schools

Schools identified in need of assistance participate in the FLDOE Differentiated Accountability (DA) Leadership Academy. These schools are also supported by the State DA team.

The Instructional Rubric guides (ISM) outline district support for schools (Appendix C, D). This support includes ongoing site visits, action plans, and instructional coaching and differentiated support according to need.

2013

Professional Development System

Pinellas County Public Schools

Our Mission: Educate and prepare each student for college, career, and life.

> Professional Development Department Pinellas County School Board 9/24/2013



Pinellas County School Board Largo, Florida

District Professional Development System 2013 - 2014

"Educate and prepare each student for college, career, and life."

Superintendent Michael A. Grego, Ed.D.

Professional Development Department

Director Lisa Grant, Ed.D.

Our Vision 100% Student Success

Our Mission

Educate and prepare each student for college, career, and life.

Our Values

Commitment to Children, Families, and Community; Respectful and Caring Relationships; Cultural Competence; Integrity; Responsibility; Connectedness

Professional Development System

Table of Contents

Title	Page
Preface	4
Introduction	6
Strategic Plan Overview	7
Professional Development System Overview	8
Policy 1: A Focus on College and Career Ready Students	11
Policy 2: The Priority Shift in Professional Development	14
Policy 3: The Purposes of the Professional Development System	19
Policy 4: The Capacities Employed in the Professional Development System	23
Policy 5: The Deliverables of the Professional Development System	28
Policy 6: The Evaluation Practices of the Professional Development System	42
Policy 7: The Role of the Master Inservice Plan (MIP)	52
Appendices	
Appendix A: CCSS District Implementation Plan	
Appendix B: CCSS Innovation Configuration	
Appendix C: Elementary ISM	
Appendix D: Secondary ISM	
Appendix E: LLC Principal Information Sheet and Application	
Appendix F: Professional Learning Community Innovation Configuration	
Appendix G: Professional Development System Impact Focus Chart	
Appendix H: Professional Development Priority Development Process	
Appendix I: Early Career Program	
Appendix J: Inquiry/Action Research Handbook	
Appendix K: Individual Professional Development Plan Sample	
Appendix L: Deliberate Practice	
Appendix M: Pilot Teacher Evaluation Training Plan	
Appendix N: ASCD Capacity Building System Research	
Appendix O: Leading the Learning Cadre Observation Tool	
Appendix P: Content Team Professional Development Plan	
Appendix Q: Master Inservice Plan (MIP)	
Appendix R: Professional Development Participation Report (sample)	
Appendix S: CCSS ELA Content Training Plan	
Appendix T: LIIS	
Appendix U: Instructional Coaching Cycle	

Preface

The Mission of Pinellas County Schools

Educate and prepare each student for college, career, and life.

In accomplishing this mission, we envision that students in the Pinellas County Public Schools will effectively:

- Read, write, compute, speak, listen, and use complex thinking skills to solve problems;
- Be self-directed in creating personal purpose and vision, setting priorities, choosing ethical action, and creating their own knowledge;
- Cooperate and collaborate with others in working with and leading groups; interact positively in diverse settings; recognize the value and contributions of all individuals; and make positive contributions to their communities;
- Understand and use social, organizational, and technological systems; design, monitor, improve and correct performance within a system; and create viable products.

Importance is placed on administrators and teachers collaboratively monitoring progress toward meeting Pinellas County Schools' high expectations for continued growth in the academic performance of all students and setting professional growth objectives for teachers related to their assigned students' achievement data, school improvement plan goals/objectives, and identified individualized and differentiated teacher needs. A significant emphasis is placed on content knowledge and the implementation of high yield instructional strategies that provide all students the opportunity to experience academic performance growth, encourage staff efficacy and collegiality, and encourage parents to support the learning processes that target these outcomes.

Student performance growth is dependent upon the implementation of a professional development system focused on improving student learning experiences, teacher content knowledge, effective use of high probability student engagement strategies. It requires careful planning, a collaborative effort by teachers and administrators, and the targeted integration of professional learning through activities that are relevant to the identified needs of Pinellas County's students, teachers and staff. Therefore, it is imperative that the design, continued development, and implementation of a professional learning system meet the diverse needs of Pinellas County's students and teachers. Continuous professional growth of staff and students requires a process that integrates strategies for school improvement, staff Evaluation, professional growth experiences, and specific student performance growth initiatives. From this perspective, focused and collaborative feedback loops between teachers and administrators are crucial. Individualized for each teacher and focused on improving student learning experiences and student engagement practices, this on-going professional dialogue occurs throughout the school year and the summer and is designed to create a differentiated teacher professional growth plan with the objective of improving professional practices and student achievement.

Therefore, the staff of the Professional Development Department [PD], in collaboration with the district Professional Development Advisory Council, and the Teaching and Learning Division, has developed a targeted system of professional learning for Pinellas County Schools that integrates all appropriate sub-systems and correlates directly to the improvement of student academic growth. The district's professional learning system correlates to the protocol requirements (i.e. planning, learning,

Professional Development System

implementing, and evaluating). The sub-systems integrated within Pinellas County's professional learning system are:

- The District Mission and Strategic Plan Goals
- The District Strategic Planning Process
- The School Improvement Planning Process (SIP)
- The Leadership Development Program
- District Master Inservice Plan (MIP)
- Teacher and Administrator Evaluation Systems

Each of these elements are interrelated and focused on improving student academic performance and growth through the continual support and development of each employee.

Introduction

 This document provides a summary of the Professional Learning System for Pinellas County Schools. As noted in the Preface, the "system" is comprised of several interrelated sub-systems; this narrative is intended to summarize the correlation between the sub-systems and the Professional Learning System. Each component of the larger system operates in conjunction with the others and directly relate to student performance. That is, teacher/administrator performance impacts student performance; engagement in meaningful professional learning experiences linked to identified student needs and school performance data, affects teacher/administrator performance positively; therefore, engagement by teachers/administrators in meaningful professional learning experiences linked to identified student needs and school performance data, positively affects student performance and academic growth. Each of the sub-systems contributes to the district's goal of enhanced student achievement. The description is organized to reflect the district's ongoing effort to align its professional development practices to the Florida Department of Education Professional Development System Evaluation Protocol Standards 2010-2014.

Strategic Plan

The 2013-2014 Strategic Plan focuses Pinellas County Public Schools efforts on one goal: one hundred percent student success. Directing all resources toward a common purpose will better position us to prepare our students for college, career and life in a globally competitive environment and marketplace. The Plan will serve as a living document that will daily guide our course of action along with the mission, vision and core values of the district. It will provide the driving force of the District. All decision-making, planning, resource allocation and other activities affecting the plan year and beyond shall support these goals.

Strategic Directions

1. Student Achievement

Broad area of focused efforts based on federal, state, student, and community requirements for academic excellence.

2. Learning in a Safe Environment

Broad area of focused efforts based on student, faculty, staff, and community requirements to learn in an orderly, safe, and secure environment.

3. Effective & Efficient Use of Resources

Broad area of focused efforts based on business, fiscal, operational, state and community requirements to manage all resources for increased student achievement.

Action Goals

- **Goal 1** Increase Student Achievement resulting in improvement in every school (A, B, C Grade) Learning gains, higher promotional (each level) and graduation rates.
- **Goal 2** Ensure curriculum, instruction, and assessment is designed and delivered with a focus on continuous improvement of student engagement and academic achievement.
- **Goal 3** Develop and sustain a healthy, respectful, caring, safe learning environment for students, faculty, staff, and community resulting in individual employee learning, student learning, and overall school improvement.
- **Goal 4** Develop and sustain effective and efficient use of all resources for improved student achievement and sustainability.
- **Goal 5** Provide quality technology and business services to optimize operations, communications, and academic results.

The District Professional Development System

The district Professional Development System was redeveloped over the last year with involvement and input from numerous cross functional groups. Continued refinement of the system will occur during the 2013-2014 school year with support from the Florida Department of Education Technical Assistance. Thereafter, review and revision of the system will take place through an annual improvement process.

The system is designed to serve the needs of individual educators, schools and the district and to align and integrate professional learning at all levels. It is a capacity building system intended to continually increase the ability of each part and each individual to facilitate the learning of self and other.

The purpose of the system is to support the continual and incremental growth of every employee with the intent of positively impacting student learning. The major components of system include professional learning support at the district, school and individual educator level. These are intended to operate integrally and fluidly top to bottom and bottom to top in order to responsive to system, school and individual needs. The system is based upon contemporary research regarding professional development and adult learning.

TEACHING AND ASSESSING FOR LEARNING: A Capacity-Building Professional Development System





Professional Development System

Core Policies and Associated Practices

- 1. A Focus on College and Career Ready Students
- 2. The Priority Shifts in Professional Development
- 3. The Purposes of the Professional Development System
- 4. The Capacities Employed in the Professional Development System
- 5. The Deliverables of the Professional Development System
- 6. The Evaluation Practices of the Professional Development System
- 7. The Role of the Master Inservice Plan (MIP)

Associated Practice	Primary Responsibilit	v
		y
Associated Practice 1.1 Understanding the Stan Framework Implementation Schedule: Initi EDUCATOR Instructional Coach support Professional Learning Community (PLC) participation and collaboration Use of Curriculum Guide during collaborative planning Inquiry/Action Research program designed to bu	School-based Administ Educator ated by September 30, 2013, and a rect SCHOOL • Leading the Learning Cadres • School-based Profession Learning days focused of understanding and implementing CCSS in alignment with the Scho Improvement Plan • School level implementation of	A Learning, Professional Development A Learning, Professional Development A Learning, Professional Development A Learning annual process thereafter DISTRICT
 program designed to buindividual capacity to stand inform practice and collaborate with colleag Site-based Professional Learning focused on CC and content knowledge 	identify school patterns to and identify needed ues professional learning and support	of CCSS and consistent implementation d Summer Content Institutes focused on building teacher content knowledge of CCSS on Elementary and Secondary

		 integrating the CCSS, with training provided on use of the guides Development and implementation of Implementation Rubrics to identify district patterns and identify needed professional learning and support Monthly Principal and monthly Assistant Principal trainings focused on understanding and implementing CCSS to build knowledge and instructional leadership DA Leadership Academy
Associated Practice	Primary Responsibility	
1.2 Use of Course Requirements Implementation Schedule: Initiated b	School-based Administr Educator	earning, Professional Development ators, Teacher Leaders, Individual ring annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
 Individual instructional coach support Participation in Lesson Study to improve lesson planning and instruction Participation in Professional Learning Community Participation in content based professional learning focused on the CCSS Summer Julie Dixon Math Institute Core Connections English Language Arts training Secondary content trainings 	 School-based implementation of Implementation Rubrics to identify school patterns and needed professional learning and support School-based implementation of curriculum guides with Implementation Rubrics providing evidence to inform progress and needs DA Leadership Academy required for identified schools 	 the guides Integration of CPALMS resources into all Curriculum Guides Development and implementation of Implementation Rubrics to identify district patterns

1.3Alignment, Connections, and RelationshipsDivision of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development1.3Alignment, Connections, and RelationshipsDivision of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development1.3Alignment, Connections, and RelationshipsDivision of Teaching & Learning, Professional DevelopmentImplementation Schedule: By September 30, 2013, a recurring process initiated at the start of the 2013-2014Implementation Schedule: By September 30, 2013, a recurring process initiated at the start of the 2013-2014EDUCATORSCHOOL•Guided collaborative planning sessions•Professional Learning Community participation•Leading the Learning Cadres leading the plan for school level professional learning and CCSS implementation•Early Career Program offering support to new teachers: ••New Teacher Institute (NTI) with content•New Teacher Institute (NTI) with content	Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
for individuals teachingmeasure CCSSCCSS and onwithin specific programs or curriculumimplementation and revise professional learning initiatives and needsalignment of initiatives, effortsoAchieve 3000initiatives and needsooAgile MindCarnegie Learning oCarnegie Learning ongoing sessionsooEdgenuityEdgenuityProfessional development focus process identifying and communicating the	 1.3 Alignment, Connections, an Relationships Implementation Schedule: By Septer school year EDUCATOR Guided collaborative planning sessions Professional Learning Community participation Lesson Study Participation Individual Professional Development Plan Early Career Program offering support to new teachers: New Teacher Institute (NTI) with content specific focus New Teacher Cadres providing ongoing support for content and planning Specific program based professional development for individuals teaching within specific programs or curriculum Achieve 3000 Agile Mind Carnegie Learning Edgenuity Lighthouse Guidance NovaNet/Course Recovery 	ember 30, 2 SCHOOL • G • L • C • S • I • C • S • S • S • S • S • S • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C • C	Division of Teaching & Lea School-based Administrate Educator 013, a recurring process init Guided collaborative blanning sessions eading the Learning Cadres leading the plan for chool level professional earning and CCSS mplementation iteracy Leadership Teams acilitating implementation of ELA standards icchool level mplementation of Lesson itudy icchool Improvement Plan levelopment and review process, including midyear eview and revisions Use of CCSS Innovation Configuration (IC) to neasure CCSS mplementation and revise professional learning	 brs, Teacher Leaders, Individual tiated at the start of the 2013-2014 DISTRICT District Strategic Planning process and ongoing review and monitoring of action plans District accreditation process through AdvancEd Redeveloped system including a Community of Practice across administration departments and school level Leading the Learning Cadres Monthly Community of Practice meetings focused on understanding and supporting implementation of the CCSS and on alignment of initiatives, efforts Leading the Learning Cadre sessions 	
	o V-Math			 the area of focus for the professional development system components: Leading the Learning Cadres Instructional Coaches Teaching & Learning Content teams Content partners 	

RTTT Scope of Work: 1.1, 1.2. 1.3, 1.4, 12.3, 12.4, 12.5, 12.6, 12.7, 12.8, 12.9 Feedback and collaborative support Support Early Career Program including New Teacher Institute (NTI) , New Teacher Cadres and mentor program Monthly Principal and monthly Assistant Principal trainings focused on understanding and implementing CCSS Specific program based professional development for individuals teaching within specific programs or curriculum o Achieve 3000 Agile Mind Carnegie Learning Edgenuity Uighthouse Guidance NovaNet/Course Recovery a Read 180 ST Math v V-Math

Assoc	iated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
A3300					
2.1 Imple	School-based Focus mentation Schedule: Initiated b ATOR Individual Professional Development Plan development, implementation and review process Teacher leader opportunities to facilitate site-based professional learning: o Leading the Learning Cadre members o Team Leaders and Department Heads o Mentors o High School professional development	SCHOOL • L CC s a le fr fr CC • L ir ir ir ir ir ir ir ir ir ir	School-based Administrat Educator	 DISTRICT Leading the Learning Cadrel ongoing sessions designed to build school capacity and deliver ongoing professional development and implementation support at the school and classroom level Development and implementation of Professional Learning Community (PLC) Innovation Configuration (IC) to define quality PLCs Districtwide professional learning days conducted at individual schools, with district support, and 	
•	 facilitators Clinical Ed Intern Supervision Early Career Program Site-based mentor for new teachers Instructional Coach support Professional Learning Community with use of PLC Innovation Configuration to self- evaluate learning 	• Ir P C Ir (I	mprovement Plan mplementation of rofessional Learning community (PLC) nnovation Configuration IC) to inform evelopment of PLC's ffectiveness	 district support, and facilitated by school leaders and teachers Instructional Coach model Early Career Program: Full-time mentors in identified schools Site-based mentor program 	
Assoc	iated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
2.2	Emphasis on Development		Division of Teaching & Lea Assessment Accountabilit	arning, Professional Development, ty & Research	
			School-based Administrat Educator	tors, Teacher Leaders, Individual	

DUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
 Formal and informal observation and evaluation process with ongoing feedback Including use of formative feedback tool Pilot teacher evaluation with ongoing (3 times/month) support sessions Including use of iObservation for feedback Individual Professional Development Plan and Deliberate Practice development and review process Professional Learning Communities with use of PLC IC to monitor effectiveness Instructional coaches providing individual and ongoing support and job embedded learning Inquiry/Action Research program designed to build individual capacity to study and inform practice and to collaborate with colleagues and lead by teacher leaders 	 Professional Learning Communities (PLC) schedule with use of PLC Innovation Configuration to monitor Leading the Learning Cadres composed of school-based administrator and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learning and CCSS implementation School-based professional learning days planned to support School Improvement Plan and CCSS implementation 	 Monthly Principal and monthly Assistant Princip trainings focused on understanding and implementing CCSS Early Career Program: New Teacher Cadres providing ongoing support for planning and content knowledge Instructional Coach mod Inquiry/Action Research program Content team Profession Development plans focused on understandir and implementing the CCSS describing planned professional developmen for the school year District Professional Learning days focused on building teacher content knowledge and implementation of CCSS Development and implementation of professional learning Partnership with USF SP allowing selected teacher to earn a Masters in Mat Science
ssociated Practice	Primary Responsibility	
3 Standards-based Focus	Assessment Accountabil	earning, Professional Development, ity & Research ators, Teacher Leaders, Individual

EDUCATOR

- Guided collaborative planning sessions with instructional coach and/or colleagues support
- Professional Learning Community participation
- Lesson Study Participation
- Individual Professional
 Development Plan
- Early Career Program offering support to new teachers:
 - New Teacher Institute (NTI) with content specific sessions
 - New Teacher Cadres providing ongoing support for content and planning

SCHOOL

- Guided collaborative planning with instructional coach and/or colleagues support
- Leading the Learning Cadres composed of school-based administrator and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learning and CCSS implementation
- Literacy Leadership Teams
- Implementation of Lesson
 Study
- School Improvement Plan development and review process, including midyear review and revisions
- Use of CCSS Innovation Configuration (IC) to measure CCSS implementation and revise professional learning initiatives and feedback

DISTRICT

- District Strategic Planning process and ongoing review
- District accreditation process through AdvancEd
- Redeveloped system including a Community of Practice across administration departments and school level Leading the Learning Cadres
 - Monthly Community of Practice meetings focused on understanding and supporting implementation of the CCSS and on alignment of initiatives, efforts
 - Leading the Learning Cadre sessions with ongoing sessions
- CCSS implementation plan
- Development and implementation of CCSS Innovation Configuration (IC)
- Lesson Study training provided to all Leading the Learning Cadres
- School Improvement Plan (SIP) review process
- Early Career Program including New Teacher Institute (NTI) and New Teacher Cadres
- Monthly Principal and monthly Assistant Principal trainings focused on understanding and implementing CCSS

Associ	ated Practice		Primary Responsibility			
2.4	Professional Learning Culture		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual Educator 013, a recurring process initiated at the start of the 2013-14			
school	year	nber 30, 20	13, a recurring process init	DISTRICT		
•	Participation in Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to	L	lse of Professional earning Community (PLC) nnovation Configuration	 District Community of Practice monthly trainings Development and 		
 identify needs Formal and informal observation and feedback process to support continual improvement Instructional staff use of the IPDP process for continual professional growth in intentional areas Administrative staff use of the Deliberate Practice process for continual professional growth in intentional areas 		 (IC) Schedule of regular PLC sessions for all instructional staff Individual Professional Development Plan (IPDP) development and review process Use of Implementation Rubric process for identification of school patterns and needs for school-based professional learning 		 implementation of Professional Learning Community (PLC) Innovation Configuration (IC) for use districtwide Conversion of IPDP to Deliberate Practice by the Appraisal Advisory Committee Administrator Deliberate Practice process including feedback from Area Superintendent (principals) or Principal (Assistant Principals) 		
Associated Practice			Primary Responsibility			
2.5 Master Inservice Plan (MIP) Priorities		riorities	Professional Deve	lopment ninistrator, Pro Ed Facilitator		
Impler school		nber 30, 20		iated at the start of the 2013-14		
EDUCATOR SCHOO		SCHOOL		DISTRICT		
 School-based professional learning offerings focused on school and individual need and included within the MIP and including Professional Learning Communities, Lesson Study, and site specific professional development components 		 Site-based Pro Ed Facilitators coordinate building level professional learning in alignment with MIP, including offering Professional Learning Community, Lesson Study, Collaborative Planning and site specific professional development components 		 District professional development priority development process Annual development of Master Inservice Plan (MIP) Revision of component development and approval process Coordination and support 		

	of site-based professional development through the Pro Ed Facilitators • Moodle LMS professional learning system managing the components approved for inclusion in the MIP
RTTT:	

Associ	iated Practice		Primary Responsibili	ity
3.1	Professional Responsibility – Underst Purposes of Professional Developme mentation Schedule: Initiated by Septe	nt	Development, Area S Information Services School-based Admin Individual Educator	istrators, Teacher Leaders,
EDUC	ATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
•	Individual Professional Development Plan development, implementation and review process Individual Administrator Deliberate Practice development, implementation and review process Formal and informal observation and evaluation process with ongoing feedback • Including use of formative feedback tool Pilot teacher evaluation with ongoing (3 times/month) support sessions • Including use of iObservation for feedback	Fac bu pro ide Im dif sch sch sch	e-based Pro Ed cilitators coordinate ilding level ofessional learning as entified in School provement Plan and ferentiated for nool need onthly review of nool professional velopment rticipation data	 Monthly Professional Development newsletter (Chalk Talk) "It's Elementary" "Middle School Notes" and "High School Notes" weekly curriculum newsletters Monthly Teacher Appraisal Update for instructional staff and for administrators Monthly Principal professional learning Monthly Assistant Principal and Learning Specialist professional learning Monthly Community of Practice sessions Leading the Learning Cadres (LLCs) Monthly review of district professional development participation data School Improvement Plan review process

Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning needsCadres composed of school-based administrator and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learning and review processPractice trainings to facilitate effect SBLTsIndividual Administrator Deliberate Practice development, implementation and review processPriority developm professional learning and CCSS implementationPriority developm process for using student and teach and CCSS implementation• New Teacher CadresSchool-based school-based and needsPriority developm process for using and CCSS implementation• Common Planning/Master Scheduling training forArea MTSS Coach support to school continually developm	Associated Practice		Primary Responsil	bility
Implementation Schedule: Initiated by September 30, 2013, and a recurring annual process thereafterEDUCATOR• Participation in Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning needs• Leading the Learning Cadres composed of school-based administrator and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learning and CCSS implementation o New Teacher CadresDistrict MTSS trait to facilitate effect SBLTs• Individual Administrator Deliberate Practice development, implementation and review process • Early Career program: o New Teacher Cadres• School-based Leadership Teams • Common Planning/Master School-based Leadership Teams • School-based Leadership Teams • School-based	3.2 Learning Organizations		Development, Are Services	a Superintendents, Student
EDUCATORSCHOOLDISTRICT• Participation in Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning needs• Leading the Learning 				
 Participation in Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning needs Individual Professional Development Plan development, implementation and review process Individual Administrator Deliberate Practice development, implementation and review process Early Career program: o New Teacher Cadres Common Planning/Master School-based Leadership Teams School-based Leadership Teams Salt Ts) problem solving process used to identify staff needs and supported by district MTSS Coaches Area MTSC Coaches 	Implementation Schedule: Initiated by Septem	nber 30, 2013,	and a recurring ani	nual process thereafter
Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning needsCadres composed of school-based administrator and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level practice development, implementation and review processPractice development professional learning and CCSS implementation and review processPractice development teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learning and CCSS implementation school-based Leadership TeamsPriority developm process for using student and teach data to identify at monitor district professional learn and needs• New Teacher Cadres• Common Planning/Master School-based Leadership Teams (SBLTs) problem solving process used to identify staff needs and supported by district MTSS Coaches• Area MTSS Coach sbased SBLTsAssociated PracticePrimary Responsibility3.3 Allocating ResponsibilitiesDivision of Teaching & Learning, Professional	EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
3.3 Allocating Responsibilities Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional	 Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning needs Individual Professional Development Plan development, implementation and review process Individual Administrator Deliberate Practice development, implementation and review process Early Career program: New Teacher Cadres 	Cadre schoo admin teach leadir schoo profe and C imple Schoo Leade Comr Plann Schoo buildi Schoo Leade (SBLT solvir ident and s	es composed of ol-based nistrator and her leaders ng the plan for ol level essional learning CCSS ementation ol-based ership Teams non hing/Master duling training for ing leadership ol-based ership Teams 's) problem ng process used to ify staff needs upported by ct MTSS Coaches	 District MTSS training to facilitate effective SBLTs Priority development process for using student and teacher data to identify and monitor district professional learning and needs Area MTSS Coach support to schools to continually develop the effectiveness of school based SBLTs
	Associated Practice		Primary Responsil	onity
School-based Administrators, Teacher Leader Individual Educator	3.3 Allocating Responsibilities		Development, Are School-based Adm	a Superintendents iinistrators, Teacher Leaders,

EDUC	ATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
•	 Teacher leaders opportunities: Clinical Ed training and intern supervision Mentor program Individual mentor Lead mentor High School professional development leader opportunity Team leader and Department Chair teacher leaders Leading the Learning Cadre teacher members Inquiry/Action Research facilitation Participation in Professional Learning Communities (PLC) with use of the PLC IC to assess effectiveness and learning Inquiry/Action Research program designed to build individual capacity to study and inform practice and to collaborate with colleagues, lead by teacher leaders	 School level informal observation and formative feedback systems Leading the Learning Cadres composed of school-based administrator and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learning and CCSS implementation School-based Leadership Team (SBLT), Literacy Team, Department Heads/Team Leader school-based structures allowing for teacher leader opportunity and distribution of professional learning input and responsibilities 	 District Strategic Plan and planning and review process Community of Practice- Leading the Learning Cadre training to build capacity in facilitating professional learning at all levels of the system and across all professional learning initiatives Development and use of Implementation Rubric guides to monitor and inform change in teacher practice and identify district patterns and needs Development and use of Monitoring Matrix to inform implementation progress and district patterns and needs
Assoc	iated Practice	Primary Responsi	bility
3.3 Imple	Actual Improvement Related to Purpo	Development, Area Su School-based Adminis Individual Educator	strators, Teacher Leaders,
schoo	lyear		
EDUC	ATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
•	Formal and informal observation and evaluation process Individual Professional Development Plan (IPDP) development and review process Individual use of PLC Innovation Configuration to assess individual learning and contribution to PLC process	 Use of CCSS Innovation Configuration (IC) to assess progress and needs Use of PLC IC to continually improve PLCs and assess needs Use of Implementation Guide and Monitoring 	 CCSS Innovation Configuration (IC) Professional Learning Community Innovation Configuration (IC) Development and use of Implementation Guides and Monitoring Matrix coupled with

 Inquiry/Action Research program designed to build individual capacity and including required steps of measuring and evaluating changes in practice and changes in student learning 	 Matrix feedback and resulting action steps Monthly review of professional development participation data School Improvement Planning process and midyear review process 	 regularly scheduled school visits and feedback Profession Learning priority development and review process in collaboration with PD Advisory Council, schools, Teaching and Learning and Professional Development using student and teacher data Monthly review of professional development participation data Strategic plan monitoring and action plan reporting process
--	---	---

Associated Practice	Primary Responsibil	lity
Associated Practice 4.1 Capacity Management mplementation Schedule: Initiated DUCATOR Instructional staff facilitation of Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) Instructional staff facilitation of Lesson Study Teacher leadership roles within the school Clinical Ed training and intern supervision Clinical Ed training and intern supervision Mentor program Individual mentor Lead mentor High School professional development leader opportunity	Area Superintenden School-based Admir Coaches, Individual	lity & Learning, Professional Development, its, Assessment Accountability & Research histrators, Teacher Leaders, Instructional Educator ecurring annual process thereafter DISTRICT • PDS Plan including Leading the Learning Cadre to build school-based capacity • Lesson Study training for each Leading the Learning Cadre d/or • Partnership with external organizations to build professional learning capacity and content knowledge • ASCD partnership onal • Core Connections English Language Arts
 Team leader and Department Chair teacher leaders Leading the Learning Cadre teacher members Inquiry/Action Research facilitation Inquiry/Action Research projects and teacher leadership in facilitation of the process with colleagues 		 Early Career Program: Mentor program tiered support Full-time mentors in identified schools Revisions and implementation of district Leadership program RTTT Leadership grants Florida Turnaround Leaders Program (FTLP) Gulf Coast Partnership (GCP) FADSS/Gates Foundation partnership Development and implementation of PLC Innovation Configuration Districtwide professional

Associated Practice 4.2 Focusing Capacities on Priority Targets	 program Aspiring Leader annual program ESOL training offered continually throughout school year, including ESOL certification and ESOL specific topics. ESE training offered continually throughout the year including specific ESE strategies and training for specific ESE populations Primary Responsibility Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research
	 learning days twice per year Instructional coaching model Professional learning focused on CCSS and content knowledge Summer institutes Content professional learning plan Monthly professional learning for administrators focused on instructional leadership Monthly Principal trainings Monthly Area principal trainings Monthly Assistant Principal certification program A Prep Aspiring Assistant Principal

EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT		
 Individual Professional Development Plan (IPD and Administrator Deliberate Practice Assessment writing training and participati in assessment development Pilot Teacher Evaluatio Participation in uni development and assessment writing 	 Leading the Learning Cadres focused on CCSS implementation and identified district and school needs School-based capacit building to create differentiation Literacy Leadership team 	 District Strategic Planning process District Pilot Teacher Evaluation system with unit development (five schools) including unit development and ongoing support PD System with Leading the Learning Cadres focused on identified needs CCSS implementation Facilitation of adult learning, including Lesson Study Formative assessmen as identified through teacher input and evaluation data Early Career Program Mentor program for new teachers Instructional Practices Inventory (IPI) to assess and monitor student engagement District Strategic Planning process 		
Associated Practice	Primary Responsibility			
4.3 Restructuring and Reor Capacity Development	Area Superintendents,	Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual		
Implementation Schedule: By	Educator	Educator 013, a recurring process initiated at the start of the 2013-14		
school year				
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT		
 Teacher leader opportunities designed develop capacity for professional learning Clinical Ed training intern supervision Mentor program Individual men 	school-based administrat and teacher leaders leading the plan for school level professional learnin designed to build capacit	 responsibilities District Improvement and Assistance Plan describing district alignment and 		

 High School 	School-based Leadership	build capacity
professional	Team (SBLT)	• FADSS and Gates
development leader	implementation of	Foundation
opportunity	problem solving process to	Empowering Effective
• Team leader and	allocate responsibilities	Teachers grant
Department Chair	and resources according to	• USF Tampa Leadership
teacher leaders	identified need	development
 Leading the Learning 	 School Improvement Plan 	partnership grant
Cadre teacher	• School improvement han	• St Petersburg College
members		partnership
 Inquiry/Action 		developing clinical ed
Research facilitation		training and intern
Research racintation		processes and support
		 USF St Petersburg
		partnership including,
		Science Math Masters
		partnership, clinical
		education and intern
		support processes,
		leadership
		development program
		partnership, Teacher
		Leader Institute
		collaboration
		Community of Practice
		monthly trainings to build
		common language of CCSS
		implementation and
		instruction along with a
		focus on adult learning
		skills in order to build
		district and building
		capacity for professional
		learning
		 Development and
		implementation of
		professional development
		program for professional
		development facilitators
		District Support Plan
		describing school support
		for schools differentiated
		by school need
		MTSS Area Support
		members focused on
		supporting schools
		successful School-based
		Leadership Teams (SBLTs)
DTTT		
RTTT:		

Policy	5: The Deliverables of the Pro	ofessional [Development System	
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
5.1 Imple	5.1 Improvement Planning Practices implemented primarily at the school level Implementation Schedule: Initiated by Septem		Area Superintendents, Ass School-based Administrate	
EDUC	ATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
		P r n o r t N i i p d e E i i a t f f	chool Improvement Planning and district eview process, including beeds assessment and ongoing monitoring and eview using student and eacher data Monthly review of nstructional staff professional development lata Evaluation process including ongoing formal and informal feedback to eachers regarding performance Pilot Teacher Evaluation including use of formative ssessment and ongoing ormal and informal eedback regarding specific spects of instruction	
Associated Practice			Primary Responsibility	
5.2	2 Building a Professional Learning Culture Practices implemented primarily at the school level		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual Educator	
Imple	mentation Schedule: Initiated	by Septem	ber 30, 2013, and a recurrir	ng annual process thereafter
EDUC	ATOR	P C II (1	mplementation of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) Innovation Configuration IC) to support and monitor PLCs esson Study	DISTRICT

Associated Practice 5.3 Aligning Deliverables with Po	o C ii Ii s Ii	mplementation with use of Lesson Study Innovation Configuration for continual mprovement nquiry/Action Research upported by building level nquiry facilitator Primary Responsibility Division of Teaching & Lea	arning, Professional Development,
and Purposes Practices implemented at sch district levels Implementation Schedule: By Septer		School-based Administrate Educator	sessment Accountability & Research ors, Teacher Leaders, Individual
school year	11001 30, 2	ors, a recurring process init	
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
	n p S (: • S	Pro Ed Facilitator to manage school-based professional development plan as identified in the school Improvement Plan SIP) school Improvement Planning monitoring process	 Pro Ed Facilitator system and support to structure and support school-based professional learning District component approval process Use of Implementation Rubric guides and Monitoring Matrix to monitor implementation of professional learning in practice Monthly review of Professional Development data to monitor attendance and need Alignment of District PD System, CCSS implementation Professional Development priority development and review process used to monitor and align initiatives, including data analysis across time of student data and teacher evaluation results Regularly scheduled cross- departmental meetings with the purpose of monitoring initiatives and aligning work and efforts

	-			 Instructional Coach model of support including monthly Coach trainings
Associated	d Practice		Primary Responsibility	
De Pr dis Implemen school yea EDUCATO	ey Personnel in Professiona evelopment: Distributive Le ractices implemented at sch strict levels ntation Schedule: By Septe ar	eadership nool and mber 30, 2 SCHOOL • L R d d f f s • In t l e a p • S t t b ((S t t b) ((S t t) b) ((S t) b) ((S t) b) ((S t) b) ((S t) b) ((S t) b) ((S t) c) ((S t) ((S t) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t))) ((S t)))) ((S t)))) ((S t)))) ((S t))))) ((S t)))) ((S t))))) ((S t))))) ((S t))))) ((S t))))) ((S t)))) ((S t))))) ((S t))))) ((S t))))))) ((S t))))))))))	Area Superintendents School-based Administrate	arning, Professional Development, ors, Teacher Leaders tiated at the start of the 2013-14 DISTRICT
		s s le f ir S	ite-based facilitation of chool-based professional earning days Development and mplementation of Lesson tudy and Inquiry/Action Research	 School improvement han review process to identify school-based professional development focus and needs Annual teacher evaluation rubric revision process involving school-based leaders and instructional staff members
	Appraisal Advisory			
--	---			
	Committee meeting			
	regularly and providing			
	input into the professional			
	learning needed to support			
	teacher practice and			
	implementation of the			
	teacher evaluation system			
	Administrator Appraisal			
	Advisory Committee with			
	representation from			
	district, assistant principal,			
	principal, and instructional			
	staff providing input into			
	professional learning			
	needed to support			
	administrator practice and			
	implementation of the			
	administrator evaluation			
	system			
	Leadership Redevelopment			
	Committee with			
	representation from school			
	administrators, district			
	administrators, university			
	partners, and business			
	partners providing input			
	and guidance on revisions			
	to the Leadership			
	Development program			
	 Lesson Study and 			
	Inquiry/Action Research			
	programs providing			
	support to schools in			
	implementation of these			
	collaborative practices			
	Revision of Training			
	Assessment surveys to			
	improve feedback on			
	individual professional			
	learning offerings			
	 Algebra Network and 			
	Algebra Network and Biology Network to			
	facilitate teacher			
	leadership			
	 Monthly Department Head 			
	Trainings with Content			
	Supervisors to build			
	teacher leader capacity			
	 Development and 			

5.5 Research-based Professional Development Models Practices implemented at school and district levels		Primary Responsibility Division of Teaching & Lea	implementation of professional learning program for professional development facilitators
		Area Superintendents School-based Administrate Leaders, Individual Educat	ors, Instructional Coaches, Teacher or
Implementation Schedule: By Septer	mber 30, 2	013, a recurring process init	tiated at the start of the 2013-14
school year	1		
EDUCATOR	 S tr a ic p Ir sr c d U C IC ir 	eading the Learning Cadre chool-based Leadership eams providing data nalysis, need dentification and action lanning nstructional Coach upport for mplementation of CCSS ontent based professional levelopment Use of PLC Innovation Configuration (IC) and CCSS C to support mplementation of ffective PLCs and mplementation of the CCSS	 Development and implementation of a district PD system model based upon current professional development research and in partnership with ASCD as a collaborative resource Including blended learning, multiple sessions and follow up implementation work CCSS implementation plan, supported by the district PD system and including building teacher content knowledge through repeated sessions and review of student work with external professional development providers (Core Connections, Julie Dixon) Development and implementation of a research based Teacher and Administrator Evaluation system with supporting professional development Development and implementation of district PLC IC and CCSS IC to guide school level implementation

			 District Lesson Study professional learning and implementation plan District PD System plan including Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre Instructional Coach model
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility	
5.6 Priority Areas for Profession Learning Deliverables Practices implemented at sci district levels Implementation Schedule: Initiated	hool and	Area Superintendents, Ass School-based Administrate Educator	rning, Professional Development, essment Accountability & Research ors, Teacher Leaders, Individual g annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
	P id p c T p fr P fr d n c o p ir	chool Improvement Planning process including dentification of professional learning needs of SIP midyear review process reacher evaluation process reacher evaluation process reviding ongoing eedback to teachers egarding their practice vilot Teacher evaluation occused on creating a levelopmental evaluation nodel with specific and ontinual feedback and ongoing support in planning, high yield nstructional strategies and he CCSS	 Content professional learning plans District PD System including Leading the Learning Cadres with a focus on understanding CCSS and an implementation goal of every teacher, every classroom and adult learning facilitation to build capacity Priority development process using student and teacher data to identify district professional learning priorities and including mid-year monitoring Revision and conversion from IPDP process to Deliberate Practice lead by the Appraisal Advisory Committee, for implementation 2013-2014 school year Pilot teacher evaluation including ongoing training in instructional strategies and standards based lesson planning

			 Component approval process revised to ensure focus on district priorities, including CCSS 	
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
5.7 Leadership Development Practices implemented at school and district levels Implementation Schedule: Initiated by Septem		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual Educator mber 30, 2013, and a recurring annual process thereafter		
EDUCATOR	Li (F • A p fe S P A • Li	eadership Professional earning Communities PLCs) administrator evaluation process with ongoing eedback from Area uperintendent to principals and principal to assistant Principals eadership grant nternships	 Leadership development program including Level 2 Principal certification program Aspiring Assistant Principal program Aspiring Leader program Summer Bridge Administrative Internship program for aspiring Assistant Principals Leadership U individual leadership development program Leadership grants and partnerships Florida Turnaround Leaders Program (FTLP) for secondary leadership development Gulf Coast Partnership (GCP) program for level 1 and level 2 leadership development District Leadership redevelopment District Leadership redevelopment Teacher Evaluation Certification program 	

		 mandatory for all administrators Teacher Evaluation calibration program, analysis, with intentional professional learning FASA partnership providing administrator professional learning and FASA membership Monthly Principal program and monthly Assistant Principal program
Associated Practice	Primary Responsibility	y .
 5.8 Educator Preparation Progra Practices implemented at sch district levels Implementation Schedule: Initiated 	nool and School-based Adminis	nent, Division of Teaching and Learning strators, Site-based Mentors urring annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
 Early Career support Site-based mentor providing ongoing support Instructional coach support Participation in New Teacher Cadre Professional Learning Community (PLC) Instructional Coach support 	 Site-based Early Career support Site-based new teacher trainings and support Site-based mentor support Teacher evaluation observation and feedbac process including 35 day observation and 60 day new teacher formative evaluation 	 program New teacher site- based mentor support New Teacher Cadres providing ongoing

Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility			
Practices imple district levels	Practices implemented at school and district levels		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual Educator ember 30, 2013, and a recurring annual process thereafter		
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT		
	 Find the second secon	Jse of Implementation Rubric Guide process to analyze need and resulting in action plan development implementation of a chool-based process for ongoing data review and lecision making o School-based "data chats" o School-based Leadership team use of data and support of teacher use of data Pilot teacher evaluation with specific professional levelopment focused on high yield instructional trategies, standards based esson planning and use of ormative assessment	 Pilot teacher evaluation Professional development in formative assessment and standards based planning for district leadership, building leadership and teachers District professional learning priority development process and ongoing review using student and teacher data to drive decision making Monthly review of district professional development data Development and use of Implementation Rubric guides to review and provide feedback to schools Area MTSS Coach support to facilitate School-based Leadership Team (SBLT) use of data to support school improvement Summer Data boot camps in how to use data to make decisions Data "warehouse" training for administrators in use o new software system to support use of data 		

Associ	Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
Practices implemented at school and district levels		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, TIS School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual Educator			
-	mentation Schedule: Initiated		ber 50, 2015, and a recurrin		
EDUCA		 SCHOOL Leading the Learning Cadremember blended learning model with online courses Moodle sites to provide resources, follow up and support ongoing implementation of professional learning 		 DISTRICT District PD System blending learning model including online modules focused on building CCSS knowledge and a common language of instruction for Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre members Moodle: Moodle site linked to each course in Moodle LMS system providing online follow up and resources to support professional learning courses Early Career Program Online orientation and resource center Online ESOL and Reading endorsement training Online Clinical Education training CCW online courses for individual learning needs 	
Associ	iated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
5.11	Compliance with Statutes an Practices implemented at sci district levels	hool and	Area Superintendents, Ass Research School-based Administrate		
-	mentation Schedule: Initiated		bei 30, 2013, and a recurrin		
EDUC	ATOR	fr n li	dministrator responsible or implementation and nonitoring of evaluation, ndividual Professional Development Plans, school	 FLDOE protocol review and improvement process Approved Teacher/Non- Classroom Instructional and Administrator 	

	p P	professional develog plan, School Improve Plan alignment and processes		 evaluation systems Approved Leadership Development program Annual Master Inservice Plan submission District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP) Clinical supervision program
Associated Practice	I	Primar	y Responsibility	
5.12 Deliverables Aligned with Assessment Practices implemented pr the district level	imarily at	Area Su Project	uperintendents, Bu s, Division of Huma	
Implementation Schedule: Initiat	ed by Septem	iber 30, 2	2013, and a recurri	ng annual process thereafter
ECUCATOR	SCHOOL			 District needs assessment and priority development processes used in budget development and resource allocation for Title I, Title II, RTTT, local funding, and grant efforts to coordinate and align resources according to the district strategic plan and district needs
Associated Practice		Primar	y Responsibility	
5.13 Coordinated Arrays of Pro Development Supports Practices implemented pri level Implementation Schedule: Initiat	imarily at the		Development, Ar	ing and Learning, Professional ea Superintendents ng annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL			DISTRICT
				 District PD System coordinating and aligning professional development efforts PD System monthly Community of Practice trainings involving collaboration between

				 Professional Development and Teaching and Learning School Improvement Plan review process District Improvement and Assistance Plan coordinating district plans and differentiating support to schools Participation in AdvancEd district accreditation process to align professional development and other district systems and initiatives
Associated	Practice		Primary Responsibility	
Eva Dev Pra the	iverables Aligning Personr Iluation and Professional velopment <i>ictices implemented prima</i> <i>district level</i> ation Schedule : Initiated b	rily at	Area Superintendents, TIS, Research	rning, Professional Development, Assessment Accountability & g annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR		SCHOOL		DISTRICT
				 Teacher evaluation certification training required for all administrators Teacher evaluation calibration process with aligned professional development Monthly administrator evaluation training based upon evaluation and calibration results Development and implementation of training plan for specific needed on the instructional rubric

Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility
5.15 "Coaching for Improvement" Support System Practices implemented primarily at the district level		Division of Teaching & Learning
Implementation Schedule: Initiated	by Septem	ber 30, 2013, and a recurring annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	 DISTRICT Instructional Coaching model Early Career Program Leadership Development FTLP grant Leadership coaching for identified aspiring leaders
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility
5.16 Training and Development o Instructional Personnel Practices implemented prime the district level Implementation Schedule: Initiated	arily at	Professional Development, Human Resources, Transportation, Exceptional Student Education, Food Services Individual Educator ber 30, 2013, and a recurring annual process thereafter
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
RTTT:		 Support Employee of the Year program Support employee Certificate of Distinction program Certification of Distinction I program Certificate of Distinction II program New ESE Assistant training New Bus driver training New Bus driver training Plan Operations and Master Plan Operator training plan Rosetta Stone online language learning program Support Staff Catalog of Choice professional learning day

Policy 6: The Evaluation Practices of the Professional Development System

NOTE: Evaluation practices and systems will be revised and additional processes developed with support of *FLDOE/McREL* technical assistance to further develop and refine the Professional Development System. Anticipated completion date summer 2014.

Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility	
5.1 Professional Development Monitoring Team		 Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research School-based Administrators 	
2014		er 30, 2013, monitoring te	am plan to be developed by August
EDUCATOR	rev de dat • Us Ru fee im	adership team monthly view of professional velopment participation ta e of Implementation bric district visitation edback for monitoring plementation of ofessional learning	 Professional Development Advisory Council provides monitoring of PD results District team conducts Implementation Rubric site visits and school to monitor professional development implementation in practice, and including monthly review of district PD data New PD Monitoring Team to be created and implemented for the 2014- 2015 school year Annual MIP reporting to School Board with School Board approval of MIP PD Director, member of FLDOE McREL Advisory Board Member, Florida Association of Staff Developers Florida Organization of Instructional Leaders (FOIL) Anchin Leadership Collaborative St Petersburg College (SPC) Advisory Board

				 Protocol standard self- assessment planned for 2013-2014 school year and conducted annually thereafter
Assoc	iated Practice	L	Primary Responsibility	
6.2 Evaluation of the Impact of Professional Development on Performance Improvements Implementation Schedule: Initiated by September		Area Superintendents, A Research School-based Administra Educator	earning, Professional Development, Assessment Accountability & Pators, Teacher Leaders, Individual	
	ner 2014 ATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
•	Teacher use of Individual Professional Development Plan to intentionally improve practice Administrator use of Deliberate Practice to intentionally improve leadership practice Formal and informal observation and evaluation process	incl imp res Mo pro dat For obs	nool Improvement Plan luding monitoring of olementation and ults onthly review of ofessional development a mal and informal servation and evaluation ocess	 District Strategic Plan including monitoring of implementation and results Monthly review of professional development data District Improvement and Assistance Plan Professional Development Advisory Council provides monitoring of PD results District team conducts Implementation Rubric site visits and school to monitor professional development implementation in practice, and including monthly review of district PD data Annual needs assessment survey of Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre members

Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
6.3 Distribution of Responsibility for Monitoring and Evaluation of Professional Development Implementation Schedule: By September 30, 201		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders		
school year, with revisions and addit	ions to be ma	ade by summer 2014		
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT	
 Formal and informal observation and evaluation feedback process for teachers and administrators 	bas dev dat • Sch mo rep • Use Rul fee	anthly review of school- sed professional velopment participation a nool Improvement Plan onitoring and midyear porting process e of Implementation bric process providing rdback and resulting in ion planning	 District strategic planning process including monitoring Monthly review of district professional development participation data Revision of component Assessment Survey process and content Revision of component development and approval process Professional Development Advisory Council quarterly review of professional development Professional Development priority development and monitoring process by a cross functional group Use of Implementation Rubric guides to conduct site visits and provide feedback and support to schools Professional Development Advisory Board meetings quarterly with representation from schools, university partners, Teaching and Learning, Exceptional Student Education, and Professional Development 	

Assoc	iated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
6.4	4 Individual Responsibilities on Alignment and Impact		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Individual		
			Educator	ators, reacher Leaders, mulvidual	
Implementation Schedule: By September 30, 20 school year, with revisions and additions to be m				tiated at the start of the 2013-14	
EDUC	ATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT	
 Formal and informal observation and evaluation feedback process for inteachers and administrators Teacher use of Individual Professional Development Plan to intentionally improve practice 		pro infe fee Pilo sys pro dev eva	 DISTRICT Teacher and administrate evaluation systems with professional development and aluation of impact as rt of research study District PD System Community of Practice with engagement in continual learning and embedding reflective practices within the COF and Leading the Learnin Cadre facilitation Leading the Learning Ca Facilitator Observation 		
Assoc	iated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
6.5	Collaborative Feedback: Coll processes that engage the en workforce	-	-	earning, Professional Development, Assessment Accountability &	
			School-based Administra		
-	mentation Schedule: By Septe I year, with revisions and addit			tiated at the start of the 2013-14	
	ATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT	
		in i pro nee	e of climate survey data dentification of ofessional development eds e of the Professional	 Annual climate survey administration and analysi process Creation and implementation of the 	

		and Inn to d imp cor	arning Community, CCSS d Lesson Study ovation Configuration discuss and review olementation of these nponents of the ofessional development n	 Professional Learning Community, Lesson Study, and CCSS Innovation Configurations to allow Leading the Learning Cadres and schools to analyze these components of their professional development plans Annual appraisal system focus groups to provide feedback into the continual improvement process
Associ	ated Practice		Primary Responsibility	
6.6	Professional Learning Culture Schools	e in the	Area Superintendents, A Research	earning, Professional Development, ssessment Accountability &
Imple	mentation Schedule: By Septer	nber 30. 201	School-based Administra	iated at the start of the 2013-14
-	l year, with revisions and additi			
EDUC	ATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
•	Individual use of Professional Learning Community (PLC) Innovation Configuration for reflection on own learning and contribution to PLC Self assessment as part of annual evaluation, including reflection of professional and collaborative behaviors	Lea Inn to i effe	e of Professional arning Community ovation Configuration reflect upon ectiveness of school el PLCs	 Creation and implementation of the Professional Learning Community, Lesson Study, and CCSS Innovation Configurations to allow Leading the Learning Cadres and schools to analyze these components of their professional development plans Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre needs assessment and reflection process Annual appraisal system focus groups to provide feedback into the continual improvement process

Associ	Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility		
6.7	and Collegial Sharing		Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research		
Imple			Educator	ators, Teacher Leaders, Individual	
-	l year, with revisions and addit				
EDUC	•	SCHOOL		DISTRICT	
•	Use of Professional Learning Community (PLC) and Lesson Study Innovation Configurations for reflection, discussion and action planning for continual improvement as individuals and individual PLCs.	fee pro par ma pro pri Use Col Stu Col dis eff Les Les	e of district site visit edback and monthly ofessional development rticipation report to ake revisions to ofessional development orities and plans e of PLC Innovation nfiguration and Lesson ady Innovation nfiguration to facilitate cussion regarding ectiveness of PLCs and ason Study lead by ading the Learning dres	 District team conducts Implementation Rubric site visits and school to monitor professional development implementation in practice, and including monthly review of district PD data Annual needs assessment survey of Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre members Utilization of Assessment Survey feedback and monthly professional development participation report to make revisions to professional development priorities and plans Creation and implementation, including training by Community of Practice members, regarding use of PLC and Lesson Study Innovation Configuration by schools and school teams 	
Associ	iated Practice		Primary Responsibility	1	
6.8	Vision Checks: Sharing Perce about Essential Questions of Current Reality	•	Division of Teaching & Learning, Professional Development, Area Superintendents, Assessment Accountability & Research		
	current nearty		School-based Administrators, Teacher Leaders, Indiv Educator		

EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT
 Individual Professional Development Plan reflection as part of annual summative evaluation 	 School Improvement Planning review process School-based needs assessment process 		 District Strategic Plan review process Annual evaluation process review Annual level 2 leadership focus group revision process Annual needs assessment survey of Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre members
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility	
6.9 Design Focus on Evaluating	Impact	•	ent Department, Assessment ch, Division of Teaching and Learning
Implementation Schedule: By Septe			tiated at the start of the 2013-14
school year, with revisions and addi EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	ade by summer 2014	DISTRICT
	JENOOL		District
		view of professional	 Needs assessment survey
	dev dat tea	view of professional velopment participation ca as correlated with ocher evaluation and dent performance data	 data regarding impact of professional learning Creation of annual evaluation process of major professional development initiatives, including correlation of student data, teacher evaluation data, and
Associated Practice	dev dat tea	velopment participation a as correlated with other evaluation and	 of Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre members Revision of component assessment survey to provide meaningful, useful data regarding impact of professional learning Creation of annual evaluation process of major professional development initiatives, including correlation of student data, teacher evaluation data, and professional development

EDUCATOR	SCHOOL	DISTRICT
 Individual Professional Development Plan review process and summative teacher evaluation process Deliberate Practice plan review process and summative administrator evaluation process 	 School Improvement Planning process Teacher evaluation system Pilot Teacher Evaluation system and research project with professional development and evaluation of impact as part of research study 	 Annual needs assessment survey of Community of Practice and Leading the Learning Cadre members MoodleLMS reporting system Revision of component Assessment Survey process and content Revision of component development and approval process to Annual review and revision of evaluation systems and processes Pilot Teacher Evaluation system and research project with professional development and evaluation of impact as part of research study
Associated Practice	Primary Responsibility	
	Accountability & Resea mber 30, 2013, a recurring process in	
school year, with revisions and addit EDUCATOR	tions to be made by summer 2014 SCHOOL	DISTRICT
		 State protocol review and use of results for continual improvement Protocol standard self assessment planned for 2013-2014 school year and conducted annually thereafter, including action planning based upon results Development of district evaluation framework for implementation 2014-2015 school year Master Inservice Plan development and approval Race to the Top Evaluation of deliverables and implementation

Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility	 Assessment Accountability and Research professional development evaluation plan
6.12 Evaluation of Fidelity of Implementation Implementation Schedule: By Septe			·
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		 DISTRICT State protocol review and use of results for continual improvement Protocol standard self assessment planned for 2013-2014 school year and conducted annually thereafter, including action planning based upon results Development of district evaluation framework for implementation 2014-2015 school year Race to the Top Evaluation of deliverables and implementation Assessment Accountability and Research professional development evaluation plan
RTTT:	1		1

Policy 7: The Role of the Master Inservice Plan (MIP) **TO SUBMIT AT A LATER DATE					
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility			
Implementation Schedule: Initiated	by Septem	ber 30, 2013, and a recurrin	ng annual process thereafter		
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT		
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility			
Implementation Schedule: Initiated	by Septem	ber 30, 2013, and a recurrin	ng annual process thereafter		
EDUCATOR	SCHOOL		DISTRICT		
Associated Practice		Primary Responsibility			
Implementation Schedule: By September 30, 2013, a recurring process initiated at the start of the 2013-14 school year					
•					
RTTT:					



Common Core Implementation Plan						
"Moving from Theory to Prac	tice" Phase 1 Transition 2010-2013	Phase 2 Transformation 2013-2014	Phase 3 Trend: Routine Practice 2014-2015			
FOCUS AREAS	BUILDING A STRONG FOUNDATION AND UNDERSTANDING OF THE CCSS STANDARDS AND THE INSTRUCTIONAL SHIFTS IN THE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT.	COLLABORATING TO SUPPORT TEACHERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF CCSS AND THE PREPARATION FOR PARCC ASSESSMENTS.	REFLECTING AND REFINING TEACHING AND LEARNING PRACTICES IN PREPARATION FOR ADMINISTRATION OF PARCC ASSESSMENTS			
CURRICULUM, RESOURCES, & TOOLS FOR INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT	 Created structures to examine instructional materials for CCSS alignment Provided CCSS aligned instructional materials Provided model lesson demonstrations Included appraisal indicators in curriculum materials and implementation rubrics 	 Expand resources aligned to CCSS and PARCC assessments Emphasize the use of technology to support instruction Implement blended curriculum for NGSSS and CCSS Focus PLCs on the work of CCSS through LLCs Expand the use of model lessons Utilize instructional coaches to provide sustained instructional support to teachers Provide opportunities for collaboration and ongoing feedback to support teacher practice Use rubrics to gather implementation data 	 Expand resources aligned to CCSS and PARCC assessments Support instruction through consistent use of technology Instruct students using CCSS aligned curriculum Continue to utilize instructional coaches to provide sustained instructional support to teachers Utilize PLCs to continuously improve instructional practices through LLCs Solidify connections between appraisal indicators and CCSS aligned instruction 			
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	 Focused on instructional shifts in all content areas Aligned professional development opportunities to CCSS Created LLC cadres for implementation Developed model for Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) Aligned instructional coaching model to support CCSS implementation in core content areas Included appraisal indicators in professional development opportunities Built teacher capacity through focus groups, use of demonstration teachers, and administrative sessions 	 Build school-wide capacity for CCSS through LLC cadres Provide targeted professional learning to expand skills of instructional staff Implement new PLC model Focus PLCs on the work of CCSS through LLCs Utilize instructional coaches to provide sustained professional learning support to teachers Provide opportunities for collaboration and ongoing feedback to support teacher practice Provide professional development on data analysis to inform instruction using a variety of assessments Provide professional development on the use of the assessment platform 	 Continue to build school-wide capacity for CCSS through LLC cadres Continue to provide targeted professional learning to expand skills of instructional staff Provide specific professional learning opportunities focused around the PARCC assessments Utilize PLCs to continue to support CCSS aligned instruction 			
ASSESSMENT & DATA-DRIVEN INSTRUCTION	 Selected an assessment platform (Performance Matters) Created blueprints to align assessments to CCSS Participated in various state assessment projects Initiated data-driven instruction based on CCSS and instructional shifts 	 Administer CCSS-aligned assessments according to district timeline Provide tools for formative and summative assessment Use performance assessment data to inform instruction and address student needs 	 Administer PARCC in required grade levels Continue administration of CCSS-aligned assessments Further develop tools for formative and summative assessment Determine students' instructional needs based on data 			
COMMUNICATION	 Provided resources and information for teachers, parents and community. Launched a PCS CCSS Parent Website posted on district website 	 Continue to provide resources and information to stakeholders Continue to incorporate CCSS information into newsletters and media for school audiences 	 Continue to provide resources and information to stakeholders Continue to incorporate CCSS information into newsletters and media for school audiences 			



Common Core Implementation Plan - Year at a Glance



"Moving from Theory to Practice" **SUMMER, 2013** 2013-2014 SCHOOL YEAR Revise curriculum maps/guides K-12 in all content areas • Utilize revised curriculum maps/guides K-12 in all content areas Post and share CCSS model lessons in all content areas Include appraisal indicators in all curriculum materials revisions • Integrate Reading/Language Arts resources: Elementary – Basal Alignment Continue to develop CCSS model lessons in all content areas Project, DBQ, EngageNY; Secondary – Achieve the Core, EngageNY, CCSSO, New CCSS aligned materials: K-5 Reading/Language Arts **CURRICULUM** adoption and K-5 Math adoption Aligning Anthologies Project • Integrate Math resources: Elementary/Secondary – iCPALMS, Illuminations RESOURCES Expand tools and resources to increase the use of complex, (NCTM), Common Core Tool Box, Illustrative Math Project grade-level specific texts in all classrooms, all content areas **& TOOLS FOR** Provide guided reading materials for kindergarten and grades 3-5 Implementation of LLC blended learning opportunities **INSTRUCTIONAL** • Provide scales and instructional frameworks in model lessons Create professional development materials as related to · Utilize tools and resources to increase the use of complex, grade-level specific **SUPPORT** what teachers needs to know regarding PARCC assessments text in all classrooms, all content areas · Utilize implementation rubrics aligned to instructional best practices and teacher appraisal to monitor implementation of CCSS and district initiatives Implement the use of PLCs through LLCs SUMMER PROFESSIONAL LEARNING Implement Core Connections, K-10 – Phase 2: Common Core Writing **OPPORTUNITIES ALIGNED TO CCSS INCLUDE:** · Utilize PC Professional Development System through embedded, on-going professional development to support CCSS in all content areas Core Connections, K-10 – Phase 1: Close reading · Provide professional development related to guided reading instruction for FLDOE Common Core Institute at Boca Ciega HS CCSS Implementation Institute for Secondary ELA & Reading teach grades K-5 • Continue to build capacity in the area of literacy leadership in all content areas, K-12 ers (grades 7 & 10) • Implement professional development for data analysis and for using multiple Program-specific Reading Workshops to align identified programs to CCSS data sources to drive instruction Provide framework for implementation of professional learning communities Elementary Reading Adoption implementation **PROFESSIONAL** • Provide professional development around the use of grades 6-12 electronic HS CCSS Institutes – Algebra 1, Geometry, and Algebra 2 DEVELOPMENT Math CCSS Institutes – K-8 composition portfolio and assessment system Biology Summer Institute Deliver professional development for teachers regarding PARCC assessments · Focus on text complexity in all content areas, K-12 Science Institute – 6-8 DA Summer Institute – for selected schools, some CCSS content SUMMER PLANNING ALSO INCLUDES: Creation of district technology plan to design appropriate professional learning opportunities for 13-14 Creation of professional learning opportunities focused on assessments and data driven instruction implications **Develop assessments for CCSS** Administer CCSS assessments using district timeline Explore assessment platforms to support progress monitoring of students · Administer progress monitoring assessments to support data driven instruction **ASSESSMENT &** Plan for the use of a grades 6-12 electronic composition portfolio · Implement the use of a grades 6-12 electronic composition portfolio and **DATA-DRIVEN** and assessment system to replace Glencoe assessment system **INSTRUCTION** Develop technology transition plan to prepare district infrastructure • Act upon the recommendations from the district technology transition plan in for increased computer-based testing preparation for PARCC assessments Create parent communications to advertise district parent CCSS • Continue to provide resources and information for parents and other stakeholders website materials · Continue to incorporate CCSS information into newsletters and media for COMMUNICATION Create parent and teacher pamphlets summarizing CCSS school and community audiences implementation School-based LLC teams continue to communicate district implementation plans

Common Core State Standards - Configuration Map for Transitional Dialogue

This tool can help you identify the level of your implementation of best practices for implementing the Common Core State Standards. It briefly describes how one might respond to various standards-based questions during the transition to full implementation of the CCSS.

Level of					1
Implementation Teacher Questions	1 2		3 Standards Based		5 Standards & Data Driven
Common Core Standards "How do students learn the Common Core Standards in your classroom?"	"What Common Core Standards should I teach?"	"I know what the Common Core Standards are and I use them to focus my planning."	"I know the Common Core Standards and am clear which standards are my responsibility to teach and assess."	"I inform my students of which Common Core Standards we will be focusing on before we begin instruction and let them know which ones they need to learn."	"My students can explain what they are expected to know and be able to do using specific language and examples."
Curriculum & Unit Planning "How is student learning organized in your classroom?"	"I wonder if I am teaching the Common Core Standards? The textbook has always been my curriculum."	"I know my curriculum is aligned with the Common Core Standards. I have a scope and sequence for the school year."	"I use backwards planning to map each unit. I know what students need to learn, what proficiency looks like, and what they will do to learn it."	"My students understand our progress through the curriculum because I provide them with a road map of their learning."	"My students take charge of their progress through the curriculum; they know what Common Core Standards they have attained and what they need to learn next."
Assessment "How is student learning assessed in your classroom?"	"I am not sure how to assess the Common Core Standards. I have always used a commercially prepared test or prepared one just before giving it."	"I align assessments with the Common Core Standards. I've determined what is the best way for students to show me they have learned each learning target tied to the Common Core Standards."	"I share my assessment expectations with students up front. I always share models and scoring guides tied directly to the Common Core Standards. They know how they will need to demonstrate their learning."	"I consistently use a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessments so that I know specific student learning needs and challenges throughout the unit."	"My students are able to communicate their level of learning in relation to the Common Core Standards."
Analysis of Data "How is student learning analyzed and used in your classroom?"	"I know when my students are passing or failing, but not whether they have met or exceeded a Common Core Standard."	"I have a good idea of where students are in relation to Common Core Standards and set learning targets."	"I know where students are in relation to each Common Core Standard and related exemplars and provide students with frequent descriptive and constructive feedback."	"I know where my students are on a daily basis. I use ongoing assessments to refine, reteach and extend learning when necessary."	"My students self-assess and interpret data regarding their own knowledge and skills. They can determine their own next steps for learning."
Instruction "How do you decide which instructional strategies to use to ensure learning?	"I use the instructional strategies I feel most comfortable with."	"I consistently use research-based instructional strategies to support student learning."	"I use the gradual release of responsibility model to move from guided practice to independence and to craft student learning experiences."	"I have seamlessly integrated ongoing assessment into my instruction so that I can differentiate to ensure student learning in relation to the Common Core Standards."	"My students have a toolkit of their own learning strategies to use when they don't understand the learning required by the Common Core Standards."

Instructional Support Model

Reading, Language Arts, Math, Science and Social Sciences 2013-2014



Department of Elementary Education Teaching and Learning

Instructional Support Model

Purpose

The Instructional Support Model for Elementary Schools is intended to:

- Build capacity of school-based administrators' understanding of best practices of teaching and learning in elementary reading and language arts, math, science, and social studies classrooms so they can better support and coach teachers
- Support teachers of reading, math, and science in the continuous improvement of their practice to assist teacher and school goals for improved performance for all students.

Intended Outcomes for the Year

- 1. Support of the School Improvement Plan at each elementary school.
- 2. Effective monitoring of teaching and learning practices in core curricular programs. This will be accomplished using implementation rubrics (aligned to teacher evaluation instrument) during district instructional support visits to schools.
- 3. Data analysis after each school visit that will support the work of instructional coaches, assist schools in developing model classrooms in each core area, and assist in planning for LLC embedded professional development in schools.

Priority Schools for the Instructional Support Model for the 2013-2014 School Year

The chart below provides a list of all schools, K-12, that have been identified as priority schools for support under the Instructional Support Model.

ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH
Bear Creek ES	Azalea MS	Boca Ciega HS
Belcher ES	Bay Point MS	Dixie Hollins HS
Belleair ES	Hopkins MS	Gibbs HS
Campbell Park ES	Largo MS	Lakewood HS
Dunedin ES	Meadowlawn MS	Northeast HS
Fairmount Park ES	Oak Grove MS	
High Point ES	Pinellas Park MS	
Lakewood ES	Tyrone MS	
Maximo ES		
Melrose ES		
New Heights ES		
Ponce de Leon ES		
Pinellas Park ES		
Sandy Lane ES		
Woodlawn ESE		

Instructional Model Support Calendar 2013-2014

	1st Visit	2nd Visit	3rd Visit	4th Visit	5th Visit	6th Visit (SIP 2014-15)
Bear Creek Elem	9/18/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/15/13, 8:30-11:00am	11/12/13, 12:30-3:00pm	1/28/14, 12:30-3:00pm	3/11/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/20/14, 12:30-3:00pm
Belleair Elem	9/24/13, 7:30-10:00am	10/22/13, 12:30-3:00pm	12/11/13, 8:30-11:00am	2/4/14, 8:30-11:00am	3/19/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/13/14, 8:30-11:00am
Campbell Park Elem	9/11/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/23/14, 8:30-11:00am	11/21/13, 12:30-3:00pm	1/29/14, 12:30-3:00pm	3/18/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/7/14, 12:30-3:00pm
Dunedin Elem	9/25/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/30/13, 8:30-11:00am	12/10/13, 12:30-3:00pm	2/5/14, 12:30-3:00pm	4/8/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/14/14, 12:30-3:00pm
Fairmount Park Elem	9/17/13, 12:30-3:00pm	10/9/13, 12:30-3:00pm	11/13/13, 12:30-3:00pm	1/22/14, 8:30-11:00am	3/5/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/6/14, 8:30-11:00am
Gulfport Elem	9/26/13, 1:00-3:30pm	10/22/13, 8:30-11:00am	12/11/13, 12:30-3:00pm	2/4/14, 1:00-3:30pm	3/14/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/13/14, 12:30-3:00pm
High Point Elem	9/6/13, 12:30-3:00pm	10/2/13, 12:30-3:00pm	11/5/13, 12:30-3:00pm	1/15/14, 8:30-11:00am	2/18/14, 12:30-3:00pm	4/9/14, 8:30-11:00am
Lakewood Elem	9/11/13, 12:30-3:00pm	10/23/13, 12:30-3:00pm	11/20/13, 8:30-11:00am	1/29/14, 8:30-11:00am	3/18/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/7/14, 8:30-11:00am
Lealman Ave Elem	9/20/13, 12:30-3:30pm	10/24/13, 8:30-11:00am	12/18/13, 10:30-1:00pm	2/19/14, 10:30-1:00pm	3/14/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/22/13, 12:30-3:00pm
Maximo Elem	9/4/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/1/13, 8:30-11:00am	12/12/13, 12:30-3:00pm	1/14/14, 12:30-3:00pm	2/11/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/21/14, 12:30-3:00pm
Melrose Elem	9/4/13, 12:30-3:00pm	10/1/13, 12:30-3:00pm	12/12/13, 8:30-11:00am	1/14/14, 8:30-11:00am	2/11/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/21/14, 8:30-11:00am
Mildred Helms Elem	9/10/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/16/13, 12:30-3:00pm	11/22/13, 8:30-11:00am	1/21/14, 12:30-3:30pm	3/12/14, 1:00-3:30pm	5/9/14, 8:30-11:00am
New Heights Elem	9/20/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/8/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/3/13, 12:30-3:00pm	1/21/14, 8:30-11:00am	3/4/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/22/14, 8:30-11:00am
North Shore Elem	9/17/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/9/13, 8:30-11:00am	11/13/13, 8:30-11:00am	1/22/14, 12:30-3:00pm	3/5/14, 8:30-11:00am	5/6/14, 12:30-3:00pm
Pinellas Park Elem	9/18/13, 12:30-3:00pm	10/15/13, 12:30-3:00pm	11/14/13, 8:30-11:00am	1/28/14, 8:30-11:00am	3/11/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/20/14, 8:30-11:00am
Ponce De Leon Elem	9/25/13, 12:30-3:00pm	10/30/13, 12:30-3:00pm	12/10/13, 8:30-11:00am	2/5/14, 8:30-11:00am	4/8/14, 12:30-3:00pm	5/14/14, 8:30-11:00am
Sandy Lane Elem	9/6/13, 8:30-11:00am	10/2/13, 8:30-11:00am	11/5/13, 8:30-11:00am	1/15/14, 12:30-3:00pm	2/18/14, 8:30-11:00am	4/9/14, 12:30-3:00pm

These are Priority schools and the visit is with Area Superintendent

Process for Instructional Support Model School Visits

Before Instructional Support Model School Visit Date

We ask that School Principals:

- Talk with staff about the purpose and intended outcomes of the Instructional Support Model
- Post scheduled Instructional Support Model visits on school calendar early.
- Arrange for the administrative team to participate in the discussions before and after the classroom visits. (If AP's need to step out to handle an urgent call, they should rejoin the group when possible).
- Notify all teachers in reading, math, and science, that their classrooms will be visited during the Instructional Support Model visits. Other teachers/classrooms may be visited as time permits.
- Provide feedback from the Instructional Support Model visit to the staff in the form of data gathered during visit, and discussions regarding next steps in each area. The post visit conference will provide guidance as to the data and next steps to discuss with staff.

The Purpose of the Instructional Coach includes (for schools with coaching support):

- Facilitation of professional learning conversations with and between teachers and administrators
- Provision of embedded, just-in-time support to teachers through coaching and mentoring
- Working within the structure of professional learning communities
- Strengthening the work of professional learning communities in which teachers gain knowledge *of* their practice through inquiry/action research.

These are lofty goals, yet goals that we know will make a difference for the teachers of our district. With quality instruction in all of our classrooms, student performance will increase. In order to fulfill these goals, our coaches/staff developers *must* spend at least 75% their time:

- Lesson planning with teachers
- Modeling lessons within classrooms
- Debriefing classroom demonstration lessons
- Facilitating book studies and lesson studies
- Participating in your School Leadership Team/School Based Rtl Leadership Team
- Providing support through activities tied to specific, measureable goals within your school's SIP/Corrective Action/Restructuring Plans
- Engaging in their own professional development through district opportunities, coaches/staff developers PLCs, and inquiry projects of their own
- Collaborating with administration, grade level teams, department teams to prioritize their goals to establish model classrooms within your building by highlighting the use of instructional best practices.

Instructional Support Model Visit (Time: Approximately 2.5 hours)

The Instructional Support Team (Area Superintendent, Executive Director, and Content Specialists) will join with the principal and assistant principals (as possible) to:

- 30 minutes: Conduct pre-classroom visits discussion (see Form A following Implementation Rubrics)
 - Utilize school performance data to focus on/review appropriate areas of School Improvement Plan and school processes (such as PLC schedules, calendared PD plans)
- 60 minutes: Separate into content teams and conduct classroom visits using implementation rubrics as instructional measurement tool (rubrics are aligned with teacher evaluation system). Each classroom visit should last 5-6 minutes minimum (work to visit 10 classrooms during visit)
- 30 minutes: Debrief Classroom visits
 - ✓ 5 minutes: Content Teams tally data on 1 "Team Implementation Rubric" for each content area
 - ✓ 10 minutes: Content Teams prepare lists of commendations and concerns (noting major trends/observations made during visits) for each content area
 - ✓ 15 minutes: Content Teams report their total tallies and their commendations and concerns to whole group
- 30 minutes: Instructional Support Team and School Leadership Team plan draft of next steps to be written into an action plan and incorporated into school's SIP. Finalized action plan will be crafted for review within 24 hours of school visit.
 - ✓ Next steps will include:
 - \circ explicit information regarding district support school seeks to carry out action plan steps
 - how/when progress on action will be collected/reported to Instructional Support Team

FORM A: Instructional Support Team Pre-Classroom Visits Discussion Sheet

School Name	: Date:
Before Visiting Cl	
School	
Reading, Math,	
Science, and	
Social Science	
Data Points	
SIP Major	
Strategies	
Important to	
Know for Visits	
SIP Points of	
Pride- What	
does school	
leadership	
team believe is	
working well?	
SIP Areas of	
Concern- What	
are areas of	
concern for	
school	
leadership	
team?	

Language Arts Implementation Trends

Group/Name _	Observation Date			
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident
Establish and Communicate Learning Goals	Daily schedule for language arts posted-may vary by day/student need Goal-1/3 Teacher Led, 2/3 Teacher Supported Aligns to the CCSS/NGSSS Board states learning goal as a statement. (Has to be worded like: "Students will be able to, students can or students will understand") Anchor charts to include teaching point. Written in kid friendly terms Referred to throughout the lesson	1.2b 2.1a 1.2a 1.2b 2.1c 1.2b 1.2b,c		
Implicit Instruction	Interactive read aloud or shared reading Teacher models use of strategies using think alouds Students interacting with text through accountable talk, stop and jot, gestures, sketching, writing (routine and/or extended) Evidence of teacher planning for both think alouds and opportunities for student interaction Texts are at or above grade level Students have access to text: • Own copy • Projection of text • Teacher shared copy • Shared or classroom writing	1.2a, c, d, e 1.2c 1.2d 1.2a, c, d 1.2a		
Explicit Instruction (Flexible use of Gradual Release Model)	Teacher connects learning to previous teaching Learning Goal and Explicit Teaching point stated Teacher models the work students are expected to do using a familiar read Students practice this work in pairs/small group with teacher support Teacher collecting data through notes, anecdotes or tallies Students practicing work on their own Teacher confers with students	1.2 c, d, e 1.2b,c 1.2c,d 1.2c,d 1.2c,d 1.2c,d 1.2c 1.1b 1.2c,e 1.2c 1.1b		
Interaction with literacy material	 Types of writing used during the writing block (text dependent) Narrative Expository/Informational Argument/Opinion Level of materials used during the language arts block On grade level On students' instructional level On students' independent level (In kindergarten, students will begin working with traditional instructional/independent texts once they have exited the preA level.) Types of Text used during the language arts block	1.2a 1.2a 1.2a 1.2a 1.2a		

1		1.2-
	Informational text	1.2a
	Literary text	
	Opportunities for students to revisit texts they have already read or the teacher has	1.2e
	already read at least one time Use of text sets including multimedia material to strengthen student knowledge	1.2e,h
Continued	around a single topic	1.20,11
	Context in which students read	
Interaction with	Small groups	1.2d,e,f
literacy material	Partner reading	1.2d,e,f
	 Independent reading 	1.2d,e,f
		10,0,1
	Students have opportunity to respond to text through	
	Graphic organizers	1.2d
	Reading Journals	1.2d
	-	1.2d
	 Post-it notes (In K, post-its serve as a marker for taking with a partner.) Ovial vurities (lock united) 	1.2d
	Quick writes/flashwrites	1.2d
	Partner work	
	Rubrics/criteria established and used to define quality work for students	1.2b
	Emphasis on ongoing writing and the writing process	1.2e
	Revision, language, and editing techniques explicitly taught within the context of	1.2a,c
	writing	
	Students read across various levels of text	1.2e
	Type of text and task students complete independently aligns to instruction, both	1.2e
	past and present	1.20
	Small group guided reading using Jan Richardson Routines	1.2e
	Small group instruction using grade level text	1.2e
Practice and	Independent reading with task aligned to learning goal	1.2e
Deepen	Literacy centers/work stations aligned to the grade level standards	1.2e
Understanding	Student Accountability	
	Accountable talk	1.2e
	Teacher facilitated learning	1.2e
	Conferring	1.2e
	Written response	1.2e
	Teacher observation	1.2e
	Informal assessments	
	Running records	1.1b
	Conferring notebooks	1.1b
	 FOCUS/FL Achieves (grades 3-5) 	1.1b
	 Teacher observation with written notes or checklists 	1.1b
	 Various inventories (spelling, phonics, sight word, high frequency words) 	1.1b
	Ongoing progress monitoring	1.1b
	Formative assessments	1.1b
Assessment	Checks for understanding	1.1b
	Student scale, rubric	1.1b
	Student portfolios	1.1b
	Student work	
	Reading logs (grades 2-5)	1.1b
	 Reading notebooks 	1.1b
	Written responses/Quick Writes	1.1b
	Extended Writing Task Responses	1.1b

	Students appear to be:		
	Authentically engaged		
Student	Actively engaged		
Engagement	Compliant		
	Off-task	1.0	_
	Strategies are used to engage or reengage students	1.2g 2.1d	
		2.10	
	• Students are working collaboratively with teacher facilitation	1.2d,	
	Students are working collaboratively with teacher facilitation.	e, f	
	• Students are working collaboratively on their own.	1.2d, e, f	
		e, 1 1.2d,	
Organizes	Students are working independently at their desk/table.	e, f	
Students for Learning	Some students are engaged in differentiated small group instruction	1.2d,	
Learning	facilitated by the teacher.	e, f	
	• Students are engaged in whole class learning facilitated by the teacher.	1.2d,	
		e, f 1.2d,	
	Students are working in centers/rotations.	1.20, e, f	
		-, 1	
	• Teacher uses time efficiently	2.1b	
	Teacher implements smooth transitions	2.1b	
Classroom	Classroom environment is conducive to learning and risk-taking	2.1b,	
Management	Students know classroom procedures	c, g, h	
0	• Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored,	2.1b,	
	and adhered to	d, e, f	
	Programs		
	• FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5)	1.2i	
	Brain Pop (grades K-5)	1.2i 1.2i	
	FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5)	1.2i	
	Lexia (L100 schools) Achieve 2000 (grades 4.5)	1.2i	
	Achieve 3000 (grades 4-5) Descrop Success Not leveled readers (grades 1-5)	1.2i	
	Pearson Success Net leveled readers (grades 1-5)		
	 Starfall (grades K-1) 	1.2i	
	 Starfall (grades K-1) Cranium Core (grades 2-5) 	1.2i	
	Cranium Core (grades 2-5)	1.2i 1.2i	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) 	1.2i	
Tashuslagu/	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) 	1.2i 1.2i	
Technology/ Resources	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) 	1.2i 1.2i	
Technology/ Resources	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task Resources Smart Board 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task Resources Smart Board Whiteboard 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h, i 1.2h, i	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task Resources Smart Board Whiteboard IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h, i 1.2h, i 1.2h, i	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task Resources Smart Board Whiteboard IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets Visual Aids 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h, i 1.2h, i 1.2h, i 1.2h, i 1.2h, i	
	 Cranium Core (grades 2-5) Brittanica online (grades K-5) Kidsnationalgeographic.com (grades 2-5) Technology is used to differentiate instruction Leveled program-automatic By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task Resources Smart Board Whiteboard IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h 1.2h, i 1.2h, i 1.2h, i	

	Reference tools (online resources)	1.2i	
	•		
	Webb's Depth of Knowledge Questions Asked are primarily		
	 Level 1 – Recall: Items that involve simple knowledge, little comprehension involved, no complexity, and no depth, involves recall, rote response, and only a very basic, surface knowledge of material 	1.2d, e, f	
Higher Order	 Level 2 – Skill/Concept: Involves students beginning to apply skills and processing concepts involving more comprehension. Students interpret material and make simple decisions about how to approach a problem. They do things as infer, conclude, compare, summarize, and determine relationships. The level of complexity is still relatively low. 	1.2d, e, f	
Questioning	 Level 3 – Strategic Thinking: Students begin to analyze, evaluate, reason, and plan. The complexity is much deeper at this level. Students would be expected to compare/contrast ideas among two or more references. Students also begin to deal with abstractions and open-ended conclusions and are able to support their thinking. 	1.2d, e, f	
	 Level 4 – Extended Thinking Student activities involve much higher-level thought processes and skills, such as activities requiring them to synthesize, hypothesize, evaluate, and analyze material. Their grasp of knowledge is deep. Student's interaction with material is in-depth, complete, and purposeful. 	1.2d, e, f	

Summary Notes:

Math Implementation Trends

Group/Name _	Observation Date			
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident
	Schedule/Flow of the Day reflects an uninterrupted 60 minute math block Schedule/Flow of the Day reflects a 30 minute intervention block (extension, enrichment, remediation)	1.2b 2.1a		
Establish and Communicate Learning Goals	Aligns to the CCSS Grades K-2, CCSS blended NGSSS Grades 3-5 Board states learning goal as a question or statement. (Has to be worded like: "Students will be able to or students will understand") Anchor charts to include teaching point.	1.2a 1.2b		
	Written in student friendly terms Referred to throughout the lesson – debriefed at end of lesson to check for understanding	1.2b 1.2b 1.1b		
	 Math Warm-up On grade level tasks that promote application and/or expand mathematical thinking. Tasks are appropriately challenging and have a high level of demand. Tasks are used to reinforce concepts and skills that have been previously taught. On grade level introduction of new math topics 	1.2e 1.2e 1.2d		
Key Elements of an Elementary Mathematics	Examples: Problem Solving, Mental Math Practice, Math Problem of the Day, Number Talks			
Lesson Student- Centered Mathematics	 Whole Class Lesson Development Introduction and exploration. Key concepts and skills are introduced or extended through lessons that incorporate precise math language and vocabulary. Teachers guide students in making important connections to reinforce and solidify their understanding. The lesson can vary between direct skill instruction and open-ended problem solving tasks. 	1.2c, f		
Instruction	 Discussion – The teacher uses open-ended questions with an emphasis on higher order thinking, to promote student use of precise mathematical language as they explain, clarify, reason about, and justify their ideas. Teachers anticipate how students might mathematically approach the instructional task, monitor student responses, select student strategies to get specific mathematics into the open for examination, sequence the student presentation by making purposeful choices about the order in which students' work is shared, and makes connections for students about these solutions. 	1.2e, g 2.1k		
	• The teacher closes the lesson by bringing the class together to review and reflect on new learning. The teacher facilitates students' reflection on the learning goals and/or essential question to check for evidence of student understanding.	1.1b 1.2b		

<i>(continued)</i> Key Elements of an Elementary Mathematics Lesson	 Instructional Elements Every lesson should include opportunities for students to engage in the Eight Mathematics Practice Standards. Lesson planning should show evidence of the Eight Mathematical Practice Standards and how teachers will facilitate student learning so students will become mathematically proficient using the practice standards. (may not include every practice in every lesson) Make sense of problems and persevere in solving them. Reason abstractly and quantitatively. Construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others. Model with mathematics. Use appropriate tools strategically. Attend to precision. Look for and make use of structure. Look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning. 	1.2a, d, e, f	
	Students have apportunity to some ord through		
	 Students have opportunity to respond through Graphic organizers 	1.2d	
	Math Journals	1.2d	
		2.1j	
	Post-it notes	1.2d	
	Classroom Discussion	2.1j 1.2d	
		2.1j	
	 Debrief – reflection on new learning, teacher summary, whole class 	1.1b	
	discussions, partner talk, or writing in a math journal	1.2d, g 2.1j	
	Rubrics/criteria established and used to define quality work for students	2.1b	
	Independent Practice – Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present.	1.2c, e, g	
	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. <i>Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs.</i>	1.2c, e, g	
Key Elements of Practice and Deepening	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. <i>Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources,</i>	1.2c, e, g 1.1c 1.2a, c, e	
Practice and Deepening Understanding	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.	1.1c	
Practice and Deepening	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. <i>Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs.</i> Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. <i>Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.</i> Student Accountability	1.1c 1.2a, c, e	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.	1.1c	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment. Student Accountability • Accountable talk	1.1c 1.2a, c, e	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. <i>Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs.</i> Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. <i>Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.</i> Student Accountability • Accountable talk	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2c 1.1a	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	 Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. <i>Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs.</i> Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. <i>Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.</i> Student Accountability Accountable talk Teacher facilitated learning Conferring/ Student interviews, probes 	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2c 1.1a 1.2e	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment. Student Accountability • Accountable talk • Teacher facilitated learning • Conferring/ Student interviews, probes • Written response	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2c 1.1a	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	 Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. <i>Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs.</i> Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. <i>Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.</i> Student Accountability Accountable talk Teacher facilitated learning Conferring/ Student interviews, probes 	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2c 1.1a 1.2e 1.2e, f	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment. Student Accountability • Accountable talk • Teacher facilitated learning • Conferring/ Student interviews, probes • Written response	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2c 1.1a 1.2e 1.2e, f	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment. Student Accountability • Accountable talk • Teacher facilitated learning • Conferring/ Student interviews, probes • Written response • Teacher observation Informal assessments • MFASS (K-3) Formative Assessments – Illustrative Mathematics (4-5)	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2e 1.2e, f 1.2e, f 1.1a 1.2e, f 1.1a 1.2e, f 1.1a 1.2e, f 1.1a 1.1b	
Practice and Deepening Understanding in a Mathematics Lesson	Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and present. Examples: games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs. Differentiated Instruction – based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, extension, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment. Student Accountability • Accountable talk • Teacher facilitated learning • Conferring/ Student interviews, probes • Written response • Teacher observation	1.1c 1.2a, c, e 1.1b 1.2e, f, g 1.2c 1.1a 1.2e 1.2e, f 1.1a 1.2e, f 1.1a 1.2e, f 1.1a	
(continued) Assessment	 Journal writing Exit Slips Formative assessment probes Teacher observation with written notes or checklists Interviews - Diagnostic Various inventories Ongoing progress monitoring Formative assessments (teacher created) Checks for understanding Student scale, rubric Student portfolios 	1.1b 1.1b	
---------------------------------------	---	--	
Student Engagement	Students appear to be: • Authentically engaged • Actively engaged • Compliant • Off-task Strategies are used to engage or reengage students	1.2g 2.1d, e	
Organizes Students for Learning	 Students are working collaboratively with teacher facilitation. Students are working collaboratively on their own. Students are working independently at their desk/table. Some students are engaged in differentiated small group instruction facilitated by the teacher. Students are engaged in whole class learning facilitated by the teacher. Students are working in centers/rotations. 	1.2c,	
Classroom Management	 Teacher uses time efficiently Teacher implements smooth transitions Classroom environment is conducive to learning and risk-taking Students know classroom procedures Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to 	2.1b 2.1b 2.1b, c, g, h 2.1b, d,e, f	
Technology/ Resources	 Programs FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) Brain Pop (grades K-5) FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) ST Math (Priority schools or site-based purchase) Think Central (grades 1-5) NCTM Illuminations (grades k-5) FASTT Math (site-based purchased) Soar to Success (grades 1-5) 	1.2i	

	Technology is used to differentiate instruction		
	Leveled program-automatic	1.2h	
	• By task	1.2h	
(continued)	By student need	1.2h	
	Students held accountable to task through writing, and/or computer record	1.2h	
	Resources	1 1	
Technology/	• Smart Board	1.2h, i	
Resources	• Whiteboard	1.2h, i	
	IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets	1.2h, i	
	 Visual Aids: Number lines posted with positive and negative numbers, Illustrated mathematics vocabulary word wall, hundreds charts, Addition/Subtraction charts, Multiplication/Division charts (with ease of access to students), and exemplary student work. 	1.2h, i	
	 Manipulatives available to students – may be self-selected 	1.2h, i	
	Calculators	1.2h,i	
	Mathematics literature is incorporated when appropriate.		
	Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) questions asked are primarily		
	Level 1 – Recall:		
	Items that involve simple knowledge, little comprehension involved, no	1.2d,	
	complexity, and no depth, involves recall, rote response, and only a very basic,	e, f	
	surface knowledge of material		
Higher Order Questioning	 Level 2 – Skill/Concept: Involves students beginning to apply skills and processing concepts involving more comprehension. Students interpret material and make simple decisions about how to approach a problem. They do things as infer, conclude, compare, summarize, and determine relationships. The level of complexity is still relatively low. 	1.2d, e, f	
	 Level 3 – Strategic Thinking: Students begin to analyze, evaluate, reason, and plan. The complexity is much deeper at this level. Students would be expected to compare/contrast ideas among two or more references. Students also begin to deal with abstractions and open-ended conclusions and are able to support their thinking. 	1.2d, e, f	
	 Level 4 – Extended Thinking Student activities involve much higher-level thought processes and skills, such as activities requiring them to synthesize, hypothesize, evaluate, and analyze material. Their grasp of knowledge is deep. Student's interaction with material is in-depth, complete, and purposeful. 	1.2d, e, f	

Summary Notes:

Science Implementation Trends

Group/Name _	up/Name Observation Date			
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident
Establish and	Schedule reflects an uninterrupted 60 minute science block- 5 th grade Schedule reflects an uninterrupted minimum of 45 min. science block- 4 th grade Schedule reflects an uninterrupted minimum of 45 min. science block- 3 rd grade Schedule reflects an uninterrupted minimum of 30 minute science block- K-2	1.2b		
Communicate Learning Goals	District provided Essential Question(s) is posted and visible for all students (1-5)	1.2b		
Learning Goals	District provided Teaching Point is posted and visible for all students (1-5)	1.2b		
	Referred to throughout the lesson – debriefed at end of lesson to check for understanding	1.2b		
	In kindergarten, students do not follow a formal 5E Model. However, at different times during the science block, teachers and students will be engaged in pieces of the model.			
5E Model for Science	Engagement Introduced to 5 E Science Essential Question(s). Students develop and prepare science notebook pages including student Success Criteria rubric and Essential Question strips. The Engage phase should include accessing prior knowledge, connecting to prior knowledge, analysis of misconceptions using probe, literature, OWL chart, simple investigation, video clip without sound, campus walk etc.	1.2c, d, e, f		
	Exploration Investigations, problem solving, construct a model, probe, inquiry, research, hands- on experiences	1.2c, d, e, f		
	Explanation The Explain phase facilitates student understanding by clarifying ideas, connects prior knowledge, formally attaches vocabulary, communicates new understandings, connects informal language to formal language, justifies ideas with evidence, structured questioning, analysis of misconceptions. Consistent Resources: <i>aligned student textbook using reading and vocabulary strategies, graphic organizers, literature, digital lessons and resources</i>	1.2c, d, e, f		
	Elaboration The Elaboration phase facilitates students' application of new ideas to new situations. Differentiated Menu of Options for remediation and acceleration are provided in each 5 E Science workshop. Consistent Resources and strategies: <i>extend and explain the concept being explored, application of aligned vocabulary, 2nd Investigation, digital lab or lesson, extended literature and informational text, aligned video clips</i>	1.2c, d, e, f		
	Evaluation The Evaluation phase assesses understanding through standards based multiple choice assessment, science notebook using scoring rubric, 2nd opportunity for Probe from Engage or other relevant assessment of content.	1.2c, d, e, f		

	Science Warm-up		
	 Teacher explicitly asks the Essential Question(s) to access new and prior knowledge related to Essential Questions. 	1.2f	
	 Students are given the opportunity to daily record new ideas and changes in their thinking in their science notebook essential question pages. 	1.2f	
	 Facilitation of the 5 E Instructional Model Teacher facilitates science instruction and student learning opportunities 		
	which is based on which of the 5 E's in the science workshop they are implementing that day. (<i>see specific elements of 5 E's Model listed above:</i> <i>Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate</i>)	1.2f	
	 Introduction and exploration. Key concepts and skills are introduced or extended through lessons that incorporate precise science language and vocabulary. Teachers guide students in making important connections to reinforce and solidify their understanding. The 5 E lesson can vary between direct skill instruction and open-ended problem solving tasks. 	1.2e	
Key Elements of an Elementary Science Lesson	 Discussion – The teacher uses open-ended questions with an emphasis on higher order thinking, to promote student use of precise science language as they explain, clarify reason about, and justify their ideas. 	1.2e	
	Science Daily Wrap Up		
	 Students are provided with daily opportunities discuss how their learning experience in science helps them answer the aligned essential questions and revise their Success Criteria Rubric. 	1.2e	
	 Students review and reflect daily in their science notebooks on how their learning experiences in science help them answer the aligned essential questions and how their thinking may have changed. 	1.2e	
	• The teacher closes the lesson by bringing the class together to review and reflect on new learning. The teacher facilitates students' reflection on the learning goals in the Success Criteria Rubric and essential question to check for evidence of student understanding.	1.2e	
	Instructional Elements:		
	ELA and Mathematics Common Core Language and Tasks		
	• Explain concepts based on specific information in text	1.2a, d,e,f	
	 Determine the meaning of science specific words and phrases relevant to grade level topics 	1.2a, d,e,f	
	 Explain relationships between scientific concepts 	1.2a, d,e,f	
	• Read and comprehend informational text	1.2a,	
	• Gather relevant information from print or digital sources	d,e,f 1.2a,	
	• Recall relevant information from experiences	d,e,f 1.2a,	
	• Draw evidence from informational text to support, analysis, reflection and	d,e,f 1.2a,	
	researchEngage in collaborative discussion on grade level topics and texts	d,e,f 1.2a,	
	Represent and Interpret data	d,e,f 1.2a,	
	Measurement and Data	d,e,f 1.2a,	
		d,e,f	

	 Science Notebook Rubric is used to define quality work for students. Success Criteria Rubric is used to monitor student's level of conceptual understanding. 	1.2b	
	Independent Practice – Types of tasks students complete independently aligns to instruction, both past and	1.2e	
	present. <i>Examples:</i> games, learning center activities, utilizing technology resources, working on a paper/pencil task appropriate to their level and instructional needs.		
Key Elements of Practice and Deepening Understanding	Differentiated Instruction – Elaboration Phase based on formal assessments, daily informal assessment, observations, and/or student work. Standards based aligned resources are identified in the Differentiated Menu of Options in the Elaboration phase	1.2e	
in a Science Lesson	Examples: Small groups are flexible, work is based on student need i.e., remediation, acceleration, challenge, and enrichment.		
	Student Accountability • Accountable talk • Teacher facilitated learning • Science Notebooks and Success Criteria Rubrics • Conferring/ Student interviews, probes • Teacher observation	1.2e 1.2e 1.2e 1.2e 1.2e	
Assessment	Informal assessmentsScience Notebooks with Success CriteriaExit SlipsFormative assessment probes (Page Keeley)FOCUS Achieves(grades 3-5)Multiple Choice with Reasoning with Four Corners/Sticky BarsTeacher observation with written notes or checklistsInterviewsVarious inventoriesOngoing progress monitoringFormative assessments (teacher created)Checks for understandingStudent scale, rubricStudent portfolios	1.1b 1.1b	
Student Engagement	Students appear to be: • Authentically engaged • Actively engaged • Compliant • Off-task	1.2g	
	Strategies are used to engage or reengage students	2.1d	
Organizes Students for	• Students are working collaboratively with teacher facilitation.	1.2c, d, e, f	
Learning	Students are working collaboratively on their own.	1.2c, d, e, f	

• Students are working independently at their desk/table.	1.2c, d, e, f
 Some students are engaged in differentiated small group instruction facilitated by the teacher. 	1.2c, d, e, f
• Students are engaged in whole class learning facilitated by the teacher.	1.2c, d, e, f
Students are working in centers/rotations.	1.2c, d, e, f

Classroom Management Teacher implements smooth transitions Classroom environment is conducive to learning and risk-taking Classroom procedures Students know classroom procedures Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to 2.1b, d. Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to 2.1b, d. Programs Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K) Clait Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) I.2i Brain Pop (grades K-5) FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) Learn 360 Videos Pearson Success Net leveled readers ScienceSarus Technology is used to differentiate instruction Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) I.2h By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task I.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task I.2h i Whiteboard I.2h, i Whiteboard I.2h, i I.2h		• Teacher uses time efficiently	2.1b		
Management • Students know classroom procedures c, g, h • Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to 2.1b, de, f • Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to 2.1b, de, f • Programs • Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K) 1.2i • Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) 1.2i 1.2i • Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) 1.2i 1.2i • FCCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i 1.2i • FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i 1.2i • Eearn 360 Videos 1.2i 1.2i • ScienceSaurus 1.2i 1.2i Technology/ By task 1.2h • Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h • Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 ^{sh}), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		Teacher implements smooth transitions	2.1b		
 Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to Programs Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K) Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) PCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) Brain Pop (grades K-5) FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) Learn 360 Videos Pearson Success Net leveled readers ScienceSaurus Technology is used to differentiate instruction Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) By task By task By student need Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task Resources Smart Board I.2h, i IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5th gr.), Moon Poster (4th gr.)(OWL (at least 1^{al} - 2^{ad}), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 	Classroom	Classroom environment is conducive to learning and risk-taking	2.1b,		
and adhered to d.e, f Programs Image: second se	Management	Students know classroom procedures	c, g, h		
Programs I.2i I.2i • Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K) 1.2i I.2i • Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) 1.2i I.2i • FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) 1.2i I.2i • FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i I.2i • FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i I.2i • Learn 360 Videos 1.2i I.2i • Pearson Success Net leveled readers 1.2i I.2i • ScienceSaurus 1.2i I.2i Technology is used to differentiate instruction I.2i I.2i • By task 1.2h I.2h • By task 1.2h I.2h • By task 1.2h I.2h • By student need 1.2h I.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i I.2h, i • UPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i I.2h, i • Visual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Pos		• Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored,	2.1b,		
Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K) 1.2i Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) 1.2i FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) 1.2i Brain Pop (grades K-5) 1.2i FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i Pearson Success Net leveled readers 1.2i ScienceSaurus 1.2i Technology/ Resources By task 1.2i By student need 1.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources Smart Board 1.2h, i Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1.2h, i Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1.2h, i Orders: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		and adhered to	d,e, f		
Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K) 1.2i Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) 1.2i FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) 1.2i Brain Pop (grades K-5) 1.2i FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i Pearson Success Net leveled readers 1.2i ScienceSaurus 1.2i Technology/ Resources By task 1.2i By student need 1.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources Smart Board 1.2h, i Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1.2h, i Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1.2h, i Orders: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i					
• Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) 1.2i • FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) 1.2i • FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) 1.2i • Brain Pop (grades K-5) 1.2i • FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i • Learn 360 Videos 1.2i • Pearson Success Net leveled readers 1.2i • ScienceSaurus 1.2i Technology/ 1.2i Resources 1.2i • Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 1.2h • Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 1.2h • By task 1.2h • By task 1.2h • By task 1.2h • By student need 1.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h, i • Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work.		Programs			
Technology/ Resources FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5) 1.2i Image: Construct of the state of the s		• Fusion Science Program (grades 1-5) National Geographic (K)			
Technology/ Resources Brain Pop (grades K-5) 1.2i Image: Pop (grades K-5)		 Online Think Central Fusion Program (grades 1-5) 			
Technology/ Resources FoCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5) 1.2i Image: Comparison of the text of the text of the text of the text of tex		• FCAT Explorer (grades 3-5)			
Technology/ Resources I.2i Technology/ Resources I.2i Technology/ Resources I.2i Technology/ Resources I.2i Image: Science Saurus I.2i Technology is used to differentiate instruction I.2h Image: Science Saurus I.2h, i		• Brain Pop (grades K-5)			
Technology/ Pearson Success Net leveled readers 1.2i ScienceSaurus 1.2i Technology is used to differentiate instruction • Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 1.2h By task 1.2h • By task 1.2h • Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources • Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1 • Visual Aids: 1.2h, i 1 • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		• FOCUS/Florida Achieves (grades 3-5)			
Technology/ Resources ScienceSaurus 1.2i 1.2i Technology/ Resources Technology is used to differentiate instruction 1.2h 1.2h Students held countable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h 1.2h Resources Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h, i Image: Student Board 1.2h, i Image: Student Board Image: Student Board 1.2h, i Image: Student Board Image: Student Stude Stud		Learn 360 Videos			
• ScienceSaurus 1.2i i Technology is used to differentiate instruction • Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 1.2h • By task 1.2h i • By task 1.2h i • By student need 1.2h i Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h i Resources • Smart Board 1.2h, i i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i i i • Usual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		Pearson Success Net leveled readers			
Technology is used to differentiate instruction Image: construction Technology/Resources Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 1.2h By task 1.2h Image: construction Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Image: construction Resources Smart Board 1.2h, i Image: construction Whiteboard 1.2h, i Image: construction Image: construction Visual Aids: Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		ScienceSaurus			
• Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 1.2h • By task 1.2h • By student need 1.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources 1.2h, i • Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: 1.2h, i • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st – 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i			1.21		
Technology/ Resources By task 1.2h • By student need 1.2h 1.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h 1.2h Resources • Smart Board 1.2h, i 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i 1.2h, i 1.2h, i • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		Technology is used to differentiate instruction			
Technology/ Resources By student need 1.2h Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources 1.2h, i • Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: 1.2h, i • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		 Online Think Central Fusion – Differentiated Menu of Options (1-5) 			
• By student need 1.2n Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources • Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • • Visual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i	Technology/	• By task			
Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task 1.2h Resources • Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • • Visual Aids: • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i			1.2h		
• Smart Board 1.2h, i • Whiteboard 1.2h, i • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: 1.2h, i • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i	itesources	Students held accountable to task through writing, computer record, task	1.2h		
• Whiteboard 1.2h, i • IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: 1.2h, i • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		Resources			
• IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets 1.2h, i • Visual Aids: 1.2h, i • Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 1.2h, i		Smart Board	1.2h, i		
 Visual Aids: Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5th gr.), Moon Poster (4th gr.)(OWL (at least 1st - 2nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work. 		• Whiteboard	1.2h, i		
Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st – 2 nd), Relevant Science Vocabulary, Science tables with related science artifacts, samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work.		• IPADS, Netbooks, Kindles, tablets	1.2h, i		
samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work.		• Charts: SEAMS Poster (3rd-5 th gr.), Moon Poster (4 th gr.)(OWL (at least 1 st - 2 nd),	1.2h, i		
Manipulatives visible and always available to students.		samples, measuring tools of science, photos, exemplary student work.			
Calculators 1.2h,i		Calculators	1.2h,i		
Science literature is incorporated.		Science literature is incorporated.			
Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) questions asked are primarily		Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) questions asked are primarily			
Higher Order • Level 1 – Recall:		• Level 1 – Recall:			
Questioning Items that involve simple knowledge, little comprehension involved, no 1.2d,	Questioning		,		
complexity, and no depth, involves recall, rote response, and only a very basic, e, f surface knowledge of material			e, f		

(continued)	 Level 2 – Skill/Concept: Involves students beginning to apply skills and processing concepts involving more comprehension. Students interpret material and make simple decisions about how to approach a problem. They do things as infer, conclude, compare, summarize, and determine relationships. The level of complexity is still relatively low. 	1.2d, e, f	
Higher Order Questioning	 Level 3 – Strategic Thinking: Students begin to analyze, evaluate, reason, and plan. The complexity is much deeper at this level. Students would be expected to compare/contrast ideas among two or more references. Students also begin to deal with abstractions and open-ended conclusions and are able to support their thinking. 	1.2d, e, f	
	 Level 4 – Extended Thinking Student activities involve much higher-level thought processes and skills, such as activities requiring them to synthesize, hypothesize, evaluate, and analyze material. Their grasp of knowledge is deep. Student's interaction with material is in-depth, complete, and purposeful. 	1.2d, e, f	

Summary Notes:



Pinellas County Schools

The following is an action plan that identifies

SMART GOAL:

Target Population:

Action Plan STEPS	Date for Implementation	Person Responsible

Form B: Post Classroom Visits

School:

Content Team: _____ Date: _____

- 1. Record Content Team Tallies from all implementation rubrics on 1 Final Team Implementation Rubric (5 minutes)
- 2. Complete the Commendations and Concerns Table in Content Teams (10 minutes):

COMMENDATIONS	CONCERNS

- 3. Content Teams Report rubric data (tallies) and commendations and concerns to whole group (15 minutes)
- 4. As a whole group, identify next steps for action plan that will be incorporated into school's SIP (30 minutes)

School Next Steps for Action Plan	Instructional Support Team Next Steps for
	Action Plan

Instructional Support Model

Language Arts/Reading, Math, Science, and Social Sciences 2013-2014



Department of High School Education Division of Curriculum and Instruction

Instructional Support Model

Purpose

The Instructional Support Model for High Schools is intended to:

- Build capacity of school-based administrators' understanding of best practices of teaching and learning in secondary reading and language arts, math, science, and social studies classrooms so they can better support and coach teachers
- Support teachers of reading, math, and science in the continuous improvement of their practice to assist teacher and school goals for improved performance for all students.

Intended Outcomes for the Year

- 1. Support of the School Improvement Plan at each high school.
- 2. Effective monitoring of teaching and learning practices in core curricular programs. This will be accomplished using implementation rubrics (aligned to teacher evaluation instrument) during district instructional support visits to schools.
- 3. Data analysis after each school visit that will support the work of instructional coaches, assist schools in developing model classrooms in each core area, and assist in planning for LLC embedded professional development in schools.

Priority Schools for the Instructional Support Model for the 2013-2014 School Year

The chart below provides a list of all schools, K-12, that have been identified as priority schools for support under the Instructional Support Model.

ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH
Bear Creek ES	Azalea MS	Boca Ciega HS
Belcher ES	Bay Point MS	Dixie Hollins HS
Belleair ES	Hopkins MS	Gibbs HS
Campbell Park ES	Largo MS	Lakewood HS
Dunedin ES	Meadowlawn MS	Northeast HS
Fairmount Park ES	Pinellas Park MS	
High Point ES	Tyrone MS	
Lakewood ES		
Maximo ES		
Melrose ES		
New Heights ES		
Ponce de Leon ES		
Pinellas Park ES		
Sandy Lane ES		
Woodlawn ESE		

Instructional Model Support Calendar 2013-2014

1st Visit	2nd Visit	3rd Visit	4th Visit	5th Visit
9/27/13, 10:30-1:00pm	1/31/14, 7:30-10:00am	5/9/14, 10:30-1:00pm		
9/11/13, 7:30-10:00am	10/23/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/11/13, 7:30-10:00am	2/12/14, 10:30-1:00pm	3/19/14, 7:30-10:00am
9/13/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm	4/11/14, 7:30-10:00am		
9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am	1/31/14, 10:30-1:00pm	5/9/14, 7:30-10:00am		
9/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm	10/29/13, 10:30-1:00pm	12/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm	2/12/14, 7:30-10:00am	3/19/14, 10:30-1:00pm
9/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm	12/13/13, 7:30-10:00am	4/11/14, 10:30-1:00pm		
10/18/13, 7:30-10:00am	2/7/14, 7:30-10:00am	5/2/14, 10:30-1:00pm		
9/18/13, 7:30-10:00am	10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/4/13, 10:30-1:00pm	2/5/14, 7:30-10:00am	3/12/14, 10:30-1:00pm
9/18/13, 10:30-1:00pm	10/30/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/4/13, 7:30-10:00am	2/5/14, 10:30-1:00pm	3/12/14, 7:30-10:00am
9/20/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/6/13, 10:30-1:00pm	4/4/14, 7:30-10:00am		
9/25/13, 7:30-10:00am	10/30/13, 10:30-1:00pm	12/18/13, 7:30-10:00am	2/19/14, 7:30-10:00am	4/2/14, 10:30-1:00pm
10/4/13, 10:30-1:00pm	1/24/14, 10:30-1:00pm	4/18/14, 7:30-10:00am		
10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am	1/28/14, 7:30-10:00am	4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm		
9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm	12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am	4/4/14, 10:30-1:00pm		
9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm	1/24/14, 7:30-10:00am	5/2/14, 7:30-10:00am		
10/4/13, 7:30-10:00am	12/19/13, 10:30-1:00pm	4/18/14, 10:30-1:00pm		
10/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm	1/28/14, 10:30-1:00pm	4/25/14, 7:30-10:00am		
	9/27/13, 10:30-1:00pm 9/11/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/13/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm 10/18/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/18/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/20/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/25/13, 7:30-10:00am 10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am 9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm 9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm 10/4/13, 7:30-10:00am	9/27/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/31/14, 7:30-10:00am9/11/13, 7:30-10:00am10/23/13, 7:30-10:00am9/13/13, 7:30-10:00am12/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am1/31/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/29/13, 10:30-1:00pm9/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am10/18/13, 7:30-10:00am2/7/14, 7:30-10:00am9/18/13, 7:30-10:00am10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am9/18/13, 7:30-10:00am10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am9/18/13, 7:30-10:00am10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 7:30-10:00am12/6/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/4/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/24/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am1/28/14, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/24/14, 7:30-10:00am10/4/13, 7:30-10:00am12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am10/4/13, 7:30-10:00am12/219/13, 10:30-1:00pm	9/27/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/31/14, 7:30-10:00am5/9/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/11/13, 7:30-10:00am10/23/13, 7:30-10:00am12/11/13, 7:30-10:00am9/13/13, 7:30-10:00am12/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm4/11/14, 7:30-10:00am9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am1/31/14, 10:30-1:00pm5/9/14, 7:30-10:00am9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am1/29/13, 10:30-1:00pm5/9/14, 7:30-10:00am9/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/29/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm9/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/13/13, 7:30-10:00am4/11/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/18/13, 7:30-10:00am2/7/14, 7:30-10:00am5/2/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/18/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am12/4/13, 7:30-10:00am9/18/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/30/13, 7:30-10:00am12/4/13, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 7:30-10:00am12/6/13, 10:30-1:00pm4/18/14, 7:30-10:00am10/4/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/24/14, 10:30-1:00pm4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am4/214, 10:30-1:00pm9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am4/214, 7:30-10:00am10/4/13, 7:30-10:00am12/6/13, 7:30-10:00am4/214, 10:30-1:00pm9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/19/13, 10:30-1:00pm4/18/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/4/13, 7:30-10:00am12/19/13, 10:30-1:00pm4/18/14, 10:30-1:00pm	9/27/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/31/14, 7:30-10:00am5/9/14, 10:30-1:00pm2/12/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/11/13, 7:30-10:00am10/23/13, 7:30-10:00am12/11/13, 7:30-10:00am2/12/14, 10:30-1:00pm9/13/13, 7:30-10:00am12/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm4/11/14, 7:30-10:00am2/12/14, 7:30-10:00am9/27/13, 7:30-10:00am1/31/14, 10:30-1:00pm5/9/14, 7:30-10:00am2/12/14, 7:30-10:00am9/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/29/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/11/13, 10:30-1:00pm2/12/14, 7:30-10:00am9/13/13, 10:30-1:00pm12/13/13, 7:30-10:00am4/11/14, 10:30-1:00pm2/12/14, 7:30-10:00am9/13/13, 7:30-10:00am2/7/14, 7:30-10:00am5/2/14, 10:30-1:00pm2/5/14, 7:30-10:00am9/18/13, 7:30-10:00am10/29/13, 7:30-10:00am12/4/13, 10:30-1:00pm2/5/14, 7:30-10:00am9/18/13, 10:30-1:00pm10/30/13, 7:30-10:00am12/4/13, 7:30-10:00am2/5/14, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 7:30-10:00am12/6/13, 10:30-1:00pm4/4/14, 7:30-10:00am2/19/14, 7:30-10:00am10/4/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/28/14, 7:30-10:00am2/19/14, 7:30-10:00am10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am1/28/14, 7:30-10:00am4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/28/14, 7:30-10:00am4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/28/14, 7:30-10:00am4/25/14, 10:30-1:00pm10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am9/20/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/24/14, 7:30-10:00am5/2/14, 7:30-10:00am10/11/13, 7:30-10:00am9/25/13, 10:30-1:00pm1/24/14, 7:30-10:00am5/2/14, 7:30-10:00am1

Indicates Priority School and visit is with Area Superintendent

Process for Instructional Support Model School Visits

Before Instructional Support Model School Visit Date

We ask that School Principals:

- Talk with staff about the purpose and intended outcomes of the Instructional Support Model
- Post scheduled Instructional Support Model visits on school calendar early.
- Arrange for the administrative team to participate in the discussions before and after the classroom visits. (If AP's need to step out to handle an urgent call, they should rejoin the group when possible).
- Notify all teachers in reading, math, science, and social studies that their classrooms will be visited during the Instructional Support Model visits. Other teachers/classrooms may be visited as time permits.
- Provide feedback from the Instructional Support Model visit to the staff in the form of data gathered during visit, and discussions regarding next steps in each area. The post visit conference will provide guidance as to the data and next steps to discuss with staff.

The Purpose of the Instructional Coach includes (for schools with coaching support):

- Facilitation of professional learning conversations with and between teachers and administrators
- Provision of embedded, just-in-time support to teachers through coaching and mentoring
- Working within the structure of professional learning communities
- Strengthening the work of professional learning communities in which teachers gain knowledge *of* their practice through inquiry/action research.

These are lofty goals, yet goals that we know will make a difference for the teachers of our district. With quality instruction in all of our classrooms, student performance will increase. In order to fulfill these goals, our coaches/staff developers *must* spend at least 75% their time:

- Lesson planning with teachers
- Modeling lessons within classrooms
- Debriefing classroom demonstration lessons
- Facilitating book studies and lesson studies
- Participating in your School Leadership Team/School Based Rtl Leadership Team
- Providing support through activities tied to specific, measureable goals within your school's SIP/Corrective Action/Restructuring Plans
- Engaging in their own professional development through district opportunities, coaches/staff developers PLCs, and inquiry projects of their own
- Collaborating with administration, grade level teams, department teams to prioritize their goals to establish model classrooms within your building by highlighting the use of instructional best practices.

Instructional Support Model Visit (Time: Approximately 2.5 hours)

The Instructional Support Team (Area Superintendent, Executive Director, and Content Specialists) will join with the principal and assistant principals (as possible) to:

- 30 minutes: Conduct pre-classroom visits discussion (see Form A following Implementation Rubrics)
 - Utilize school performance data to focus on/review appropriate areas of School Improvement Plan and school processes (such as PLC schedules, calendared PD plans)
- 60 minutes: Separate into content teams and conduct classroom visits using implementation rubrics as instructional measurement tool (rubrics are aligned with teacher evaluation system). Each classroom visit should last 5-6 minutes minimum (work to visit 10 classrooms during visit)
- 30 minutes: Debrief Classroom visits
 - ✓ 5 minutes: Content Teams tally data on 1 "Team Implementation Rubric" for each content area
 - ✓ 10 minutes: Content Teams prepare lists of commendations and concerns (noting major trends/observations made during visits) for each content area
 - ✓ 15 minutes: Content Teams report their total tallies and their commendations and concerns to whole group
- 30 minutes: Instructional Support Team and School Leadership Team plan draft of next steps to be written into an action plan and incorporated into school's SIP. Finalized action plan will be crafted for review within 24 hours of school visit.
 - ✓ Next steps will include:
 - o explicit information regarding district support school seeks to carry out action plan steps
 - o how/when progress on action will be collected/reported to Instructional Support Team

FORM A: Instructional Support Team Pre-Classroom Visits Discussion Sheet

School Name	Date:
Before Visiting Cl	assrooms
School	
Reading, Math,	
Science, and	
Social Science	
Data Points	
SIP Major	
Strategies	
Important to	
Know for Visits	
▼	
SIP Points of	
Pride- What	
does school	
leadership	
team believe is	
working well?	
SIP Areas of	
Concern- What	
are areas of	
concern for	
school	
leadership	
team?	

	Science Implementa	tion R	ub	ric	
Group/	Name		С	bse	ervation
Date					
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident	Notes
Science Instruction	Is the teacher effectively utilizing a 5E or gradual release model for instructional delivery? Is the teacher posting and referring to an essential question and/or learning goal? Are students responding to the essential question and/or learning goal? Is the teacher using formative assessments and checks for understanding to drive instruction? Is the teacher using rubrics and exemplars related to current content to demonstrate high proficiency of the learning goal? Is the teacher encouraging students to use rubrics to describe their status relative to the learning goal? Does instruction include higher-level, open ended questions to promote learning? Is the teacher providing adequate wait time for students to think about and respond to all questions? Is the teacher engaging all students in learning?	1.2c 1.2b 1.2b 1.1c 1.1a, c 1.1a, c 1.2e, f 1.2e, f 1.2g			
Scie	Are the students engaged in multiple opportunities for learning content through hands on activities or labs? Are students engaged in taking measurements or making calculations or observations? Are students engaged in making predictions, hypothesizing, or evaluating? Are the teacher and students using technology (microscopes, probe ware, computer based programs, response systems, etc.) to engage students in learning? Are teachers and students making real-world connections to deepen understanding of new knowledge?	1.2a, c, e, f, g 1.2a, c, e, f, g 1.2a, c, e, f, g 1.1d, 1.2c, e, g, h, i 1.2e, f, g			
Student Engagement	Students appear to be: Authentically engaged Actively engaged Compliant Off-task Strategies are used to engage or reengage students	1.2g, 2.1d			

Science Implementation Rubric Continued

Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident	Notes
	Is text complexity along with close reading and rereading of texts central to unit of instruction?	1.2a,e			
S	Are teachers providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students?	1.2a,e			
on Standards	Are teachers developing and asking text-dependent questions from a range of question types?	1.2 a, f, e 2.1k			
on Stai	Are teachers emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text?	1.2c, 2.1k			
ementati Literacy	Are teachers providing research & writing opportunities (claims & evidence, inquiry projects, lab reports, reflective journaling, etc.)?	1.2f			
Full Implementation non Core Literacy St	Are students engaging in collaborative structures and accountable talk around science content? (speaking & listening standards)	1.2g, 2.1j			
Imple Core	Are teachers using supplemental texts (newspapers, articles, websites, picture books, etc.)?	1.2 a, c, d, e, f			
Full of Common	Are teachers providing rich and rigorous performance tasks requiring students to engage with content & aligned to standards?	1.2 e, f			
W	Are teachers modeling the close, attentive, reading?	1.2c			
f Co	Does instruction expand general academic and domain-specific vocabulary?	1.2 a, c, d, e ,f			
õ	Does instruction include high-level comprehension and critical analysis by students?	1.2 a, c, d, e ,f			
	Does instruction include student question generation, inquiry, and research processes?	1.2 a, c, d, e ,f			

Secondary Math Implementation Rubric

Group/Name	Observation Date			
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident
	Board states learning goal as a question or statement.	1.2b		
Establish and	(Has to be worded like: " Students will be ableto or students will understand")	2.1b, c		
Communicate	Learning Goals are visible and are Aligned to NGSSS and/or CCSS standards	1.2a		
Learning Goals	Agenda;/Activities/ Content Monitoring Processes visible to all	1.2b		
Learning Goals	Content Enhancement Course and/or Unit Organizer utilized in the classroom	1.2b		
	Learning Goals referred to throughout the lesson	1.2b,c		
	Implicit Instruction (Developing Conceptual Understanding)			
	Teacher models use of strategies using think alouds	1.2c,d		
	Students explain and/or elaborate through accountable talk – Students reason abstractly and quantitatively (CCSS – MP 2)	1.2c ,d		
	Evidence of teacher planning opportunities to engage students to determine prior knowledge of a concept or idea and explore through probing questions	1.2a, c, d, e		
	Explicit Instruction (Gradual Release Model)			
	Teacher connects learning to previous teaching	1.2 c, d, e		
	Explicit learning goal and teaching point stated to introduce a skill/topic	1.2b,c		
	Teacher models the work students are expected to do	1.2c		
	Students practice this work in pairs/small group with teacher support	1.2c,d		
	Utilizes WICOR strategies based on student's needs through the lesson	1.2a		
	Students practicing work on their own	1.2c,e		
	Rubrics/criteria established and used to define quality work for students			
Instructional Delivery Method	Teacher confers with students	1.2c 1.1b		
	 Implementation of the Mathematical Practice Standards Teacher provide opportunity for students construct viable arguments and critique the reasoning of others (MP 3) Teacher uses and require students to attend to precision in math language and operations (MP 6) 			
	Evidence of Modeling with Mathematics (MP 4)			_
	Sketching	1.2d		
	Charts and/or graphs			
	Data collections and analysis			
	Graphic organizers			
	Teacher provide learning opportunities for students to look for and make use of structure in the math content (MP 7)			
	Teacher provide opportunities for students to look for and express regularity in repeated reasoning (MP 8)	1.2a,c		
	Type of problems or tasks are aligns to learning goal	1.2a		
	Teacher provide opportunities for students to construct viable arguments and critique the	1.2c,		
	reasoning of others (MP 3)	d,e		
	Scales and/or Rubrics used to analyze student work	1.1a, b		
	Formative assessments used to modify and drive instruction	1.1b, c		1
Assessment	Checks for understanding administered periodically	1.1b, c		1
	Model of student maintained progress monitoring tool visible in the classroom	-, -		1
	Current Progress Chart displayed to reflect class achievement of learning goals	1.1a		1

Category	Descriptors	Apprais al code	Evident	Not Evident
Student Engagement	Students appear to be: • Authentically engaged • Actively engaged • Compliant • Off-task Strategies are used to engage or reengage students	1.2d 2.1d 1.2g		
Organizes Students for Learning	Students are working collaboratively with teacher facilitation. Students are working collaboratively on their own. Students are working independently at their desk/table. Some students are engaged in differentiated small group instruction facilitated by the teacher. Students are engaged in whole class learning facilitated by the teacher. Students are working in centers/rotations.	1.2c, 1.2 d, 1.2e, 1.2 f		
Classroom Management	Teacher uses time efficiently Teacher implements smooth transitions Classroom environment is conducive to learning and risk-taking Students know classroom procedures Provides verbal and nonverbal cues Rules and procedures are defined and consistently implemented, monitored, and adhered to	2.1b 2.1b, c, g, h 2.1e 2.1b, d, e, f		
Technology and Resources CCSS-MP 5	Computer based programs used by students for inquiry and/or remediation Manipulatives available and used appropriately with students Computers used by students for investigations, data analysis, and creations for communications Students interacting with either 4-function, graphing or scientific calculators	1.2i 1.2i 1.2i 1.2i		
	 Webb's Depth of Knowledge (DOK) questions asked are primarily Level 1 – Recall: Items that involve simple knowledge, little comprehension involved, no complexity, and no depth, involves recall, rote response, and only a very basic, surface knowledge of material. Level 2 – Skill/Concept: Involves students beginning to apply skills and processing concepts involving more comprehension. Students interpret material and make simple decisions about how to approach a problem. They do things as infer, conclude, compare, summarize, and determine relationships. 	1.2d, e, f 1.2d, e, f		
Higher Order Questioning	 The level of complexity is still relatively low. Level 3 – Strategic Thinking: Students begin to analyze, evaluate, reason, and plan. The complexity is much deeper at this level. Students would be expected to compare/contrast ideas among two or more references. Students also begin to deal with abstractions and open-ended conclusions and are able to support their thinking. 	1.2d, e, f		
	 Level 4 – Extended Thinking Student activities involve much higher-level thought processes and skills, such as activities requiring them to synthesize, hypothesize, evaluate, and analyze material. Their grasp of knowledge is deep. Student's interaction with material is in-depth, complete, and purposeful. 	1.2d, e, f		

SECONDARY LANGUAGE ARTS & READING 2013-2014 IMPLEMENTATION TRENDS

Group/N					
Group/N	Name Observation Date			_	
CATEGORY	DESCRIPTORS	Appraisal code	EVIDENT	NOT EVIDENT	
	Teachers use supplemental texts to regularly include shorter, challenging passages that elicit close reading and re-reading.	1.2 a, c, d, e, f			
	Teachers require students to closely read and re-read texts in order to fully comprehend increasingly difficult text independently.	1.2 d, e			
	Teachers require students to respond in writing and discussion to text-dependent questions focused on the Standards.	1.2 d,e,f,g 1.2 e, f			
	Teachers provide rich and rigorous performance tasks requiring students to engage with text & aligned to standards.				
	Teachers provide careful instruction—including effective scaffolding that does not preempt or replace the text to enable them to read at the appropriate level of text complexity.				
	Teachers provide students with opportunities to interact with texts are at or above grade level.	1.2 a, d			
S	Classroom libraries have leveled texts selected as determined by the independent reading level of the students.	2.1 g			
URSE	Teachers provide students with access to authentic fiction and non-fiction texts through expanded classrooms libraries.	1.2 d, e, g, 2.1 g			
C	Teachers model the close, attentive reading that is at the heart of understanding complex text.	1.2c			
Language Arts & Reading Courses (K-12Reading Plan)	Teachers involve and guide all students in tracking their own progress toward meeting the goals.				
& Rea Plan	 Teachers use formative assessments to collect and track student progress and guide instruction. Teachers provide extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence). Teachers emphasizing students supporting answers based upon evidence from the text and providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence). Teachers and students are using rubrics. INSTRUCTION EXPANDS LITERACY DEVELOPMENT BEYOND FOUNDATIONAL SKILLS TO INCLUDE SUCH CAPACITY 				
NG	Teachers provide extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence).	1.2a,d,e,f			
Ar 4DI	Teachers emphasizing students supporting answers based upon evidence from the text and	1.2a,d,e,f			
GE Re	providing extensive research and writing opportunities (claims and evidence).				
12	Teachers and students are using rubrics.	1.1 a, b			
-Y)	INSTRUCTION EXPANDS LITERACY DEVELOPMENT BEYOND FOUNDATIONAL SKILLS TO INCLUDE SUCH CAPACI	TIES AS:			
	general academic and discipline-specific vocabulary	1.2 a, c,			
AR	 high-level comprehension and critical literary analysis 	d, e ,f			
ND	 student question generation, inquiry, and research processes 				
Secondary	READING INTERVENTION COURSES (INCLUDING ENGLISH+ READING) INCLUDE THE FOLLOWING ON A DAILY	BASIS:		<u> </u>	
SE	whole group explicit and systematic instruction	1.1 c, 1.2			
	small group differentiated instruction	a, c, g			
	• independent reading practice monitored by the teacher (e.g., reading logs, student 2.1b,j				
	discussion, and student-teacher conferences)				
	a focus on increasingly complex literary and informational texts (exposition,				
	argumentation/persuasive, functional/procedural documents, etc.) at a ratio matching FCAT 2.0 Item Specifications and grade-level appropriate complex text				
	APPROPRIATE TIME SPENT WITH READING SOFTWARE IN READING INTERVENTION COURSES:	I		L	
	EPS (grades 7 & 8—double-block)	1.2a			
	 Read 180 (grades 6-10—double-block) 	1.2a		$\left - \right $	
	 Reading Plus (grades 9 & 10—single-block) 	1.2a			
		1.2a		$\left - \right $	
	 ACHIEVE3000 (grade 11 & 12—single-block) 	1.20			

		A HIGH QUALITY TEXT OR TEXTS IS AT THE CENTER OF THE LESSON	1.2a						
		• MAJORITY OF CLASS TIME IS SPENT READING, WRITING, OR SPEAKING DIRECTLY ABOUT A TEXT.	2.1j						
		• THE TEXT IS AT OR ABOVE THE COMPLEXITY LEVEL EXPECTED FOR THE GRADE & POINT IN YEAR.							
		• THE TEXT EXHIBITS EXCEPTIONAL CRAFT AND THOUGHT AND/OR PROVIDES USEFUL INFORMATION.							
DS		EVERY STUDENT IS GIVEN EXTENSIVE OPPORTUNITY TO WORK WITH GRADE-LEVEL TEXT.	7						
DAR		QUESTIONS AND TASKS ARE TEXT-DEPENDENT AND TEXT SPECIFIC.	1.2 a,c,						
STANE	HIFTS	QUESTIONS AND TASKS ADDRESS THE SPECIFIC TEXT BEING READ (dimensions, concepts, ideas, and details)	d,e,f,g 2.1j,k						
Ë	AL S	QUESTIONS AND TASKS ATTEND TO THE ACADEMIC LANGUAGE (i.e., vocabulary & Syntax)							
ie Sta	TION	QUESTIONS AND TASKS REQUIRE STUDENTS TO DRAW EVIDENCE FROM THE TEXT TO SUPPORT THEIR RESPONSES.							
COMMON CORE STATE STANDARDS	NSTRUCTIONAL SHIFTS	• SEQUENCES OF QUESTIONS CAUSE STUDENTS TO DELVE DEEPER TO MAKE INFERENCES BEYOND WHAT IS EXPLICITLY STATED IN THE TEXT.							
ž	2	ALL STUDENTS ARE PRODUCTIVELY ENGAGED IN THE WORK OF THE LESSON USING EVIDENCE.	1.2						
δ		• ALL STUDENTS—WORKING INDIVIDUALLY, WITH OTHER STUDENTS, OR WITH THE TEACHERS—PERSIST IN	d,e,f,g						
C		EFFORTS TO COMPREHEND DEMANDING GRADE-LEVEL TEXT(S).	2.1j,k		ļ				
		ALL STUDENTS PERSIST IN EFFORTS TO WORK THROUGH QUESTIONS AND TASKS INDEPENDENTLY AND WITH OTHER STUDENTS.							
		• THE TEACHER CONSISTENTLY EXPECTS AND PROBES STUDENTS FOR EVIDENCE AND PRECISION.							
		STUDENTS COLLABORATE TO BUILD ON EACH OTHER'S OBSERVATIONS OR INSIGHTS USING EVIDENCE.							
		STUDENTS APPEAR TO BE:							
	z	AUTHENTICALL	Y ENGAGED						
Z	ME	Αςτινει	Y ENGAGED						
STUDENT	ENGAGEMENT		Compliant						
ST	NG/		OFF-TASK						
	Ľ	STRATEGIES ARE USED TO ENGAGE OR REENGAGE STUDENTS	1.2g 2.1d		1				
A	ddit	ional Notes:							

COMMENDATIONS	Concerns

	Social Studies Impleme	ntatio	n 7	Fr	ends
Group	/Name	Observat	tior	n Da	ate
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident	Notes
Social Studies Instruction	Does the teacher display knowledge of students' reading levels, ELL Status, IEP requirements? Does the teacher consult appropriate collegues for assistance to provide resources for such students? Does the teacher use "bell work" as an authentic part of the lesson? Is the teacher effectively utilizing gradual release model for instructional delivery? (Cycle may require multiple class periods to complete). Is the teacher posting and referring to an essential question and/or learning goal? Are students responding to the essential question and/or learning goal? Is the teacher using formative assessments and checks for understanding to drive instruction? Is the teacher using rubrics and exemplars related to current content to demonstrate high proficiency of the learning goal? Is the teacher encouraging students to use rubrics to describe their status relative to the learning goal? Does instruction include higher-level, open ended questions to promote learning? Is the teacher engaging all students in learning? Does the teacher engaging all students in learning? Does the teacher maintain appropriate pacing? Are students engaged in making predictions and inferences, and arguments? Are the teacher and students using technology to engage students in learning? Does the teacher post and refer to maps, charts, and graphs? Does the teacher ensure that students are mindful of "time, place, and story"? Does the teacher routinely ask students to analyze documents?	1.1 a, c 1.1 a 1.2 a 1.2 c 1.2 b 1.2 b 1.2 b 1.1 c 1.1 a, c 1.1 a, c 1.2 e, f 1.2 e, f 1.2 e, f 1.2 a 1.2 a 1.2 a, c, e, g 1.1 d, 1 1.2 c, e, g 1.1 d, 1 1.2 c, e, g 1.2 d, e 1.2 e			

			1		
ب	Students appear to be:				
Student Engagement	Authentically engaged				
Student Igageme	Actively engaged				
nd	Compliant				
Still	Off-task				
En	Strategies are used to engage or reengage students	1.2 g, 2.1 d			
Category	Descriptors	Appraisal code	Evident	Not Evident	Notes
	Is text complexity along with close reading and rereading of multiple texts central to unit of instruction?	1.2a,e			
	Are teachers providing scaffolding that does not preempt or replace text reading by students?	1.2a,e			
ards	Are teachers developing and asking text-dependent questions from a range of question types?	1.2 a, f, e 2.1k			
on Standards	Are teachers emphasizing students supporting their answers based upon evidence from the text with citation	1.2c, 2.1k			
ntatic racy S	Are teachers providing research & writing opportunities (claims & evidence, document analysis, DBQs)?	1.2f			
plementation re Literacy Sta	Are students engaging in collaborative structures and accountable talk around social studies content? (speaking & listening standards)	1.2g, 2.1j			
Col Col	Are teachers using supplemental texts (newspapers, articles, websites, picture books, primary and secondary sources, including maps, graphs, charts, political cartoons, historical fiction and non-fiction excerpts)?	1.2 a, c, d, e, f			
Full of Common	Are teachers providing rich and rigorous performance tasks requiring students to engage with content & aligned to standards?	1.2 e, f			
Jf	Are teachers modeling the close, attentive, reading?	1.2c			
	Does instruction expand general academic and	1.2 a, c,			
	domain-specific vocabulary including glossary of words used in EOC?	d, e ,f			
	Does instruction include high-level comprehension	1.2 a, c,			
	and critical analysis by students?	d, e ,f			

Form B: Post Classroom Visits

School:

Content Team: _____ Date: _____

- 1. Record Content Team Tallies from all implementation rubrics on 1 Final Team Implementation Rubric (5 minutes)
- 2. Complete the Commendations and Concerns Table in Content Teams (10 minutes):

COMMENDATIONS	CONCERNS

- 3. Content Teams Report rubric data (tallies) and commendations and concerns to whole group (15 minutes)
- 4. As a whole group, identify next steps for action plan that will be incorporated into school's SIP (30 minutes)

School Next Steps for Action Plan	Instructional Support Team Next Steps for Action Plan

Pinellas County Schools

The following is an action plan that identifies crucial next steps for

GOAL:

Target Population:

Date for Implementation	Person Responsible

Leading the Learning Cadre: Teacher Leader Selection Process Principal Timeline and Process Information

PURPOSE

THE PURPOSE OF OUR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT SYSTEM IS TO SUPPORT THE CONTINUAL AND INCREMENTAL GROWTH OF STAFF. THE LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE (LLC) ARE A CRITICAL ELEMENT OF THAT GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT. EACH SCHOOL WILL HAVE ONE LLC CONSISTING OF ONE ADMINISTRATOR AND FOUR TEACHERS.

COMMITMENT OF LLC MEMBERS

- ATTEND LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE TRAININGS WITH LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE
- COMPLETE ONLINE CONTENT MODULE
- PLAN AND IMPLEMENT PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT WITH LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE

TIMELINE

ON OR BEFORE: Friday, January 11, 2013	PRINCIPALS RECEIVE DOCUMENTS VIA EMAIL FROM LISA GRANT AND PAM MOORE.
FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2013	SHARE OPPORTUNITY AND APPLICATION PROCESS WITH TEACHERS. DISTRIBUTE THE APPLICATION AND RUBRIC TO INTERESTED TEACHERS.
Monday, January 28, 2013	TEACHERS SUBMIT APPLICATION AND SELF-ASSESSMENT RUBRIC TO PRINCIPAL
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2013	PRINCIPALS SELECT CADRE MEMBERS
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2013	PRINCIPAL SENDS INFORMATION SHEET, APPLICATION, AND A COPY OF THIS FORM WITH THE NAMES OF YOUR SCHOOL LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE (SEE BELOW) TO LISA GRANT IN PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT
THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 7, 2013	LISA GRANT AND PAM MOORE SEND CONGRATULATIONS LETTER & CADRE ORIENTATION INVITE!
FEBRUARY 20 & 21, 2013	LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE ORIENTATION SESSIONS

SCHOOL LEADING THE LEARNING CADRE MEMBERS

SCHOOL: _		
Cadre me	mbers Selected:	
Principal:		
Teacher:		

Leading the Learning Cadre: Teacher Leader Application Form

Please complete and submit this application form to your principal along with your self-evaluation rubric no later than Monday, January 28, 2013.

CURRENT SCHOOL:	PRINCIPAL:	_
TEACHER NAME:	EMAIL:	
PHONE:		
CURRENT POSITION:	_GRADE LEVEL(S):	
Content Area(s) taught:		
PRIOR TEACHER LEADERSHIP ROLES:		
IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW, EXPLAIN HO	W THIS EXPERIENCE IN A TEACHER LEADERSHIP ROLE WILL HE	ELP YOU GROW
PROFESSIONALLY AND IMPACT YOUR SCHOOL	. GOALS.	
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2013PRINCIPALS SELECTTUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2013PRINCIPAL SENDS I		ENTATION INVITE!
Teacher Signature:	Date:	
Principal Signature:	Date:	

Leading the Learning Cadre: <u>Teacher Leader Qualities Self-Evaluation Rubric</u>

Teacher's Name: _____ School: _____

Date: _____

For each item, select the score you believe best reflects where you are as a teacher leader. Your application and rubric are due to your school principal by Monday, January 28, 2013.

Working with Colleagues	Beginning	Developing	Accomplished	Exemplary	Total
Employs effective interpersonal skills (Inclusive of communication and relationships)	1	2	3	4	
Respected by staff	1	2	3	4	
Collaborates with staff providing a culture of collegiality	1	2	3	4	
Facilitates/encourages professional learning among colleagues	1	2	3	4	
Possesses facilitation skills/experience with adult learners	1	2	3	4	
Willing to serve and lead colleagues in professional development	1	2	3	4	
Models the culture of continuous improvement	1	2	3	4	
Teaching Practice					
Possesses extensive content knowledge	1	2	3	4	
Exhibits implementation of pedagogical content knowledge	1	2	3	4	
Implements exemplary best practices in teaching	1	2	3	4	
Demonstrates a continuous self-reflective process for continual growth	1	2	3	4	
Demonstrates Self-directed learning: seeks professional development opportunities to improve own practice	1	2	3	4	
Demonstrates effective organizational skills	1	2	3	4	
Demonstrates follow through with tasks	1	2	3	4	
Willing to work above and beyond	1	2	3	4	
			•		

Professional Learning Community-Innovation Configuration Map

PLC: A focused and deliberate collaborative group that meets regularly to examine instructional practices and beliefs in a cycle of continuous inquiry to improve student learning.

Level of Implementation	Beginning	Emerging	Acquired	Deliberate
Meeting and Organizing our Work "How is learning organized in our Professional Learning Community?"	Identify a need to meet. Establish norms. Engage in the task at hand. Participate in group discussion.	Set meeting schedule. Plan agenda and record discussions. Productively contribute to collaborative conversations.	Participate in the design of the content and organization of our learning. Establish structure for our way of work. Develop resources.	Contribute research-based resources. We engage in shared leadership.
Professional Learning "How do we learn in our Professional Learning Community?"	Aware that professional learning is the focus of our PLC. Identify a need for professional learning.	Arrange for professional learning opportunities. Identify assigned activities and tasks. Discuss research and reflect to implement strategies.	Complete assigned activities and plan implementation. Implement activities. Reflect and discuss implementation.	Learn through a blended learning model. Explain our purpose and expected outcomes. Use a common language around the content of our learning. Evaluate and analyze professional literature and research-based practices.
Needs Assessment "How do we assess our needs?"	Unclear about our needs for professional learning.	Examine ways to conduct a needs assessment.	Conduct a needs assessment.	Assess not only our needs, but the needs of others through the use of surveys, self-assessments, and data collection.
Data Analysis "How is data analyzed and used to improve performance?"	Awareness for the need for data.	Collect and compile data.	Establish a process to collect and analyze quantitative and qualitative data, including assessments and student work, to inform instruction.	Analyze student data using protocols to determine alignment to standards and data trends across time. Include data from disaggregated groups to inform instruction. Engage in conversation about student performance at every meeting.
Implementation of Professional Learning "How do we apply our knowledge in the facilitation of learning?"	Understand and assess the needs of learners.	Use needs of learners to plan learning opportunities.	Develop strategies to self assess our work. Share results.	Adapt plans and strategies continually based upon implementation results. Create action steps to implement practices with quality and fidelity. Provide constructive feedback on instruction at our meetings. Apply research-based resources consistently.
Monitoring and Assessing "How do we measure effectiveness and impact of our work on our own practice and student learning?"	Determine the need to assess the impact on student learning. Unclear about the need to assess our learning.	 Informally discuss the questions: What needs to be evaluated? How will we measure the impact? How will these results be used? 	 Develop a plan answering the questions: What needs to be evaluated? How will we measure the impact? How will these results be used? Include indicators of effectiveness in the plan. Establish a monitoring plan. 	Use tools as a group and individuals to self assess our understanding of instructional dispositions, content knowledge and pedagogical skill Use defined system to reflect and improve on our practice by continually addressing the questions: • What needs to be evaluated? • How will we measure the impact? • How will these results be used? • How will these rosults be used?

GOAL	CoP/LLC (ASCD)	T & L Content Team	Instructional Coaches	Content Partners	School/PLC
All teachers know the standards.	ELA o Online courses o Deep reading of standards MATH o Online courses o Deep reading of standards	 DWT: Aug, Feb Explicit Slice All 5th grade ELA Dept. chair meetings 	Individual & small group work with teachers	Core Connections (ELA): • All teachers K-3 • 4 th grade (13 schools) • 6-8: all ELA teachers • 9-11: all ELA teachers	
All teachers know shifts +1	ELA o Online courses o Deep reading of standards MATH o Online courses o Deep reading of standards	 DWT: Aug, Feb Explicit Slice All 5th grade ELA Dept. chair meetings 	Individual & small group work with teachers	J. Dixon Math: K-8 teachers (as choice) Core Connections: • All teachers K-3 • 4 th grade (13 schools) • 6-8: all ELA teachers • 9-11: all ELA teachers J. Dixon Math: K-8 teachers (as choice)	

GOAL	CoP/LLC (ASCD)	T & L Content Team	Instructional Coaches	Content Partners	School/PLC
Text Complexity: Move all teachers to awareness of the three components in identification of complex text and initial use of text dependent questions	 Share what is being done in T&L content teams, content partners, instructional coaches Literacy in the subject areas Focus on activities to move teachers to the goal 	 Elementary: DWT Learning Specialist Meetings Explicit Slice compnents (APs/Learning Specialists) Secondary: DWT AP-C meetings to Literacy L teams Explicit slice components 	Individual & small group work with teachers	Core Connections (ELA): All teachers K-3 4 th grade (13 schools) 6-8: all ELA teachers 9-11: all ELA teachers	
Formative Assessment: Move all teachers to initial use of formative assessment with feedback to students about their learning of the standards	 Build background knowledge and define formative assessment (use LSI materials) Configuration map for formative assessment Practice how to create, plan and use formative assessment Practice how to give feedback 	 Data analysis of common assessments Leadership meetings Learning Specialist meetings 	 Individual & small group work with teachers 	Core Connections LSI Julie Dixon Math: o K-8	

GOAL	CoP/LLC (ASCD)	T & L Content Team	Instructional Coaches	Content Partners	School/PLC
	o Teacher to				
	student about				
	learning of the				
	standards				
	Move all teachers				
	to initial use of				
	formative asses				
PLCs to L. S.	Lesson study				
	process				
	 Lesson study 				
	implementation				
	plan				
	Emphasize				
	formative				
	assessment				
	through the lesson				
	study process				

Implementation Guides: bring results Student work: bring

PURPOSE AND DIRECTION

REVIEW & ALIGNMENT

- J'
- Identify Non-Negotiables Based on Data to Determine Priorities

DATA GATHERING

- Teacher/Leader/Support Staff Evaluation Data
- Student Performance Data
- Professional Development Data
- Program Review Data
- Other Relevant Data



USING

RESULTS

FOR

CO

MPROVEMEN

DATA ANALYSIS

- Analyze & Correlate
 Develop Uppethodic
- Develop Hypothesis



PRIORITIZE & PLAN

- Develop Priorities
- Research Resources
- Secure Resources
- Create Action Plans to Obtain
 Anticipated Results

TEACHING AND ASSESSING FOR ADULT LEARNING:

Professional Development System Priority Development Process

COMMUNICATION

Conduct Monitoring Checks

SYSTEMS CHECK

IMPI FMFNTATION

Collaborative Planning Retreat

Review and Revise Plan

- Develop Communication Plan
- Communicate with District Staff, Administrators, Instructional Staff, Support Staff, School Board, Community





RESOURCES AND SUPPORT SYSTEMS

Component	Description	Timeline
2 NTD per cadre	 New Teacher Developers: Provide valuable learning activities for NTs aimed at improving student achievement (High Yield Strategies) Support NTs by modeling lesson plan development that consistently reflect the district's approach to Common Core State Standards, student learning goals, and high yield strategies Lead NTs in planning and self-evaluation of their progress toward student achievement Assist NTs in assessing classroom instruction, lesson plans, examples of student work Share their practice with NTs through videotaped lessons Matches PD focus areas to the NTs needs Checks for understanding in a variety of ways to meet the NTs needs Uses latest research for PD and adult learning to plan PD experiences Provides coaching Works with district-level personnel to plan and evaluate the program Adopts an open-communication plan for their NT group other 	2013/14
Shadow Plan	Provides the opportunity for each new teacher to observe effective teaching in their grade-level or content area	2013/14
NT Seminars	A group of up to 10 teachers in a specific content area /grade level/area to meet for professional learning and collaboration facilitated by 2 NTD's every three weeks.	2013/14
Online-Responsive Support	A system to allow teachers to get support and answers to questions when they need it Online portal to upload and share lesson plans, rubrics and best practices	2013/14
PD Slice	PD offered during semester 1 and semester 2 NT conferences based on identified needs and delivered through a blended model	2012/13
Lead Mentor Site-based	Provide site orientation and monthly support meetings for NTs	2012/13
Community Partners	Support the growth and success of new teachers	2012/13
Communication Plan	District leadersschool-based administratorsteacherscommunity	2012/13
Program Evaluation	Measure: • retention • satisfaction • teacher growth • % of \$ lost or saved	2012/13

A Plan for Early Career Support and Development



Action Research Inquiry Handbook

Educators' systematic study of their own practice



Professional Development Mission Inspiring Excellence in Practice to Ensure Student Success

The School Board of Pinellas County, Florida, prohibits any and all forms of discrimination and harassment based on race, color, sex, religion, national origin, marital status, age, sexual orientation or disability in any of its programs, services or activities.

Compiled 2011-2012

Table of Contents				
Participant Checklist	1			
Copy of Participant Checklist	3			
PDSA/Quality Indicators Rubric	4			
PDSA/Inquiry Brief Roadmap	7			
Stipend Log	16			
PDSA/Inquiry Write-Up Template	20			
Sample Write Up Documents	22			
Notes	34			

<u>Quality Indicators for Assessing Your Own Inquiry</u> Adapted from *The Reflective Educator's Guide to Classroom Research* (2nd ed.) Dana, Nancy Fichtman & Yendol_Hoppey, Diane (2009).

<u>Plan, Do, Study, Act</u> Deming, W. Edwards (2000). *The New Economics for Industry, Government, Education* (2nd ed.). Boston: MIT Press


Action Research/Inquiry Checklist

Thank you for participating in an action research/inquiry project this year. The inquiry process is invaluable for supporting educator learning and it directly impacts student achievement.

Educator inquirers should focus their research on reading, science and/or math teaching and learning as these are district-wide priority areas. Develop an inquiry question connected to reading, science and/or math, directly connected to one or more of the following: SIP, IPDP, PS/Rtl process. The inquiry facilitator(s) will work with you and your colleagues to frame your inquiry in one of these contexts.

As part of the process, you will be collaborating with other colleagues and devoting personal time to the intentional study of your practice. To compensate you for time beyond your contracted day, you are eligible to receive a stipend of \$10/hour for up to 30 hours, which you will receive after you have completed all project requirements. Documentation paperwork is included in the handbook. The Office of Professional Development will track and distribute the stipend.

Please read through the following list of requirements. If you agree to each step, initial next to the statement.

List of conditions and requirements:

No stipends will be paid unless you complete the entire inquiry process. You will not earn a stipend for
partial completion of an inquiry project.

Collaborate with colleagues and Inquiry Facilitator(s).

_____Develop an inquiry question connected to reading, science and/or math, directly connected to one or more of the following: SIP, IPDP, PS/Rtl process.

_____ Draft and implement a research plan and timeline.

_____Publicly present your work to your colleagues at a district Educator Research Learning Showcase.

_____Submit an action research/inquiry summary (3 - 5 pages) to be added to the district database.

_____ Document dates and times spent beyond the school day throughout the action research/inquiry process.

_____Complete rubric and submit log to your Inquiry Facilitator.

Thank you for participating.

Name: (Pri	int clearly)	
Signature:		
Date:		
School:		
Administrato	r Signature:	



Action Research/Inquiry Checklist

Thank you for participating in an action research/inquiry project this year. The inquiry process is invaluable for supporting educator learning and it directly impacts student achievement.

Educator inquirers should focus their research on reading, science and/or math teaching and learning as these are district-wide priority areas. Develop an inquiry question connected to reading, science and/or math, directly connected to one or more of the following: SIP, IPDP, PS/Rtl process. The inquiry facilitator(s) will work with you and your colleagues to frame your inquiry in one of these contexts.

As part of the process, you will be collaborating with other colleagues and devoting personal time to the intentional study of your practice. To compensate you for time beyond your contracted day, you are eligible to receive a stipend of \$10/hour for up to 30 hours, which you will receive after you have completed all project requirements. Documentation paperwork is included in the handbook. The Office of Professional Development will track and distribute the stipend.

Please read through the following list of requirements. If you agree to each step, initial next to the statement.

List of conditions and requirements:

No stipends will be paid unless you complete the entire inquiry process. You will not earn a stipend for
partial completion of an inquiry project.

Collaborate with colleagues and Inquiry Facilitator(s).

_____Develop an inquiry question connected to reading, science and/or math, directly connected to one or more of the following: SIP, IPDP, PS/Rtl process.

_____ Draft and implement a research plan and timeline.

_____Publicly present your work to your colleagues at a district Educator Research Learning Showcase.

_____Submit an action research/inquiry summary (3 - 5 pages) to be added to the district database.

_____ Document dates and times spent beyond the school day throughout the action research/inquiry process.

_____Complete rubric and submit log to your Inquiry Facilitator.

Thank you for participating.

Name: (Print clearly)	
Signature:	
Date:	
School:	
Administrator Signature:	

Quality Indicators Rubric for Assessing Your Own Inquiry

	Quality Indicator	Questions to ask yourself with self-assessing the quality of your inquiry Record date in box as evidence is found within your project to	Self- assessing date	Formative Review date/ collaborative review
		support the quality indicator.		
	Context of	 Have I considered all aspects of my teaching context in the design of my study? 		
	Study	 Did I situate my teaching research for others so they understand my context? 		
	Wonderings and Purpose	 Did I ask something I really didn't know? 		
P L A N		 Did I ensure my wondering is not a simple, dichotomous (yes/no) question so as to honor all the complexity that teaching entails? 		
		 Did I describe the dilemma or tension in my teaching that led to the formation of my wonderings? 		
		 Are my wonderings clearly articulated (free of educational jargon)? 		
		• Did my wonderings focus on me, my personal classroom practice, and on something that I can <i>do</i> rather than on trying to "fix," "change," or "prove something" to others through research?		
		 Did I connect my own personal wonderings with existing knowledge about my topic by mentioning related literature? 		

	Quality Indicator	Questions to ask yourself with self-assessing the quality of your inquiry Record date in box as evidence is found within your project to support the quality indicator.	Self- assessing date	Formative Review date/ collaborative review
	Teacher- Research Design	 Did I carefully consider all the sources of data that could potentially give me insights into my wondering when I designed my inquiry? 		
	Data Collection and Data Analysis)	 Did I use three or more data sources to gain insights into my wondering (i.e., field notes, student work, interviews, focus groups, pictures, journals, blogs, student performance on tests or other assessment measures, CFG feedback, surveys)? 		
D O		 Did I explain all procedures associated with my inquiry including providing a timeline for my work and how I analyzed data? 		
		• Was my timeline consistent with the nature of my wondering (Did I spend too much or too little time collecting data)?		
		• Was I flexible in implementing my plan for inquiry (Did I adjust my wondering or data collection strategies along the way if I found such adjustments were important for my learning)?		
S T		• Did I select a strategy for illustrating my findings to others (i.e., themes, patterns, categories, metaphors, claims, vignettes) that best captures what I learned through the inquiry? (See chapter 5, Table 5.2)		
U	Teacher- Researcher Learning	 Did I support every statement of learning with excerpts from my data? 		
D Y		• Am I confident my findings, as well as my selection of a strategy to illustrate my findings, emerged from my data and my learning through this cycle of inquiry rather than forcing my data to fit the opinions and values I had in place before beginning the inquiry?		

	Quality Indicator	Questions to ask yourself with self-assessing the quality of your inquiry Record date in box as evidence is found within your project to support the quality indicator.	Self- assessing date	Formative Review date/ collaborative review
S T		• Did I carefully consider data that didn't fit with the themes/patterns/claims I am making as a result of my research?		
T U	Teacher- Researcher Learning	 Did I address/explain data that did not fit? Did I weave what I know about teaching and the topic of my inquiry from experiences and readings into my analysis and interpretation of data? 		
D y		 Did I reflect on what I learned about the teacher- research process in addition to reflecting on what I learned about my teaching practice? 		
		 Did my inquiry result in action (changes I have made or plan to make in my practice based on what I learned through this inquiry)? 		
Α	Implications for Practice Student Learning	• Are the actions I've taken or am planning to take logical outgrowths of what I've learned through my inquiry?		
С		 Do I have a plan for further assessing, reflecting upon, and/or studying the changes in practice that have resulted from my inquiry? 		
		Did my inquiry study impact student learning in measurable ways?		
	Outcome	 After studying the student learning results, did I determine next steps? 	Total dates	
	Quality Points Total	Strong 22-25 Approaching Strong 17-21 Building Strength 12-16	marked out of 25	

Creating your roadmap

PLAN

An action research/inquiry brief is an outline of your project which you develop before you begin your research. Through the process of developing this brief, you, as a teacher inquirer, gain insights into your wondering(s). The "do-ability" of action research becomes clear and you commit yourself to one main idea. Through the development of an inquiry brief, PLC members develop a sense of direction and know where to go next (Dana & Yendol-Hoppey, 2009). The inquiry problem should be clearly related to a salient issue in your professional practice that impacts student achievement, and is included in your IPDP or SIP. Some examples include: issues with RtI, differentiated accountability, lesson study, parent involvement, PLCs, retention rates, and closing the achievement gap. **Quality Indicators to Consider:**

- $\checkmark~$ Have I considered all aspects of my teaching context in the design of my study?
- $\checkmark~$ Did I situate my teaching research for others so they understand my context?
- ✓ Did I describe the dilemma or tension in my teaching that led to the formation of my wonderings?

Purpose for your inquiry (If using this on your computer drag and expand this box so all your text is visible.) What passions or dilemmas have led you to pursue your wondering or action research/inquiry question? (Identify the problem or need for change.)

Articulate the direct links between your action research/inquiry question and student achievement. Connect to mathematics, science or reading.

Each educator (or group of educators) will develop one main question and identify multiple data sources and several ways to collect data. Teacher researchers should use current data (a combination of qualitative and quantitative data) as evidence to support the purpose of their inquiry. The educator(s) will analyze the data and share it with colleagues. <u>We strongly encourage all teachers to bring their question</u> to a consultancy group to help clarify and refine the inquiry.

Creating your roadmap

Quality Indicators to Consider:

- ✓ Are my wonderings clearly articulated (free of educational jargon)?
- ✓ Did my wonderings focus on me, my personal classroom practice, and on something that I can do rather than on trying to 'fix,' 'change,' or 'prove something' to others through research?
- ✓ Did I ask something I really didn't know?
- ✓ Did I ensure my wondering is not a simple, dichotomous (yes/no) questions so as to honor all the complexity that teaching entails?

... -1 - --*

Question or wond	ering			
Examples: <i>How will</i>	affect	? What would be the impact of	on	_?
(You may also discove	er that you have	e sub questions as you work through y	vour wonderin	g.)
Original wording:				
Refined wording post co	llaboration:			

*Collaborative Inquiry: Shared inquiries may be conducted. Each person will submit an individual brief and report with personal reflection and contribute equally to presentation. Inquiry groups should be no larger than 3. See inquiry facilitator for clarification.

Creating your roadmap

Educators use the literature to become well informed on what current knowledge exists in the field on their topic.

✓ Did I connect my own personal wondering with existing knowledge about my topic by mentioning related literature?

Research Sources

Portal > Library Information Resources> GALE Power Search Google Scholar

What or whom are you consulting? Check online journals, books in your resource library, talk to your reading or math coach. You will need to have three or four good sources of information about your topic. Look at the Moodle Inquiry Data base for similar inquiries, and check their sources.

Creating your roadmap

DO

What will answer the question(s)? Methods may include information concerning the frequency, intensity, instructional methods and strategies, and materials that will be used. This response should paint a word picture of what the teacher researcher will be doing.

Quality Indicators to Consider:

- ✓ Did I explain all procedures associated with my inquiry including providing a timeline for my work and how I analyzed data?
- ✓ Was my timeline consistent with the nature of my wondering (Did I spend too much or too little time collecting data)?
- Was I flexible in implementing my plan for inquiry (did I adjust my wonderings or data collections strategies along the way if I found such adjustments were important for my learning and my students' learning)?

Method

What will you change about your practice? What intervention/innovation(s) will you try?

Creating your roadmap

What types of data will you collect? How might you get other teachers, inquiry facilitators, and instructional coaches to help you figure out what the data means? Remember that your data can be a combination of qualitative and quantitative. Suggestions: field notes/observations, student activities/student focus groups, student artifacts such as assignments and compositions, daily student reflections/surveys, student discussions, attendance logs, parent surveys, standardized test results (FCAT, FAIR, etc.).

Quality Indicators to Consider:

- Did I carefully consider all the sources of data that could potentially give me insights into my wonderings when I designed my inquiry?
- Did I use three or more data sources to gain insights into my wonderings? (i.e., field notes, student work, interviews, focus groups, pictures, journals, blogs, student performance on tests or other assessment measures, colleague feedback, surveys??

Data Collection

Creating your roadmap

What will students/teachers/parents know or be able to do as a result of this inquiry? This <u>possible</u> outcome must relate directly back to the original inquiry question (although sometimes the final results are a surprise).

Possible Outcomes

What do you hope to see happen as a result of your intervention/innovation? What do you expect to be the impact of your inquiry on student achievement?

Note: Continue to refer back to this roadmap throughout your inquiry. When the time comes to do your online inquiry submission abstract, as well as your inquiry write-up for the Inquiry Database, this document will prove valuable.

The following pages in this roadmap are for your consideration both during and after your plan is executed.

Creating your roadmap

STUDY

Quality Indicators to Consider:

- Did I select a strategy for illustrating my findings to others (i.e., themes, patterns, categories, metaphors, claims, vignettes) that best captures what I learned through the inquiry?
- ✓ Did I support every statement of learning with excerpts from my data?
- Am I confident my findings, as well as my selections of a strategy to illustrate my findings, emerged from my data and my learning through this cycle of inquiry rather than forcing my data to fit the opinions and values I had in place before beginning the inquiry?
- ✓ Did I carefully consider data that didn't fit with the themes/patterns/claims I am making as a result of my research?
- ✓ Did I address/explain data that didn't fit?
- ✓ Did I weave what I know about teaching and the topic of my inquiry from experiences and readings into my analysis and interpretation of data?
- Did I reflect on what I learned about the teacher-research process in addition to reflecting on what I learned about my teaching practice?

Teacher Researcher Learning/Data Analysis

What does my data show? What are my results?

A wonderful way to *think* about your inquiry is to *write*. You may learn something very important about your students and their learning – something you may have missed had you not considered your words on the page – as you formally write about your research.

Creating your roadmap

ACT Implications for Practice

Quality Indicators to Consider:

- V Did my inquiry result in action (changes I have made or plan to make in my practice based on what I learned through this inquiry)?
- ✓ Are the actions taken or actions I plan to take logical outgrowth of what I've learned through my inquiry?
- V Do I have a plan for further assessing, reflecting upon, and/or studying the changes in practice that have resulted from my inquiry?

Student Learning Outcome

Quality Indicators to Consider:

✓ Did my inquiry study impact student learning in measurable ways?

After studying the student learning results, did I determine next steps?

State what was learned and your resulting change in practice.

Implications for Practice

Student Learning Outcome

Possible next steps - what I'm wondering now

Creating your roadmap

Suggest	ed Timeline
October Review Rubric	Look back and look ahead. Review previous inquiry projects in a strength analysis. Begin wondering in brainstorming fashion. Consult with colleagues.
November/ December Update Rubric	Develop inquiry question. Request consultancy to help refine question.
January Formative Rubric Review with team of colleagues	Read articles, books, etc. to shape ideas about implementation and data collection. Implement inquiry.
February Update Rubric as necessary	Collect data – especially observational data. Refine both ideas and actions as appropriate.
March Update Rubric	Continue data collection. Begin to organize data.
April Formative Rubric Review with team of colleagues	Analyze data. Ask for help from PLC, inquiry group, TFF, coaches. Write up preliminary conclusions.
May	Present at Learning Showcase. Prepare final write-up using the template for uploading in the PCS Database. Provide documentation to appropriate inquiry facilitators.

	PDSA		
Name: School:			
Date Specific Activity Notes Tir	ime		
Date Specific Activity Notes Tire	ime		
Date Specific Activity Notes Tir	ime		
Date Specific Activity Notes Tir	ime		
Date Specific Activity Notes Tire	ime		
Date Specific Activity Notes Tir	ime		
Date Specific Activity Notes Tir	ime		
	age		
Teacher Researcher Signature			
Inquiry Facilitator Signature			
PD Director Signature			

Action Research/Inquiry Log					
Name:School:					
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Teache	Page Total Time				
Inquiry Facilitator Signature					
PD Dire	PD Director Signature				

Action Research/Inquiry Log					
Name:					
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time		
Teache	Page Total Time				
Inquiry Facilitator Signature					
PD Dire	PD Director Signature				

Action Research/Inquiry Log				
Name	:	School:		
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time	
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time	
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time	
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time	
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time	
Date	Specific Activity	Notes	Time	
	•		Page Total Time	
Teach	er Researcher Signature			
Inquiry Facilitator Signature				
PD Director Signature				

Sample Directions for Action Research/Inquiry Write-up

-	chnicalities – delete this box before olishing	
0	Be sure you don't identify students by name in your report. Use pseudonyms of "Student one,"	Title of Your Inquiry
÷	"Student two." Active links, such as email or moodle/websites can	Your Name, Position
0	be used.	,
0	Type in Times New Roman 12 pt font.—Single space with one double space between paragraphs.	School, District, E-mail address
0	Specific write-up suggestions are included in the body of each section. This document contains the <u>minimum</u> number of paragraphs; you may choose to expand further.	School Year

Purpose

Write one or two paragraphs that:

- Make sure data drive your inquiry. What questions did a review of learning data raise for you?
- Describe the problem you were trying to solve. Give us a little history of the problem and try to describe the problem in specific terms.
- State and explain the purpose of your inquiry. Tell us what you are trying to learn and why this
 inquiry piqued your interest. For example, were you led into this action research because of an
 idea you got from the professional literature? Did a conversation with colleagues lead you to
 investigate your question? Did work within the school improvement process lead you or was a
 professional development opportunity that got you started? Tell us that as part of your
 explanation.

Question/Wondering

Clearly state the question/wondering that you studied. You may have sub-questions that are also related. List those also

Methods

First Paragraph(s):

In these first paragraphs, tell us what you did in your project (e.g. implemented heterogeneous literacy center groups using a center management chart). That is, describe your intervention. This should be brief but try to be specific enough that another teacher might be able to have a framework for trying a similar project.

Following Paragraph(s):

In further paragraphs, tell us about your data collection. Include: what data you collected, how you collected it, when you collected it; from whom you collected it. For example, if you were doing a reading intervention related to Word Building in the Targeted Diagnostic Inventory in the FAIR, you would state that you examined FAIR data collected before the intervention and after the intervention, and then used progress monitoring activities from the assessment kit over a two month period.

Findings/Data Analysis

Your findings are the results of your data analysis. Here you will describe what you learned after analyzing your data. Remember, analyzing your data requires you to categorize it and/or represent in some visual format (chart/ table/ graph) and then to carefully review it to look for patterns, possible conclusions, and puzzles. In sharing what you learned from your analysis try to avoid a long list of conclusions. Instead, look for ways to cluster your conclusions with supporting details as evidence. The findings section may be the longest part of your write-up, but this varies. You might use a paragraph structure or you might write two or three conclusions with supporting bullets below each conclusion. Here you will share evidence of student learning as evidenced in your data analysis, be specific. You can make your findings more powerful by including excerpts from your data (e.g. quotes, graphs).

Student Learning Outcomes

Here you detail ways your research study impacted student learning. Findings in the previous paragraphs may be applicable here.

Reflection and Future Practice

Here you share what you learned. Think about the following questions to help you write this section:

- What have you learned and how will you use this learning in the future?
- o What findings surprised or concerned you?
- o What new or continuing questions do you have?
- o What recommendations would you make to other teachers?
- Reflecting on your own practice, how has this inquiry study impacted your learning and understanding of your practice?
- How will your practice improve as a result of this inquiry study?

Resources

Here you will provide bibliography of any books, articles, trainings, coaching etc. referred to when reviewing the literature during your research process.

KEYWORDS: Please list keywords from your inquiry that will be used in the search portion of the database. Examples: your name, school, grade level, content area, problem solving, accountable talk, fluency...

SAMPLE

WRITE UP DOCUMENTS

NOVICE AND EXPERIENCED

INQUIRERS

Connecting Course Content While Promoting Higher Level Reasoning

Chemistry Teacher

___High School, Pinellas County

2010 - 2011

Purpose

This study, on connecting course content while promoting higher level reasoning, was developed due to a lack of student understanding of the purpose of lab experiments and the correlation to course material. Prior to the study, the majority of my students could not answer basic questions about the labs conducted in class. The questions my students struggled with were: what is the purpose of this lab, how am I supposed to execute the procedure of the lab, how does the data connect to the purpose, and what does this lab have to do with the course material? This challenged me to create a lab report structure that guided students to answer these questions with confidence.

The purpose of the study was to examine how writing a lab report that can enable students to bridge connections between labs and the course content, improve higher level reasoning skills, increase test scores, and complete labs more efficiently. The study began at the start of the second semester, implementing the new lab report and lab rubric used to assess student progress. Over the course of the semester lab grades and the effect on test scores were evaluated.

Question/Wondering

How can lab reports help make connections to course material while improving higher level reasoning?

Methods

In response to a lack of building connections, I required my students to write a lab report that provoked them to connect the purpose of the lab with the material being studied in class. The students were responsible for writing the purpose of the lab, the materials required, and the lab procedure before the execution of the lab. Throughout the lab procedure, the students had to compile their data collected into a neat and concise table. After the lab, the students had to graph their results and answer analysis questions that correlated to the material in class. Finally the lab report required the students to write a conclusion that asked them to explain how the lab fulfilled the purpose, any divergent results, and how the lab expanded on the course content. All of the contents of the lab report were graded on a strict lab rubric.

To evaluate academic growth I compared test scores prior to implementing lab report to the test scores after the inclusion of the lab report. I also compared the lab report grade growth over the course of the experiment. Combining the two sets of data, I examined each lab report grade to the correlating test grade as well as the growth of the two over the course of the semester. Finally I took a survey from about 95% of my students. The survey included four questions, answered with a 1-5 rating scale (1 being not beneficial at all, to 5 being the most beneficial). The questions were as follows:

- How much has writing the full lab report helped with your understanding of the purpose of the lab?
- How much has pre-writing the procedure for the lab helped with understanding the directions for the lab in the classroom?
- How much has writing the conclusion helped with connecting the purpose of the lab with the results collected from the data?
- Do you feel as though the lab report has helped connect textbook material with the hands-on lab?



Findings/Data Analysis

The first set of data I examined was the student's test scores prior to implementing lab report to the test scores after the inclusion of the lab report. The average test score before the lab report was 67.3% and the average after was 69.2%. The results indicated a small margin of grade improvement. The second set of data I examined was the lab report grades over the course of the semester. The lab grades improved with each assignment. The average for the first lab report assigned was 61.16% and the average increased to 71.09%. When comparing each lab report to the corresponding test, there is no apparent pattern of improvement.

Due to the varying level of difficulty for each test, conclusions based on test scores are difficult to determine. The chapter 12 test on Stoichiometry was a very complex and challenging chapter; therefore the average test grade is lower than the other two chapters.

The next set of data that was examined included the student survey. The students participated in the 4 question survey on how beneficial the lab report was to each student. The results varied for each question. When combining all questions into one chart determining how beneficial the lab is overall, the results indicated that the majority of students were indifferent to the report and 22% found the new lab report was very beneficial to their success.



Other results that could not be quantitatively analyzed included the efficiency of the lab and the lab conclusions drawn by students. The students were required in the lab report to pre-write the lab procedure. This enabled to students to walk into the classroom with knowledge of the purpose, materials, and procedure. The students who pre-wrote the procedure did not ask many questions and completed the lab in timely manner. The conclusion also helped students connect their results from the lab with the purpose of the lab. With each additional lab report, the students produced more in-depth conclusions, providing an understanding of the material. Another resulting factor of the study is the increase in

responsibility on the students' behalf to prepare the report prior to the lab, collect data, analyze results, and create a conclusion connecting all the information.

Reflection and Future Practice

When comparing the lab process before and after implementing the lab report, evidence supports a slight improvement in test grades and an improvement in lab report scores. As previously stated, it is difficult to compare test grades due to the varying difficulty of the material. If time permitted, the study would have been conducted over several years, comparing specific chapter tests with and without a lab report.

After careful consideration, I plan to continue to use the lab report structure and lab rubric to assess my student's knowledge of the lab and course material. Based on the improvements of the conclusions produced and the increase in efficiency of the lab, I feel as though the lab report has not only benefited my student, but it also eased the process of the lab from the instructor's point of view. I would suggest to other teachers to reinforce the importance of pre-writing the procedure and to slowly guide the students into writing quality conclusions. Throughout this study, I gained an understanding of how students build connections between hands-on activities and the course material, furthermore building the foundation for higher level reasoning.

Resources

Science Coach, _____High School, St. Petersburg, FL

KEYWORDS: Chemistry, Lab Reports, Content Material, higher level reasoning

Two for One?

Fourth Grade Teacher Pinellas County Schools

2010 - 2011

Purpose:

As a nation our students are struggling in the academic content area of mathematics. As teachers we are charged with the task of assessing why, and finding a solution to the problem. Last year for my inquiry project, I implemented and facilitated small group math instruction to investigate if that could possibly be a solution. I quickly discovered that it was, because it lent to the mathematical confidence of my students, which resulted in a more positive attitude toward the subject area, and ultimately to my students' higher achievement in mathematics.

Currently, I am a departmentalized fourth grade teacher; I teach two math classes and I am responsible for the math instruction of approximately 40 percent of the fourth grade students. Both of my classes are English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) clusters, which allow me the privilege of teaching a total of eight ESOL students in a mainstreamed situation. I knew I had my work cut out for me right from the start of the school year, because I found out quickly that my ESOL students were exceptionally deficient in the area of mathematics. I would have to figure out how to move them forward and help them to break down any learning barriers that were present because of the differences in our languages.

To make this challenge even a little more difficult, my students, my teammates and myself were all faced with new math standards and a new math FCAT. We had just a short time to first become familiar with the new standards ourselves, and then to teach them to our students. We were made aware that the questions on the test would look different and so we began to study question stems in order to figure out how to relate the "new way" to our students. It finally hit me...Why couldn't we use what we knew about teaching reading to teach math?

My school has been a Reading Units of Study (RUS) school since 2001 when the district RUS school initiative first started. We use the mini lesson format along with reading workshop to teach reading to our students. Our principal this year also launched a new "Lesson Study" endeavor at our school. The expectation was that each grade level picked a subject area, set goals, and planned a lesson together as a team. One person from the team volunteered to teach the lesson as the other members observed. After the lesson was taught the first time, the team got back together, discussed, revised and made adjustments to the lesson; another team member volunteered to teach the lesson, this time with the changes in place. Finally, the team got back together to discuss the new lesson as well as the process itself. The objective was to have that time as a team to collaborate and plan together. "*Lesson Study* involves a group of teachers working together on a broad goal and developing lesson plans that are observed, analyzed, and revised. Their focus throughout this process is on improving student thinking and making their lessons more effective" (Janzen, n.d). The idea of Lesson Study began in Japan and is a continuous process that focuses on professional development. It uses Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to foster opportunities for teachers to design a paradigm for extraordinary instruction (Haithcock, 2010).

For our Lesson Study, we thought that it would be brilliant if we taught our children to use the same strategies that we have taught them to use in reading, while they were trying to problem solve in math. The lesson that we chose to create for our first attempt at Lesson Study focused on teaching our students to use main idea and supporting details and to identify them in their story problems. The lesson was a great success! The mini-lesson that we created for our Lesson Study was the springboard for my inquiry idea.

I knew I had to fly into action quickly; I faced new math standards and a new math FCAT. I had 44 kids to move forward mathematically and eight ESOL children that were counting on me. I went to work with one of my teammates and we immediately began to research ways that we could enhance our problem solving math instruction by teaching reading strategies. According to Hyde (2006), teachers should apply the language and learning processes that they frequently use in their literacy instruction to their math instruction. Hyde (2006, pg.2) also suggests that "Problem solving has suffered from insufficient attention to thinking and language." Could this be it? Why not take this further? Why not look at other reading strategies and have my students carry them over to math?

Question:

How will connecting reading comprehension strategies to math problem solving affect the problem solving skills of ESOL struggling students?

Method:

The first lesson in which we used reading strategies to teach children how to solve math word problems was in December; that was during our lesson study. It was not until the middle of February when we decided that we would use this idea and begin to implement it in our classrooms and use other reading strategies to carry through to our math instruction. The official implementation began on February 21 and we did this until April 8th, this was approximately 6 weeks.

My teammate and I decided that we would introduce a new reading strategy to teach math at the beginning of each week. I introduced the connecting reading to math mini-lesson on Mondays and then infused it throughout our entire week. I made sure the children used that strategy as well as the others they had learned previously in homework and in class work throughout the week.

The reading strategies used to teach math were as follows:

- Main Idea
- Supporting Details
- Envisioning
- Inferring
- > Summarizing
- Predicting
- Drawing Conclusions

During this time we had been implementing small group math instruction. Small math groups were being facilitated by my level three intern, our school math coach, and me. Groups were run simultaneously for three days a week. The students were placed in groups based on their mathematics achievement levels. This was determined by their performance on the third grade math Florida Comprehensive Achievement Test (FCAT), their September and December Math Pinellas Classroom Assessment Series (PCAS), and their classroom performance in mathematics.

The curriculum for the small groups was taken from the Florida Achieves/FCAT Explorer (focus.floridaachieves.com). I looked for the 4th grade math standards and chose the ones that my students were most deficient in, according to their December Math PCAS. Florida Achieves provides two sets of assessments for each math standard. The group facilitators used the first assessment to teach into the strands as well as for practice. The second assessment was used to measure student growth. It was used as an assessment to measure the effectiveness of what was taught in small group. In these small groups children were encouraged to think through their problem solving as well as to use talk moves which helped to encourage conversation about their thoughts and the strategies that they were using to solve problems. I also made sure that during this time my students were using the reading strategies that I taught them that week, to solve their math problems.

Student Journals were also included within the soft data that I collected for this inquiry project. My students were asked to write journal entries that explained their feelings about reading, problem solving, and how they felt about mixing the two. Students were also given a 10 question survey developed by the teacher to determine their attitudes about math and reading and their ability to problem solve. During the six week period, teacher anecdotal notes were collected; they included notes about what was going on in the classroom during problem solving activities and during small group math instruction. I listened carefully to their explanations and paid close attention to their talk while learning. I watched to see if and how they used the reading strategies they were taught, as they solved their math problems. These pieces were all imperative to make determinations about their math learning and whether or not teaching reading strategies to solve math problems was working.

Findings/ Data Analysis:

Data Collection

Results from the second assessment of each math strand were taken from the Florida achieves website. These assessments were used for ongoing progress monitoring (OPM); they were recorded and analyzed. The results of my ESOL students for six weeks of OPMs were as follows:

Student #	OPM 1	OPM 2	OPM 3	OPM 4	OPM 5	OPM 6
1	60	40	60	80	100	80
2	40	40	40	40	40	20
3	60	20	60	20	0	80
4	60	20	40	100	80	40
5	80	20	80	100	40	80
6	20	80	60	60	100	80
7	60	60	80	80	100	100
8	100	60	100	100	100	100

Results from the Pinellas County Fourth Grade May Math Common Assessment were compared to results of the December Common Assessment. The December Common Assessment was administered before we began the weekly treatment of using reading strategies to teach math...before the inquiry got started and the May common assessment was taken at the end of the inquiry.



As mentioned earlier, a survey was administered and analyzed to determine how students felt about their math learning, their reading learning, and what they felt about the relationship between the two. Surveymonkey.com was used to host the survey. The first two questions were of a demographic nature. I thought this was important so that I could easily cross reference the questions. While taking the survey students were instructed to mark all that apply for questions nine and ten. Thirty-seven students took the survey. Below I have included only the survey results for the 8 ESOL students. The survey questions and their results were as follows:

1. Are you in Ms. X's AM or PM	class?	am 5 (63%)		pm 3 (3	7%)
2. Are you an ESOL student?		yes 8 (100%)	no 0 (0%		6)
3. How well I read is connected	to how well I solve n	nath problems.	Agree 6	(75%)	Disagree 2 (25%)
4. I enjoy reading and understar	nd what I read.		Agree 8	(100%)	Disagree 0 (0%)
5. I feel using reading strategies	will help me solve m	nath problems.	Agree 8	(100%)	Disagree 0 (0%)
6. I enjoy solving word problem:	s in mathematics		Agree 6	(75%)	Disagree 2 (25%)
7. I feel that the strategies and p the FCAT.	l on during problem	solving wi Agree 8		me for the types of problems I will have on Disagree 0 (0%)	
8. I prefer:					
reading over math	0 (0%)	math over reading	B	3 (37%)	
math and reading equally	4 (50%)	neither, I hate the	em both.	1 (13%)	
9. I enjoy solving problems					
by myself	1 (13%)	with a partner		5 (63%)	
in small group	4 (50%)	with the whole cla	ass	2 (25%)	
10. When I am asked to solve a	problem in math, I a	lways			
draw a picture	4 (50%)	write a number se	entence	0 (0%)	
solve it more than one way	to check my answe	r	7 (88%)		
underline or circle key info	rmation in the probl	em	2 (25%)		

Results from student journal entries were analyzed and used to make determinations about student attitudes and perceptions about mathematics. The following are quotes from student journal entries:

- I used the strategies on FCAT to help remind me to look for important words
- Using reading strategies during math helped me understand the problems better
- The strategies helped me because they mad e me feel less stressed out.
- Reading strategies made me feel stronger during math FCAT
- I underlined what the question was asking and worked hard on not getting fooled.
- I used strategies to help me solve my math problems more than one way.
- Strategies helped me to understand what I was reading
- It really did help me because it helped me understand the question better
- I used the words that I read as clues to tell me whether I was adding, subtracting, or multiplying, or dividing
- Using reading strategies helped me make sure I did exactly what the question told me to do
- It helped me to slow down my reading so I did not skip anything

Data Analysis

Looking at the ongoing progress monitoring (OPM) results from the small group instruction, which were taken from the Florida Achieves Assessments, the scores were up and down, depending on the math concept, the student, and the day. This made it extremely difficult to determine student growth from those results. I concluded that the results were inconclusive. The PCAS results from cycle 2 to cycle 3 show that six out of the eight ESOL students made growth, although not as much as I had expected.

According to the survey results, all eight (100%) of the ESOL students enjoyed reading and understand what they read. All eight (100%) also felt that using reading strategies would help them to solve math problems, and that the strategies would help to prepare them for the types of problems they would encounter on FCAT. Six of the students (75%) thought that how well they read was connected to how well they solved math problems; I found it interesting that only 75% of them realized that. I also thought it was interesting that 0 (0%) of them preferred reading over math.

According to their quotes, it is obvious that my students believe that using reading strategies help them to solve their math problems. After observing students at work, it was apparent that they were using the reading strategies in their math work. They were underlining question stems, and circling the supporting details necessary to solve their problems; envisioned pictures/graphics were also present in their work. It was evident that they were applying the reading strategies that they were taught, in their math class work, homework, and assessments.

Reflection and Future Practice:

Although the majority of my PCAS scores improved from cycle 2 to cycle 3, I have to admit that I was a little disappointed that the increase was not as remarkable as I had expected. After contemplating...I thought to ascribe this to timing. We only had six weeks to teach six different reading strategies across six different math strands. I also had to take into consideration that ESOL students sometimes have difficulty grasping concepts (because of the language barrier) and because of this, they sometimes need further practice and a different pace.

Once again this year, I got more information from the soft qualitative data that I collected than the hard quantitative data that I collected. Having a taste of this way of work has definitely intrigued me. I realized in this process, that six weeks is not enough time to do "this" well. I feel as though our children could have been more successful using these strategies if they were given more time. My teammate and I have decided that we are going to begin using reading strategies to teach math right away at the beginning of the next school year. We have already brainstormed several other reading strategies that we will add to the list that we used this year, and are both on a campaign to find more resources to improve our facilitation of this concept.

This way of work just makes sense! It is clear that one of the reasons that my children struggle with their math problem solving is because they are not sure what "the question is asking." Having the kids admit that using the reading strategies, helped them look for key words, helped them slow down to be sure they didn't skip anything, and helped them to make sure that they did exactly what the question told them to do... was very powerful!!!!!!!!!

References:

Barnes, A. (2005, February 7). Reading recovery. Retrieved December 17, 2010, from Using Reading and Writing Strategies in Math: http://www.readingrecovery.org/pdf/conferences/NC05/Handouts/Barnes_Using_Reading_And_ Writing.pdf

Hyde, A. (2006). Comprehending math. Portsmouth: Heinemann.

- Janzen, H. (n.d.). Subject Specific Resources Using The Japanese Lesson Study in Mathematics. Retrieved May 4, 2011, from Teaching Today: http://www.glencoe.com/sec/teachingtoday/subject/japanese_lesson_study.phtml
- Reilly, T. (1988, May). ESL through content area instruction. ERIC Clearinghouse on Languages and Linguistics. Washington, DC. Retrieved April 21, 2010 from <u>http://www.ericdigests.org/pre-929/esl.htm</u>.

NOTES

NOTES

NOTES

IP	DP
	Pinellas County Schools Individual Professional Development Plan Professional development is fundamental to school improvement.
	The purpose of the IPDP is to intentionally and incrementally improve our practice in order to increase student achievement.
	DEMOGRAPHIC DATA
1	Last Name, First Name
2	Position/Job Title
	Kindergarten Teacher
3	School Site
4	Subjects
	All

5	School Year
	2011-2012

6 Grades Taught κ
7 Total Number of Students

18

8 Certification Areas

Elementary Education/Primary Education

DATA ANALYSIS: Define Your Current Reality

Review your school improvement plan. http://www.flbsi.org/SIP/

9 Type 2 of your school-wide initiatives in the box below.

Align Professional Learning Communities to engage teachers in literacy improvement activities where inquiry, analysis of student work, and walkthrough data drive continuous improvement.

Teachers will provide explicit comprehension instruction in small groups and during individual conferences.

Teacher appraisal data - please review your most recent appraisal.

Click here and fill out your teacher evaluation self assessment form for the current school year.

Data Comparison

10 Please explain the assessment data you are comparing. (*i.e.:* FCAT, subtests of FAIR, District Assessments)

I will be comparing Fair Vocabulary Data for cycles 1 and 3.

11 Current Level of Performance: (i.e.: % level 3 and above, % meeting/exceeding expectation)

0% of my ESOL students scored at or above the 40th-60th percentile on the Fair Vocabulary Assessment (cycle 1).

12 Expected Level of Performance: (*i.e.*: 84% Level 3 or above, % meeting/exceeding expectation)

80% of my ESOL students will score at or above the 40th-60th percentile on the Fair Vocabulary Assessment (cycle 3). The 40th-60th percentile is the end of the year "recommended" reading goal.

ACTION PLAN DEVELOPMENT

13 Collaboration with Peers

Review your School-wide Initiatives/SIP, teacher appraisal data and your student data. What questions begin to surface concerning your instructional practice in relation to the data? Discuss this with your peers.

ex: For the first time in my 5th grade classroom, I have ESOL students. I'm wondering what strategies I can use to ensure that these students can engage in the learning while I still meet the needs of native speakers.

I am wondering if the PVP project will meet the needs of my students. I am afraid the stories are very confusing for ESOL students to understand and the vocabulary words selected are tier 2 and tier 3 words. My ESOL kids need tier 1 words. I know this because I confer with them and interact with them daily. I wouldn't teach my kids to write sentences before I taught them to write labels. As I am teaching the PVP program I will teach nouns and other tier 1 words as I see needed. I know that the ESOL teacher will be using a Pilot Vocabulary Program that our school worked on. The pilot program teaches nouns. I am hoping that with both programs the ESOL kids will show growth with their vocabulary. I hope to see this in the oral language and in their writing.



Problem Solving or Inquiry Process

What is the problem I wish to investigate? What issue or dilemma is worrying, or challenging me in my practice?

ex: How will weekly class meetings affect the ability of my 5th grade students to become problem solvers?

ex. How will using bilingual book buddies affect the reading achievement of ESOL kindergarteners?

What will be the effects of modifying the PVP project on my ESOL students Fair Vocabulary Data?

Goal 1: How will modifying the PVP impact my ESOL kids' vocabulary? If I teach nouns and other tier 1 words along with the tier 2 and tier 3 words will my ESOL kids improve their oral and written vocabulary? If I use the vocabulary words throughout the day during morning message, writing lesson and conferring will my ESOL kids score in the 40th-60th percentile on the Fair Vocabulary Assessment for cycle 3?

GOAL DEVELOPMENT

*15 IPDP Goal 1 REQUIRED (A measurable goal related to student achievement)

80% of my ESOL students will score at or above the 40th-60th percentile on the Fair Vocabulary Assessment for cycle 3.

16 IPDP Goal 2:

OPTIONAL (required for new teachers and those identified as not meeting expectations through teacher appraisal; may be used by others for Personal Career Development identification)

RESEARCH

Consult with peers (e.g., grade level team, PLCs, or departmental colleagues). Describe current state. Try to frame the dilemma in an open-ended way. Use structures like "What will be the outcome if I implement...?" or "What is the effect of ...?" "What am I going to do about it/what steps can I take to resolve this dilemma?"

What are some professional resources (journals, experts, books, web sites) that I can consult to learn more about what is known in relation to my dilemma? What data do I need to collect to tell me about the impact of the changes I try in relation to my dilemma? Be sure to think about both quantitative (numbers) and qualitative (attitudes, opinions, observations, patterns) sources of data that you might use to measure your results.

Link to PCS Teacher Inquiry Database

GALE

Develop a plan to move from current to desired state. Show checkpoints (chronological) along the way.

Checkpoints include:

- Frame problem or dilemma using data.
- Consult with colleagues for feedback.
- Read about issue in professional journals.
- Design a plan of action.
- Implement.
- Collect data on effect.
- Analyze data.
- Share results with other educators.

17 Action Plan: Goal 1 - What is your plan for professional development? Explain your inquiry project or list MoodleLMS courses that you will participate in to improve your practice.

I am attending a training for students with IEPs. Two of my ESOL students have IEPs and I am hoping to learn some strategies to help me better meet their needs. I hope to learn how I can work with other colleagues that service my students with IEPs so we can make sure we are all on the same page and working toward the same goals. MoodleLMS course: ESE for general education 8103034-12/001

I looked for training on Vocabulary, however, there was not anything offered. I am also taking a training on Conferring. MoodleLMS course: Conferring with Readers 2013269C-12/001

18 Action Plan: Goal 2 OPTIONAL (required for new teachers and those identified as not meeting expectations through teacher appraisal; may be used by others for Personal Career Development identification)

REVIEW AND REFLECTIONS

19 In the box below, please list IPDP review dates and notes regarding conferences with your administrator.

RESULTS

21 What was the impact upon student learning? Refer to your IPDP goal(s). Describe the student achievement results.

Goal 1 results:

Goal 2 results:

Deliberate Practice (DP): Intentional work by a leader on specific improvements in mastery of the educational practices aligned with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards (SBE Rule 6A-5.080). One of three evaluative metrics, the DP is 10 points of the summative evaluation if fully implemented.

Deliberate Practice (DP) Proficiency Area(s) and Target(s) for School Leader Growth

Deliberate Practice Priorities: The leader and the evaluator identify **three (3)** specific and measurable priority learning goals related to the following target areas: the school improvement plan, climate, and leadership practices that impact student learning growth. At least one (1) goal is required for each target area.

- The target of a deliberate practice process describes an intended result that guides the leader toward highly effective levels of personal mastery;
- The leader takes actions to make discernible progress on those priority goals; monitors progress toward them, uses the monitoring data to make adjustments in their practice, and provides measurable evidence of growth in personal mastery of the targeted priorities.
- The evaluator monitors the leader's progress and provides constructive feedback.
- <u>The targets are "thin slices" of specific gains sought</u> not broad overviews or long term goals taking years to accomplish.
- The deliberate practice rating is based full implementation of the intentional leadership practice. The baseline data can be attained from preceding year's evaluation or determined by the school leader and evaluator either at the end of the preceding work year or at the start of the new work year in which the DP targets will be used for evaluation.

<u>Relationship to other measures of professional learning</u>: Whereas FSLA indicator 4.5 addresses the leader's involvement with professional learning focused on faculty needs and indicator 10.2 addresses the leader's pursuant of learning aligned with a range of school needs, the Deliberate Practice targets are more specific and deeper learning related to the school improvement plan, leadership practices and climate that impact student learning. The DP learning process establishes career-long patterns of continuous improvement and lead to high quality instructional leadership.

Selecting Growth Targets:

Growth target 1: An issue that addresses school improvement needs related to student learning and is either selected by the district or approved by leader's evaluator. The focus should be on complex issues that take some time to master such as providing observation and feedback of high-effect size instructional practices. **Growth target 2:** An issue related to a knowledge base or skill set relevant to instructional leadership practices that positively impact student learning. **Growth target 3:** An issue that addresses the improvement of the school's climate, based upon climate survey results.

The addition of more targets should involve estimates of the time needed to accomplish targets 1, 2 and 3. Where targets 1 thru 3 are projected for mastery by the evaluator in less than half of a school year, additional targets may be identified.

The description of a target should be modeled along the lines of learning goals.

- A concise description (rubric) of what the leader will know or be able to do
- Of sufficient substance to take at least 6 weeks to accomplish
- Includes evidence of full implementation toward mastery of the growth target goals.

Rating Scheme

- Not fully implemented = no significant effort to work on the targets
- Fully implemented = exceeded the targets and able to share what was learned with others

Deliberate Practice Growth Target

Sebeel Leaderie News and Desitions
School Leader's Name and Position:
Evaluators Name and Position:
Target for school year: Date Growth Targets Approved:
School Leader's Signature:Evaluator's Signature
Deliberate Growth Target Area: School Improvement Data
Focus issue(s): Why is the target worth pursuing?
Growth Target: Describe what you expect to know or be able to do as a result of this professional learning effort.
Anticipated Gain(s): What do you hope to learn?
Plan of Action: A general description of how you will go about accomplishing the target.
Progress Points: List steps toward fulfilling your goal that enables you to monitor your progress.
Notes:

Deliberate Growth Target Area: Leadership Practice

Focus issue(s): Why is the target worth pursuing?
Growth Target: Describe what you expect to know or be able to do as a result of this professional learning effort.
Anticipated Gain(s): What do you hope to learn?
Plan of Action: A general description of how you will go about accomplishing the target.

Pinellas County Schools Deliberate Practice Growth Target - Adapted from the Florida State Model

Progress Points: List steps toward fulfilling your goal that enables you to monitor your progress.								
Notes:								

Deliberate Growth Target Area: Climate

Focus issue(s): Why is the target worth pursuing?
Growth Target: Describe what you expect to know or be able to do as a result of this professional learning effort.
Anticipated Gain(s): What do you hope to learn?
Plan of Action: A general description of how you will go about accomplishing the target.
Progress Points: List steps toward fulfilling your goal that enables you to monitor your progress.
Notes:

SESSION SUMMARY & LEGEND

Quantity	Group and Session							
District Curriculum Team, Content Experts, and Designated Instructional Coaches								
1	Domains 2-4: Creating Units of Instruction (custom)							
1	Introductory Unit Planning Workout Session (custom)							

Teacher Mentors, Teachers, Non-Instructional Support Staff, District Curriculum Team and Content Experts							
4	Domain 1: Framework						
4	Domain 1: Protocol						
4	Domains 2-4/Creating Units of Instruction						
6	Introductory Unit Planning Workout Sessions						
6	Units Planning Workout Sessions (3 consecutive days)						
10	Technology Training (iObservation and Student Response Device)						

District/Area Leader, Central Office Staff, and School Leaders							
1	Domain 1: Framework						
1	Domain 1: Protocol						
1	Marzano School Leader Evaluation Model Domains 1-5 (2 consecutive days)						
	iObservation Technology Training (1/2 Day session occurs with School Leader cohort)						

School Leaders							
1	Domain 1: IRR and Scoring						
1	Domain 1: IRR and Feedback						
1	Domains 2-4/Creating Units						
2	Technology Training (iObservation and Student Response Device)						

Jun	June 2013										
	Mon		Tue		Wed		Thu		Fri		
3		4		5	Domains 2-4: Creating Units of Instruction (custom)	6	Introductory Unit Planning Workout Session (custom)	7			
10	Domain 1: Framework (Cohort 1 of 4) 1 - ELEM PreK thru 2	11	Domain 1: Protocol (Cohort 1 of 4) 1 - ELEM PreK thru 2	12	Domains 2-4: Creating Units of Instruction (Cohort 1 of 4) 1 - ELEM PreK thru 2	13	Introductory Unit Planning Workout Session (Cohorts 1 of 6) 1 - ELEM PreK thru 2	14			
17		18		19		20		21			
24	Domain 1: Framework (Cohorts 2 - 3 of 4) 2 - ELEM 3 thru 5 3 - HS: BCHS ALL	25	Domain 1: Protocol (Cohorts 2 - 3 of 4) 2 - ELEM 3 thru 5 3 - HS: BCHS ALL	26	Domains 2-4: Creating Units of Instruction (Cohorts 2 - 3 of 4) 2 - ELEM 3 thru 5 3 - HS: BCHS ALL	27	Introductory Unit Planning Workout Session (Cohorts 2 - 4 of 6) 2 - ELEM 3 thru 5 3 - HS: BCHS ALL 4 - HS: BCHS ALL	28			

DRAFT - Pinellas County Schools Pilot Project

July 2013									
	Mon		Tue		Wed		Thu		Fri
1		2		3		4		5	
8	Linite Diagoning	9	Linite Diagning	10	Linite Diagning	11		12	
0	Units Planning Workout Session	9	Units Planning Workout Session	10	Units Planning Workout Session	ΤT		12	
	(Cohort 1 of 6)		(Cohort 1 of 6)		(Cohort 1 of 6)				
15	1 - ELEM PreK thru 2 🗸	10	>1 - ELEM PreK thru 2	17	🗤 🗘 - ELEM PreK thru 2	10		10	
15	Units Planning	16	Units Planning	17	Units Planning	18		19	
	Workout Session		Workout Session		Workout Session				
	(Cohort 2 of 6)		(Cohort 2 of 6)		(Cohort 2 of 6)				
	2 ELEM 3 thru 5		2-ELEM 3 thru 5		2-ELEM 3 thru 5				
22	Domain 1: Framework	23	Domain 1: Protocol	24	Domain 1: IRR and	25	Domain 1: IRR and	26	
	(Day 1 of 2		(Day 2 of 2		Scoring		Feedback		
	consecutive days)		consecutive days)		(1 day)		(1 day)		
	consecutive days)		consecutive days)		(I udy)		(I Udy)		
29	Domaine 2 4 Creating	30	Marzano School	31	Marzano School		<u>.</u>		
	Domains 2-4: Creating Units of Instruction		Leader Evaluation		Leader Evaluation				
			Model Domains 1-5		Model Domains 1-5				
	(1 day)		(Day 1 of 2)		(Day 2 of 2)				
	Domain 1: Framework		Domain 1: Protocol		Domains 2-4: Creating				
	2 0				Units of Instruction				
	(Cohort 4 of 4)		(Cohort 4 of 4)		(Cohort 4 of 4)				
	4 - MS: AMS ALL		4 - MS: AMS ALL		4 - MS: AMS ALL				

DRAFT - Pinellas County Schools Pilot Project

	Mon		Tue		Wed		Thu		Fri
						1	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (Cohorts 1 - 2 of 2) 1 - School Leader 2 - District/Area Leader and CO attend ½ day Introductory Unit Planning Workout Session (Cohorts 5 - 6 of 6) 5 - MS: AMS ALL 6 - MS: AMS ALL	2	
	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) (Cohorts 1 of 10) 1 - ELEM ELEM 4? Units Planning Workout Session (Cohorts 3 - 6 of 6) 3 - HS: BCHS AU 4 - HS: BCHS AU 5 - MS: AMS AU	6	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) (Cohort 2 of 10) 2 - ELEM ELEM 4? Units Planning Workout Session (Cohorts 3 - 6 of 6) 3 - HS: BCHS ALL 4 - HS: BCHS ALL 5 - MS: AMS ALL 6 - MS: AMS ALL	7	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) (Cohorts 3 - 4 of 10) 2 - ELEM ELEM 4? Units Planning Workout Session (Cohorts 3 - 6 of 6) 3 - HS: BCHS ALL 4 - HS: BCHS ALL 5 - MS: AMS ALL 6 - MS: AMS ALL	8	MAKE UP SESSIONS TBD Note: A 4 th cohort of Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) will be scheduled on either Aug 5, 6, or 7, 2013, depending on the highest demand	9	
2	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) (Cohorts 5 - 6 of 10) 5 - HS 1 6 - HS 2	13	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) (Cohorts 7 - 8 of 10) 7 - MS 1 8 - MS 2	14	Technology - iObservation and Student Response System (1 day) (Cohorts 9 - 10 of 10) 9 - HS 3 10 - MS 3	15	MAKE UP SESSIONS TBD	16	



Pinellas County Language- Performance Data, Research Findings and Improvement

The research-base for the ASCD Capacity Building Professional Development model is outlined below:

- ASCD representatives were members of the original cross-organizational committee convened by the National Staff Development Council that developed staff development standards. The National Staff Development Council's (now Learning Forward) revised standards recognize that *sustained* staff *development* is vital for everyone who affects student learning (National Staff Development Council, *National Staff Development Council's Standards for Professional Development* (2002), NSDC). ASCD representatives were also members of the 2011 committee that revised the newly released standards.
- *Professional Learning in the Learning Profession*, a study done by Linda Darling-Hammond and colleagues from the School Design Network at Stanford University found statistically significant improvement in student achievement in schools where teacher collaboration, job-embedded professional learning and the use of lesson study were the norm.(NSDC now Learning Forward, 2009)
- Professional development focused on teacher capacity-building in instructional practices has been found to be the most productive investment for schools and far exceeds the effects of teacher experience or class size (Greenwald, Hedges, & Laine, 1996, *Review of Educational Research*, 66, 411-416).
- Researchers working with the Chicago schools found that teachers who were offered high-quality professional development followed through in using instructional practices they were taught (called "instructional program coherence" in the studies) leading to higher achievement gains on the ITBS (Newmann, Smith, Allensworth, & Bryck, 2001,2002, *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 23, 297-321 & *ERS Spectrum*, 20(2), 38-46)

ASCD's Process for monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the Capacity Building Professional Development model is outlined below. ASCD's work with district-level staff from Teaching and Learning and PD, administrators and teacher leaders will include supporting them in integrating the six-levels of PD evaluation as they lead job-embedded professional learning at the school and district level.

ASCD's professional development evaluation framework is aligned with the research of Thomas Guskey on the five levels of professional development evaluation (Does It Make a Difference: Evaluating Professional Development, *Educational Leadership*, March 2002, ASCD, *Evaluating Professional Development*, Guskey, Thomas, Corwin Press, 2000). ASCD has added a sixth level encompassing building local capacity for transfer to the school and sustainability of initiatives. The six levels used for evaluation and tracking of program implementation and success include gathering evidence of impact based on the following:

- Level 1- Participant Reactions
- Level 2- Participants Learning
- Level 3- Organization Support and Change
- Level 4- Participant Use of New Knowledge and Skills
- Level 5- Student Learning Outcomes
- Level 6- Demonstrating Capacity



ASCD's PD Online Courses for the Common Core State Standards include reports that can be accessed by district administration that will convey the following measures:

- Percentage of participants completing the PD Online Courses
- Number of participants receiving the certificate of completion for each PD Online Course
- Amount of time each participant spent taking the PD Online Course
- Pre-assessment and post-assessment scores aligned to the objectives for each PD Online Course

ASCD's PD for Leadership can be assessed by district administration that will convey the following measures:

- Pre-assessment and post-assessment scores aligned to the objectives for the PD Leadership Institute objectives.
- Number of principals and district administrators receiving a certificate of completion for the PDI Leadership Institute.

Please note: Upon completion of the customized implementation and evaluation plan (once contract is approved by the school board), there will be additional measures identified and included as part of the evaluation plan.

LLC Observation Tool

Fac	cilitator:			SCD Faculty:							
LL	C Area		Group _		Date						
This information will remain confidential, and it is being collected to monitor and evaluate our work. Please feel free to add comments when appropriate. Using a 5 point scale (5 highest, 1 lowest), please circle the number that reflects your observations for this LLC session:											
1. Engagement of individuals within own cadres and with members of other cadres.											
		1	2	3	4	5					
C	comments:										
2.	Interaction	n among groups ar	nd within their ow	n groups.							
		1	2	3	4	5					
C	omments:										
3.						tt you believe your strengths o your facilitation skills.					
		1	2	3	4	5					
C	omments:										
4.	How comfe	ortable were you w	within your role to	day?							
		1	2	3	4	5					
C	comments:										
5.	How did	the ASCD Faculty	Member engage	the groups through	nout the day?						
		1	2	3	4	5					
C	comments:										
6.	Overall ra	ting of the day?									
		1	2	3	4	5					
С	comments:										

Common Core Professional Learning Elementary ELA 2013-2014

Purpose

Increasing student achievement is the most important goal of any professional learning system. Professional development only makes a difference if it translates from training to practice to results. By using embedded professional development and building teacher capacity in both leadership and literacy practices, we can move one step closer to supporting teachers in their efforts to raise the rigor of their instruction, align their classroom practice to the CCSS, and work collaboratively with each other to grow and learn together.

Leading the Learning Cadres (LLC's)

- Already established school teams of 4 teachers, Principal, and instructional coaches
- Teams would engage in face-to-face PD provided by **Core Connections** in the areas of ELA instruction as well as receive training in the development of their facilitative leadership skills
- Work from the "30,000 foot" perspective in the facilitation at their school sites to grow teachers in their understanding of the common core standards and collaborative practices.
- All principals receive not just content knowledge but a district message in regards to the CCSS implementation plan and will be equipped to facilitate PD, monitor teacher practice and provide effective feedback.
- Scheduled to meet 4 times this year

Literacy Leadership Teams (LLT's)

- Make the School Improvement Plan a living, breathing document, as this team is required by every school to provide literacy leadership to schools
- 7 member school team of 1 teacher per grade level, plus the Assistant Principal
- Teams would engage in day long, recurring PD provided by **Core Connections** in the areas of lesson plan development aligned to the CCSS and the PCS grade level module framework
- Increase collaboration across all grade levels (k-5) and school teams
- Intensive PD on lesson plan development, building teacher and assistant principal capacity in this area at each school
- Create a district bank of exemplar lessons with the support of **Core Connections**
- Work with LLC to facilitate professional learning at school sites
- Assistant Principals receive not just content knowledge, but a district message in regards to the CCSS implementation plan and will be equipped to facilitate PD, monitor teacher practice and provide effective feedback.
- Scheduled to meet 3 times this year, plus a 4th with the LLC

District Literacy Coaches (Elementary)

- All district literacy coaches to receive PD provided by **Core Connections** aligned to module/unit development and lesson creation during the Coaches' Content Institute
- Follow-up training to be provided to coaches at subsequent coach meetings
- Build coach capacity to support teachers through the use of embedded coaching cycles

• Support Schools' LLT and LLC work through the ongoing work with teachers, explicit slice training through district wide components and monitoring of student data all aligned to the work of Core Connections

Delivery Model (LLC and LLT)

By Area Groups-Area 1-2 Elementary Groups Area 2-3 Elementary Groups Area 3-2 Elementary Groups Area 4-3 Elementary Groups

Training Months- September, November, March

LLC training days needed-30 LLT training days needed-30

District Literacy Coaches

Coaches Content Institute-2 days (September) Ongoing coach Support through the year-2 days (November/March)

Coach training days needed-4

TDE Funding Source for LLC/LLT participants (already secured)

LLC TDE's funding Source-Title II and Race to the Top LLT TDE's funding Source-Referendum

Description of the Plan for CCSS/Core Connections District-wide

In September, professional development would align to planning close reading lessons with text dependent questions aligned to the literacy analysis writing task. This is the assessed task on the Common Assessment, cycle 1, and would prepare teachers for developing shorter units aligned to the literature standards within their module work. In November/December the professional development would focus on the information standards, and preparing teachers to develop close reading lessons with appropriate depths of knowledge aligned to the overall meaning of a non-fiction piece. The unit would be based upon the narrative task, with emphasis on narrative description, as this is the 2nd task on the common assessment. The final training would focus on having teachers plan a culminating research simulation task aligned to both the extended and shorter texts that focused on content knowledge. This is the final task that is assessed on the 3rd cycle of the common assessment. Each training will include opportunities for teachers to not only review previous learning, but to analyze student work to determine level of student understanding of the assessed standards.

Upon receiving this PD through Core Connections, the LLC's, the LLT's and the literacy coaches are able to collaborate at the school level and look for ways to build a school culture that fosters professional learning. Opportunities for professional learning include embedded coaching cycles, PLC's, lesson study and cross grade level articulation. The final LLC and LLT in June will be a celebration of learning, and planning for the 2014-2015 school year.



Master InService Plan

2013-2014

Compiled June 30, 2013

<u>×</u> -

Table of Contents

Ra	ationale1	
	Pinellas County Schools Vision, Mission, Strategic Directions1	
	Pinellas County Schools Five Action Goals1	
	Professional Development Department Mission1	
	Professional Development Advisory Council Mission1	
מת	anagement Processes	,
181	Participation	
	Registration Process	
	Components	
	Component Points	
	Professional Learning Days	
	Documentation Procedures	
	Transfer of Component Points	
	Procedure for Updating MIP	3
De	esign and Development4	ł
	Planning4	
	Delivery4	
	Follow-Up4	
	Evaluation	
Co	omponent Summary Comparison6	3
	Summary	3
	Impacts6	3
Appendix A		
	DOE Focus Area Codes	1
Appendix B		
	Master In-Service Plan Index 2013-2014B1-B1-	4

VISION

100% Student Success

MISSION

Educate and prepare each student for college, career and life

Values

Commitment to Children, Families, and Community; Respectful and Caring Relationships; Cultural Competence; Integrity; Responsibility; Connectedness

Strategic Directions

Student Achievement – Broad area of focused efforts based on federal, state, student, and community requirements for academic excellence.

Learning in a Safe Environment – Broad area of focused efforts based on student, faculty, staff, and community requirements to learn in an orderly, safe, and secure environment.

Effective and Efficient Use of Resources – Broad area of focused efforts based on business, fiscal, operational, state and community requirements to manage all resources for increased student achievement.

Five Action Goals

Goal 1: Increase Student Achievement resulting in improvement in every school (A, B, C Grade) Learning gains, higher promotional (each level) and graduation rates.

Goal 2: Ensure curriculum, instruction, and assessment is designed and delivered with a focus on continuous improvement of student engagement and academic achievement.

Goal 3: Develop and sustain a healthy, respectful, caring, safe learning environment for students, faculty, staff, and community resulting in individual employee learning, student learning, and overall school improvement.

Goal 4: Develop and sustain effective and efficient use of all resources for improved student achievement and sustainability.

Goal 5: Provide quality technology and business services to optimize operations, communications, and academic results.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT MISSION

Inspiring Excellence in Practice to Ensure Student Success.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL MISSION

Professional Development Advisory Council serves as a cross-functional team that advises the Professional Development Department on learning opportunities for all Pinellas County Board employees.

PARTICIPATION

Stakeholders are informed about available opportunities through multiple communication resources which include ProEd Facilitators based at all Pinellas County schools and other work sites, departmental letters and newsletter, PCS Mail (Outlook) Training Announcements, and the Moodle/Learning Management System (MoodleLMS), which is the web based registration, information and presentation vehicle.

REGISTRATION PROCESS

All class registrations are processed through the web-based MoodleLMS, accessible twenty-four hours a day, 365 days a year.

COMPONENTS

The Pinellas County Schools Master In-service Plan (MIP) contains a listing of all the currently available components in the Pinellas County School District. These components are written by identified district employees. They must be aligned and sponsored by content specialists or district administrators and submitted initially to the Professional Development Department for approval.

Each approved component is assigned a function, focus, and sequence number and becomes part of the MIP. It can then be opened by an instructor or component coordinator and offered for component credit.

COMPONENT POINTS

Pinellas County offers professional learning for certified and non-certified personnel. All activities for which in-service (component) points are awarded must be represented by an approved component in the MIP. Final approval for component point credit is given by Professional Development. One (1) component point is equivalent to one (1) clock hour of participation in a professional learning activity.

For re-certification, points earned in one validity period cannot be carried over into a subsequent validity period except for ESOL and identified Reading Endorsement credit. ESOL and identified reading endorsement credits may be banked for use in future certificate renewal periods. In addition, a teacher holding a temporary certificate may use bankable points accumulated during the temporary period to renew the first professional certificate provided the validity period of the first professional certificate immediately follows the expiration of the temporary certificate. Individuals may use up to 60 points or 3 semester hours of college credit in ESOL for renewal of any subject area on a professional certificate.

All certified personnel involved in the professional learning activity are expected to demonstrate increased competency on at least eighty (80) percent of the specific objectives of a component.

District employees may request a copy of their training history activity from 2002 forward through Moodle/LMS and it is emailed to their Outlook email account. Complete In-service histories are maintained for each employee via the district TERMS system.

PROFESSIONAL LEARNING DAYS

Professional learning is built into the school calendar. District-wide training days are one vehicle for delivery. They do not warrant credit by attendance alone, however, if credit is warranted, one (1) point shall be equivalent to one clock hour of actual participation. Points shall be assigned to each component on the basis of the average time required for a participant to satisfactorily achieve the objectives of the component, as determined by the component author. School based professional learning days are another vehicle for delivery. Professional Learning days are set aside for district-wide and school based training for Instructional personnel. In addition, one day is scheduled for support service personnel training, with optional participation. Instructional personnel also earn professional learning components for participation in a Professional Learning Community (PLC).

The district recognizes and supports the growth of leaders. Professional learning opportunities are offered for leaders through portions of leadership and area meetings, professional learning days, and separate component offerings.

DOCUMENTATION PROCEDURES

Each participant's attendance in professional training is recorded electronically through June 30, 2013:

- TERMS HRMS Employee History
- Pinellas County Schools Moodle/Learning Management System (Moodle/LMS)
- Electronic Training Assessment Survey
- Class Participation Report

TRANSFER OF COMPONENT POINTS

An individual transferring into Pinellas County from other Florida districts or out to other Florida districts may transfer accumulated component points between Florida districts by completing the following procedures:

- Request the "Inservice Teacher Education Transfer Record" form from the prior district be sent to the Professional Development office
- When leaving Pinellas County to go to another county in Florida, the Professional Development Department should be contacted by the new district to transfer points to the new district.

PROCEDURE FOR UPDATING MIP

Revisions for the MIP are recommended by the Director of Professional Development with input from various sources, and submitted to the School Board for approval. Examples of input sources are listed below.

- Employee needs assessments
- Professional Development Advisory Council
- · Participant evaluations of professional education experiences
- Reviews of existing components by component authors
- Program evaluations
- Legislative, Department of Education and district expectations
- Review and analysis of student and teacher performance data

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT

Planning

- Planned training is based on an annual needs assessment that includes school by school analysis of disaggregated student achievement data and teacher evaluation data.
- The scientifically research-based professional development system is aligned and linked with disaggregated student achievement data, student and instructional personnel needs, school Improvement Plan needs, annual performance appraisal data for teachers and administrators, annual school reports and district strategic planning.
- The content aligns with the 2013-2014 District Strategic Plan Priorities focusing on Common Core State Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards, subject matter, content knowledge, teaching methods (high yield instructional strategies), technology, assessment and data analysis, classroom management, and school safety.
- Professional learning facilitators incorporate the Learning Forward standards and Florida Professional Development Standards in their training.

Delivery

- Professional development is relevant to student achievement objectives and specifies outcome expectations.
- Training incorporates learning strategies that apply knowledge of human learning and change.
- The training is sufficiently sustained to ensure mastery and is delivered through a variety of technologies with sufficient time and dollars appropriated to it.
- Records are coordinated through the Professional Development Department.

Follow-Up

- Follow up methods are identified for each PCS component, upon origination, per FLDOE Professional Development Protocol requirements:
 - m) Structured Coaching/Mentoring (may include direct observation, conferencing, oral reflection and/or lesson demonstration
 - n) Independent Learning/Action Research related to training (should include evidence of implementation)
 - 0) Collaborative Planning related to training, includes Learning Community
 - p) Participant Product related to training (may include lesson plans, written reflection, audio/videotape, case study, samples of student work)
 - q) Lesson study group participation
 - r) Electronic-interactive
 - s) Electronic non-interactive

Evaluation

- * Four levels of evaluation, participant, student impact, component, and program evaluation, provide a continuous documentation of effectiveness of training on participant and student performance.
- 1. Participant Evaluation

Participant evaluation follows completion of component activities. To successfully complete a component, participants must show an increase of at last 80% of the objectives in a component. For example, if there are ten objectives, participants must show an increase on at least eight of the objectives.

To determine growth, a pre-assessment is required. This pre-assessment may take many forms. It could be a written test or other written or oral review to determine awareness or knowledge, or a demonstration of skills. It could be conducted individually or in groups. In some instances when the component offers instruction in an area where the presenter is sure that the participant has no knowledge, that presenter will assume that the performance on the pre-assessment is 0%.

Use of a participant evaluation measure must be appropriate for the type of component. For instance, the participant evaluation of awareness training could be a summary activity conducted individually or in groups. The knowledge level evaluation could be results of an assignment to use the knowledge in developing a plan for further action or could be a written evaluation completed individually or in groups. Skills level training is appropriately evaluated by means which allow demonstration of the skills taught. Portfolios or other methods may be used to collect the demonstration information. Videotaping, audio taping, and/or peer observations may all be used to document performance. Follow-up activities to implement learning may be required in order to receive points.

2. Component Evaluation

Evaluation at the culmination of a component by each participant is recorded with an electronic survey. The survey reflects the Pinellas County School's Training Standards. Participants report on the presentation, activities, and follow-up need and opportunities. Additionally, participants are to specify the goal of their Individual Professional Development Plan that supports the need for the training and to identify notable content and job specific application to enhance performance and increase student achievement. This evaluation, completed on-line, electronically in order to receive component points.

The collected results of the surveys provide a guide to the presenter regarding workshop/district alignment and need for additional training by the participants. The Training Assessment Surveys are reviewed by the session coordinator, in addition to the Schedule/Verification form and the class participation report, in order to close a component.

While not a requirement, an additional evaluation tool may be utilized by session facilitators to gauge customer satisfaction and implementation. Content specific surveys or plus/delta evaluations provide feedback to instructors regarding strengths and opportunities for improvement.

3. Program Evaluation

This third evaluation type is the most comprehensive and far reaching. Program evaluation asks the fundamental question, "Is professional education in our district effectively meeting the needs of our organization and its employees?" and "Is professional education ultimately contributing to improved student achievement?" This requires knowing customer expectations and needs and gauging them against our organizational purpose, the Professional Development Department mission, and the effectiveness of systems support. Individual Professional Development Plans now play an ever more important role relating to customer need, as student achievement data impact each teacher's defined needs. The Department of Assessment, Accountability and Research conducts a formal evaluation of 10% of the district's major professional development initiatives to determine impact on student achievement.

SUMMARY COMPARISON

In summary

Eighty-nine DOE focus areas are divided into seven categories (see page A8). Content specialists and other administrators have authored components in 65 of 89 state focus areas.

- Lack of components in a specific focus area may not reflect lack of training in that area. A
 Component may include content beyond the indicated focus area; however DOE specifications
 limit the identification of a component to one focus area representing 50% or more of the content.
- In the 2012-13 school year a total of 97,587 training completions were recorded.
- Following national trends in professional development as defined by the Learning Forward standards, professional development is increasingly experienced through embedded staff development, teacher inquiry and the model of Professional Learning Communities with a focus on Common Core State Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards, student assessments, teacher performance standards, analysis of student data, and acquisition of new learning.
- The top ten areas of training, representing 71,338 participants, include:

Focus Area		ocus Area	Number of participants
1.	007	Integrated Curriculum	22,293
2.	403	Behavioral Interventions	12,158
3.	013	Reading	8,322
4.	512	School Improvement	7,365
5.	009	Mathematics	5,521
6.	507	Leadership Skills/Comm/Critical Thinking	3,858
7.	103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	3,246
8.	408	Instructional Strategies	4,163
9.	100	ESE Instructional Strategies	2,607
10.	012	Pre-Kindergarten	1,805

2013-2014 MIP Projection

During the 2012-13 school year there were 780 components available for professional learning. We begin the 2013-14 school year with 333 components available for use.

Major training focuses include:

- Standards based planning and instruction
- Common Core State Standards
- Building content knowledge
- Student Engagement (academic social behaviors)
- High Yield Instructional Strategies
- Lesson Study and Professional Learning Communities

Ongoing district mandates:

In summary, following national trends in professional development as defined by Learning Forward, and aligned to the Florida Professional Development Protocol, professional development training is increasing offered through embedded staff development and the model of Professional Learning Communities (PLC) (Focus 007), the teacher performance rubric, an emphasis on Common Core State Standards and Next Generation Sunshine State Standards and analysis of student data in an effort to improve instruction, close the achievement gap and increase student achievement.

Appendix A

DOE Focus Area Codes

BASIC PROGRAMS

- hA 000
- 001 Bilingual Education/ESOL 002 Career Education 003 Computer Science/Tech Ed 004 Foreign Languages 005 Health/Nutrition **006** Humanities 007 Integrated Curriculum 008 Language Arts 009 Mathematics 010 Music 011 Physical Education 012 Pre-kindergarten 013 Reading 014 Safety/Driver Education 015 Science 016 Social Studies
- 017 Writing

EXCEPTIONAL STUDENT FDUCATION PROGRAMS

- **100** Instructional Strategies
- 101 Classroom Management
- 102 Assessment
- 103 Procedural/Legal Requirements
- 104 Working w/Aides, Volunteers, Mentors
- 105 Curriculum

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS

- 200 Agriscience and Natural Resource Education
- 201 Business Technology Education
- 202 Diversified Education
- 203 Family and Consumer Sciences
- 204 Health Science Education
- 205 Industrial Education
- 206 Marketing Education
- 207 Middle School Exploratory Vocational Wheel 208 Public Service Occupations Education
- 209 Technology Education
- 210 Vocational Education, Instructional Support Services
- 211 Vocational/Technical Education, Unclassified

ADULT/COMMUNITY EDUCATION

- 300 Adult Basic Education (ABE)
- 301 Adult Education, Unclassified
- 302 Adult English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)
- 303 Adult Gen Ed for Adults with Disabilities
- 304 Citizenship
- 305 Gen Ed Promotion (Adult High School)
- 306 Gen Ed Development (GED) Prep
- 307 Vocational Preparatory Instruction
- **308 Workspace Readiness Skills**

STUDENT & INSTRUCTIONAL SUPPORT PROCESSES

- 400 Academic Interventions
- 401 Assessment/Student Appraisal
- 402 Attendance
- 403 Behavioral Interventions
- 404 Classroom Management & Organization/Learning Environment
- 405 Dropout Retrieval
- 406 Human Relations/Communications Skills
- 407 Instructional Media Services 408 Instructional Strategies
- 409 Instructional Support Services, Unclassified 410 Law, Rules, Policies, Procedures
- 411 Learning Styles, Student Differences 412 Multicultural Education
- 413 Parent Involvement, Parent Support
- 414 Physical and Mental Health Issues
- 415 Problem Solving Teams
- 416 Professional Standards & Ethics
- 417 Program Administration, Evaluation, Accountability
- 418 Scholarships, Financial Aid, Education Transitions
 - 419 Section 504/Americans with Disabilities Act
 - 420 Service Coordination, Collaboration Integration
 - 421 Student Motivation
 - 422 Student Records
 - 423 Supplemental Academic Instruction
- 424 Working w/ Volunteers, Aides, and Mentors

GENERAL SUPPORT

- 500 Board of Education
- 501 Central Services-Planning/ Program Evaluation/ Continuous Improvement
- 502 District-level Management
- 503 Diversity/Ethics
- 504 Fiscal Services
- 505 Food Services
- 506 General Support Services, Unclassified
- 507 Leadership Skills/ Communication/Critical Thinking
- 508 Management Information Services
- 509 Office/Clerical Services
- 510 Plant Operation and Maintenance
- 511 Safety/Security
- 512 School Improvement
- 513 School-level Management
- 514 Service on Advisory or Instructional Materials Councils
- 515 Transportation Services

COMMUNITY SERVICES

600 Community Services, Unclassified 601 Lay Advisory Councils

602 Parent Education

Appendix B



000 BP_Art

1000031C	MAKING VIDEOS IN ART
1000032C	PHOTOGRAPHING ARTWORK
1000035C	DIGITAL ART CURRIC APPS
1000036C	ONLINE STRATEGIES IN ART
1000037C	TECHNOLOGY IN ART K-12
1000046C	FAEA STATE CONF
1000047C	ArtTIP 12
1000048C	Digital Arts Lab Study
1000049C	Digital Arts Lab 24

001 BP_Bilingual Ed/ESOL

1001999N READ	ING TO ESOL CROSSWALK	120
---------------	-----------------------	-----

003 BP_Computer Sc /Tech Ed

3003057C	ANGEL TRAINING
3003088C	EXCEL 2007, INTERMEDIATE 6
3003089C	EXCEL 2007, INTRO 6
3003090C	WORD 2007, INTRO 6
3003091C	WORD 2007, INTERMEDIATE 6
3003093C	POWERPOINT 2007, INTRO 6
3003103C	Introduction to Secondary Master Schedule Building
3003105C	Word 2010 Online
3003106C	Excel 2007 Advanced
3003107N	Navigating Technology in PCS for SSCOC
3003108N	Office 2010 for SSCOC
3003109C	Excel 2010, Intro 6
3003110C	Word 2010, Introduction 6

004 BP_ Foreign Languages

1004001C	WL Assessment SG 6
1004012C	WL ASSESSMENT SG 3
1004018C	CHINESE CURRICULUM SG3
1004019C	INTERNATIONAL DAY
1004020C	FFLA STATE CONFERENCE 6
1004021C	FFLA STATE CONFERENCE 9
1004024C	Chinese Teacher Conference
2004004C	WORLD LANG CURRICULUM 3

Fiscal Year : 2013

2004009C	WORLD LANGUAGE METHODS
2004010C	WL ENGAGEMENT STRAT 1
2004011C	WL BEST PRACTICES MS/HS 1-2
2004013C	WL BEST PRACTICES K-5
2004014C	WL BEST PRACTICES HS (ALL
2004016C	World Languages PLC
3004003C	LANGUAGE LAB TRAINING
3004004C	WL Flipped Classioom
8004012C	WL Appraisal Study Group

٠

005 BP_Health/Nutrition

1005007C	HUMAN SEXUALITY GRADE 5
1005023C	FAMILY LIFE ED ONLINE
1005026C	Summer Academy for Health Ed
1005028C	Healthy School Program
1005029C	Secondary Health Ed Planning
2005004C	TOBACCO PREVENTION TRNG
2005006C	K-5 Health Education
2005007C	Asthma 101
2005008C	Health Literacy
2005009C	STD Basics

007 BP_Integrated Curriculum

1007021C	New Teacher Orientation - Early Career Pathway, Meeting One
1007022C	Veteran Teacher Orientation - Inspiring Excellence Conference
1007025C	Cambridge AICE Face to Face 12
1007026C	ESOL PLC Meetings
1007029C	Experience Pinellas - KINDERGARTEN ONLY
1007030C	Fall-DWT 2012 Content Training
1007031C	Spring - ESOL
1007032C	Spring - K-5 Elementary
1007033C	Spring - 9-12 Secondary Math
1007034C	Spring - 6-8 Secondary Math
1007035C	Spring - 6-8 Secondary Science
1007036C	Spring - 9-12 Secondary Science
1007037C	Spring - P.E.
1007038c	Spring - JROTC



Fiscal Year : 2013

1007039C	Spring - Drivers Ed	009 BP_M	athematics
1007040C	Spring - Library Media/Technology	1009037C	SEC MATH CURRICULUM 6
1007041C	Spring - Performing Arts	1009048C	SECONDARY MATH CURRICULUM 3
1007042C	Spring - Visual Arts	1009063C	Piomise K-8 Mathematics
1007043C	Spring - World Languages	1009064C	Making Sense of Fractions 3
1007044C	Spring - IB	1009065C	Making Sense of Geometry and Measurement
1007045C	Spring - K-12 Social Studies	1009066C	Making Sense of Word Problems 3 / Content Literacy in
1007046C	Spring - Health Education	1009068C	Mathematics Classroom Discussions in Mathematics
1007047C	Spring - Adult General Ed	1009008C	Formative Assessment in Mathematics
1007049C	Spring - CTE	1009070C	Number Talks - Literacy in Mathematics
1007050C	Spring - ESE VE EBD, ASD, IVE, Asperger Teachers	1009072C	ST Math
1007051C	Spring -	1009073C	Unpacking the Standards in Mathematics
1007052C	Spring - High School VE, EBD, IVE and Aspergers	1009074C	Elementary Mathematics Content Mini-Institute
1007053C	Teachers Spring - All K-12 SVE Teachers(Gen Ed Schools Only)	1009075C	iCPALMS Platform
10070550	and 6-12 ASD Teachers (Gen Ed Schools Only)	1009077C	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute
1007054C	Spring - ESE all Extended Transition Teachers	1009078C	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute
1007055C	Spring - ESE All Paul B. Stephens and Nina Harris Teachers	1009079C	6-8 Summer Mathematics Institute
1007056C	Spring - ESE OT/PT	1009080C	Middle Sch Math Summer Bridge
1007057C	Spring - All Behavior Specialists	1009081C	Introduction to the HMH Elementary Reading
1007058C	Spring - Speech/Language Therapists		(Journeys) and Elementary Mathematics (Go Math) Textbook Adoption
1007059C	Spring - Pre-K Teachers	2009049C	Integrated Coach led/Job Embedded
1007061C	Spring - DHH Teachers	2009124C	MODEL DRAWING NGSSS K-2
1007062C	Spring - VI Teachers	2009125C	MODEL DRAWING NGSSS 3-5
1007063C	Spring - Interpreters	2009127C	PDA DIFFERENTIATING MATH INSTRUCTION
1007064C	Spring - Secondary English ,Language Aits and	2009138C	KINDERGARTEN TUBBING
1007070C	Reading Spring - MS VE Liasions, HS VE Specialists and all	2009142C	Tubbing for New to Kindergarten Teachers
10070700	CEDs	8009035C	ALGEBRA NETWORK 2
2007037C	COLLABORATIVE TEACH. OVER	8009049C	GRAPHING CALCULATOR APP 6
2007050C	Integrated Coach Led/Job Embedded	8009052C	Sec Math/Science Leadership
2007051C	Integrated/Job Led/Coach Embedded	8009054C	FTCE Math Exam Readiness
2007052C	PLC Informed Instruction 10 2012-2013	8009056C	Geometry Institute (24)
2007053C	PLC Informed Instruction 20 2012-2013	8009057C	Algebra Institute (24)
2007054C	Title Private School	8009062C	Geometry Roundtable
		8009063C	Sec Math Course Content 2
008 BP_Language Arts		8009064C	CCSS Math Institute 24

1008035C Core Connections Literacy Training



010 BP_Music

1010012C	REHEARSAL STRATEGIES 12
1010025C	MUSIC TIP II 24
1010028C	MUSICTIP 2B
1010030C	GUITAR STRATEGIES I
1010033C	FATE CONFERENCE 6
1010034C	FMEA CONFERENCE
1010036C	PERFORMING ARTS WKSP 3
1010037C	PERFORMING ARTS WKSHP 6

011 BP_Physical Education

1011033C	NFHS Fundamentals of Coaching
1011034C	NFHS Concussions in Sport
1011035C	FAHPERDS Pre-Conference
1011036C	FAHPERDS Conference
2011001C	Special Olympics Coach Orientation
2011005C	CARE/PREV ATHLETIC INJURY
2011006C	CARE/PREV ATHLETIC INJ II
2011010C	Special Olympics Coaches Training 3
2011011C	Literacy in Physical Education
2011012C	Instructional Practices in K-12 Physical Education

012 BP_Pre-Kindergarten

	8
1012006C	PreK Infant/Toddler Health Concerns
2012051C	NEW KDG TEACHERS 1
2012052C	NEW KDG TEACHERS II
2012053C	NEW KDG TEACHERS III
2012054C	NEW KDG TEACHERS IV
2012055C	NEW KDG TEACHERS V
2012092C	Standards for VPK Instructors
2012093C	PreK Social Emotional Development
2012094C	Writing Like A Scientist
2012095C	VPK Instructional Implications
2012096C	Phonological Awareness in VPK
2012097C	Beyond Emergent Reading-Kindergarten Guided Reading
2012098C	PreK Phonological Fun
2012100C	PreK Enhance Learning w Intentional Teaching

Fiscal Year : 2013

2012101C	PieK Strategies to Support Diverse Learners
2012102C	NEW KINDERGARTEN TCHR VI
2012103C	Emergent Reading and Beyond in Kindergarten
2012104C	New Reading Adoption for Kindergatten
4012001C	ASSESSING W/BATTELLE
4012004C	ABLLS-R IN ESE CLASSROOMS
4012007C	ABLLS-R Assessment
4012008C	VPK Assessments 4
4012009C	BDI Review with Technology
4012010C	PreK ESE Collecting and Using Data
8012009C	PREK PROCESSES & PROCEDURES
8012013C	PieK Improving Practices PLC
8012014C	PreK ESE Enhancing Curriculum

013 BP_Reading

1007081C	Planning for the Common Core
1013043C	LM-B: Seeing Stars
1013044C	LM-B: Visualizing and Verbalizing
1013045C	LLI 6 HOUR
1013046C	LLI 12 HOUR
1013047C	LM-B:IIntroduction to School Services
1013048C	LM-B: Assessment
1013052C	Fast ForWord
1013059C	Building and Maintaining a Classroom Library
1013060C	Raising the Rigor of Independent Reading
1013063C	Nonfiction Reading and Writing Routine
1013064C	Primary Writing Workshop
1013067C	Writing in Response to Reading
1013068C	LM-B Certification
1013069C	Next Steps in Guided Reading 48
1013070C	Next Step in Guided Reading
1013071C	Next Step in guided Reading
1013072C	Teaching Reading Through Craft and Structure
1013073C	Reading Workshop, Read Aloud and the Common Core
1013074C	Teaching Struggling Readers I
1013075C	Teaching Struggling Readers II
1013076C	Teaching Struggling Readers III
1013077C	LM-B Leadership Institute



Fiscal Year : 2013

1013078C	Guided Reading- Transitional	2
1013079C	Teaching Struggling Readers IV	2
1013081C	Planning for the Common Core	2
1013082C	Digging Deeper into Early Guided Reading	2
1013083C	Digging Deeper into Transitional Guided Reading	2
1013084C	Infusing Common Core, Accountability, and Rigor into Literacy	
1013085C	The Daily 5-Establishing Effective routines to support differentiated instructon and independence.	
1013086C	Writing Across the Curriculum	
1013087C	Readers Response Notebooks	
1013088C	Transitional Guided Writing	,
1013089C	CCSS and PARCC-Getting our Feet Wet	•
2013033C	BOOK STUDY ELEM READ	
2013131C	COMPETENCY 6: READING PRACTICUM 60	•
2013211C	READ 180 DAY 1 TRNG	
2013233C	REESOL	
2013278C	RDG CURRICULUM CADRE 3	1
2013292C	DIFFERENTIATING READING	
2013315C	Read Aloud/Accountable Talk 6	
2013316C	Comprehension Instruction Sequence (CIS) (6 hours)	
2013317C	Guided Reading - 12 Hour	
2013320C	Next Steps in Guided Reading 6 hour	
2013321C	NG-CAR-PD Academy (60 hours)	
2013322C	NG-CARPD Practicum 30 hours	
2013342C	The Next Step in Guided Reading for Kindergarten Teachers	
2013344C	Beacon Reading 1: Foundations of Instruction	
2013345C	Beacon Reading 2: Research-Based Practices	
2013346C	Beacon Reading 3: Assessment	
2013347C	Beacon Reading 4: Differentiated Instruction	
2013350C	Bumping Up Reading Workshop in Grade 1	
2013352C	Competency 5 Practicum (Demonstration of Accomplishment)	
2013355C	(6 hours) Secondary Reading Curriculum	
2013356C	Tampa Bay Area Writing Project	
2013358C	Running Records scoring and analyzing	
2013359C	Stay Sharp Book Chat	
2013360C	Literacy Leadership Team	
2013361C	SAM Administrator	

2013363C	Literacy Leadership Team Discussion Platforms
2013364C	FCAT Reading 2
2013365C	Techno Reading Reboot
2013366C	Text Dependent Questions
2013367C	Positive Behavior Management for Reading Classrooms
2013368C	Literacy Leadership Discussion Platforms for T&L (6 hours)
2013369C	Tutoing in Reading and Writing
2013370C	Literacy Leadership Discussion Platforms (2 hours)
2013999N	ESOL TO RDG 80 CROSSWALK
3013003C	Achieve 3000
3013004C	ACHIEVE 3000 (6 hr)
4013008C	READ 180 DAY 2 TRNG
4013013C	FAIR PROGRESS MONITORING K5
4013019C	Read 180 Instructional Specialist Training

015 BP_Science

1015050C	1st & 2nd Gr Life Science	
1015051C	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES 24	
1015053C	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES 3	
1015054C	ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES 6	
1015056C	3rd - 5th Formative Assessment Strategies and Best Practices	
1015064C	Standards Based Science 24	
1015066C	Environmental Studies 12	
2015010C	PDA DIFFERENTIATING SCIENCE INSTRUCTION	
2015017C	Science Curriculum Cadre (3 hours)	
2015018C	Science Curriculum Cadre (6 hours)	
2015020C	Science Curriculum Cadre 12	
016 BP_Social Studies		
10160060		

1016006C	ENTERPRISE VILLAGE
1016007C	FINANCE PARK
1016020C	working with DBQs
1016035C	ECONOMIC LITERACY
1016037C	CIVICS EDUCATION 6
1016040C	FL CONFERENCE FOR SOCIAL STUDIES
1016044C	CONTINUITY IN TARPON
1016045C	NEH SUMMER WORKSHOPS 24



1016050C	working with DBQs	1100009C	AUTISM SOCIAL SKILLS I
1016056C	CCSS and the NGSSS 2	1100014C	AUTISM SUMMER INSTITUTE
1016057C	CCSS and the NGSSS 6	1100033C	AUTISM 102 STRATEGIES
1016058C	Civics Ed for new teachers	1100036C	AUTISM 103
1016059C	On-Line Civics from JCC	1100041C	ESY CURRICULUM 6
1016060C	Reading for AP Exams	1100046C	Best Practices in Gifted Education
1016061C	NEH Workshop 12	1100047C	EBD 101 - Characteristics
1016062C	A History of North Pinellas	1100048C	Cambridge AICE 6
1016064C	Understanding Psychology Standards	1100049C	Developing Verbal Talent
1016065C	NHD Training for the Classroom	1100050C	Academic Writing
1016066C	Unpacking the US History EOC	2100006C	DEALING WITH DIFFERENCES
1016067C	Unpacking the Civics EOC	2100040C	FIMC VISUALLY IMPAIRED
1016068C	Thinking Like an Entrepreneur	2100047C	SIM READING STRATEGIES 6 (Fundamentals of
1016069C	Modern Genocides	2100053C	Paraphrasing and Summarizing) THEORY & DEV CREATIVITY
1016070C	Economic Literacy 9	2100055C	PAES FOLLOW-UP 3
1016071C	Diversity and Character Institute	2100067C	SIM: PARAGRAPH WRITING
1016072C	MEDAL OF HONOR	2100078C	PDA-ESE INSTRUCTIONAL
		2100079C	PDA ESE INTERPERSONAL
017 BP_V	Vriting	2100080C	PDA LANG DEV & COMM
1016063C	Early African Kingdoms	2100090C	SIM POSSIBLE SELVES STRATEGIES 3
1017003C	WRITERS CAMP	2100094C	CURRICULUM GIFTED STUDENT
1017012C	BUMP UP FCAT WRITING SCOR	2100150C	STORY GRAMMAR MARKER
1017017C	SCORING PRIMARY WRITING	2100158C	STORY GRAMMAR MARKER F/U
1017022C	Primary Writing Instruction	2100168C	Aspergei Syndrome 102
1017023C	New to Grade 4 FCAT Writing	2100176C	CO-PLANNING FOR CO-TCHRS
1017025C	Journeys in Journalism 2	2100180C	PAES INITIAL TRAINING
1017026C	Building Critical Writers	2100188C	SIM SELF ADVOCACY 3
1017027C	FCAT Writing 2	2100191C	STORY GRAMMAR MARKER REFRESHER
1017028C	Alternative Story Telling	2100197C	Out of District Creativity Gifted Endorsement
2017001C	NEW GR 4 FL WRITES TRNG	2100198C	Out of District Curriculum & Instruction Strategies
2017027C	Conferring With Writers 6		Gifted Endorsement
2017028C	A Time to Write (Poetry)	2100201C	William & Mary Language Arts
		2100204C	TEACCH - 3 Hour
100 ESE_Inst. Strategies		2100205C	SIM Memory Strategies 3 (FIRST)
1100003C	WORKSHOPS FOR COMMUNICATION DISORDERS	2100206C	PDA Intro to DI
1100005C	AUTISM 101	2100207C	Transition - Project 10
1100006C	AUTISM-BEHAVIOR I	2100208C	SIM Reading Strategies 3 (Inference)
		2100211C	Challenging Mathematically Talented Students



Fiscal Year : 2013

4102018C

2100214C	Working with the Experts	
2100215C	Content Enhancement 18	
2100219C	Collaborative Teaching Survival Guide	
2100220C	PDA: Differentiating Reading Instruction for Students: Making it Explicit	
2100221C	Explicit Instruction: Effective and Efficient Teaching/ 10 week online Book Study	
2100222N	Promoting Self Determination in Students with Disabilities	
2100223C	Sensory Learning Kit Training	
2100224C	FDLRS DOE Professional Learning 6	
2100225C	FDLRS DOE Professional Learning 12	
3100001N	BOARDMAKER I	
3100036C	FDLRS ASSISTIVE TECH 6	
3100042C	PDA Tech for Success	
3100047C	PDA: Technology for Student Success: Assistive Technology	
3100049C	FDLRS Tech for Student Success	
4100001C	QPVI PHASE ONE	
5100001C	EBD 103 Intervention Aligned to Diagnosis	
101 ESE_Classroom Management		
2101004C	Visual Supports for OT/PT	

2101004C	Visual Supports for OT/PT	
2101005C	ASL Strategies	
5101015C	TOUGH KIDS OVERVIEW	
5101031C	ASPERGER SYNDROME 103	
5101034C	EBD 101 Self-contained Level System	
5101035C	EBD 102 Self-Contained Essential Elements	
5101036C	EBD 102 Classroom Strategies	
5101037C	EBD 103 Self Contained Essential Elements Tool Kit	
5101039C	Disability Awareness	
5101040N	Managing Student Behavior for Associates	
5101041C	Tough Kid Classroom Management for New Teachers	
6101007C	CPI District ASD Level 2 Refresher	
6101008C	CPI District ASD Level 1 Refiesher	
102 ESE_Assessment		
1102001C	NATURE & NEEDS GIFTED	

1102002C	Out of District Nature and Needs Gifted
4102016C	FL ALTERNATE ASSESS

	REFRESHER
4102019C	FLORIDA ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT REFRESHER - E COURSE
4102025C	PDA DI Assessment
103 ESE	Procedural/Legal Req.
102 102	_ roccuuran Legar Keq.
1103003C	PDA FOUNDATIONS IN ESE
1103004C	PDA TRANSITION
1103025C	ESE POLICIES/PROCEDURES 6
7103001N	NEW ESE TA ORIENTATION
7103002C	MATRIX OF SERVICES TRAINIG
7103010C	Gifted Education Plan
7103012C	Developing Quality IEP's
7103013C	ESE Policies and Procedures/Handbook Training
8103027N	ESE ASSOCIATE VIDEO TRNG
8103030C	NTO-ESE EXPERIENCE PINELLAS

FLORIDA ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT

8103036C Building Inclusive Schools

104 ESE_Working w/ AV & M

8104002C MORE ALIKE THAN DIFFERENT

105 ESE_Curriculum

	_ · · · ·· ·· ··
1105014C	SIM TEST TAKING 6
1105022C	NUMBER WORLDS
1105027C	SIM COMMUNITY BUILDING 3
1105033C	Lexia Reading Program
1105035C	Fast ForWord
1105036C	SkillsTutor for ESE
1105041C	ESE Math Manipulatives- "Hands on Equations"
1105042C	ESE Math Manipulatives-"Algeblocks"
1105043C	ESE HS Voyageı Reading Program
1105044C	ESE HS Triumphs Math Program
1105045C	ESE Secondary Math- Algebra Essentials
1105046C	ESE Math Interventions and Manipulatives
1105047C	ESE Curriculum 6
2105011C	ESE CURRICULUM 3
2105013C	ESE Cadre



201 VocEd Business Tech Ed

2201008C	Industry Certification Exam Prep
3201013C	CAREER/TECHNICAL SOFTWARE TRAINING
3201014C	Using Cutting Edge Technology in the Classroom
3201015C	Middle School Information Technology Training

204 VocEd Health Science Ed

2204003C	Nursing Seminar
2204004C	AHA CPR Train the Trainer
8204003C	APNEF Conference 8

205 VocEd_Industrial Ed

1205004C	Auto Service Updates
1205006C	Welding Inspector Updates
1205007C	Professional Photographer Updates

208 VocEd_Public Serv. Occ. Ed

6208003C OSHA Train the Trainer

211 VocEd_Voc/Tech Ed Unclass

1211009C	CTAE Curriculum Training	12
1211010C	CTAE Curriculum Developn	nent
1211011C	Certification Training	
1211012C	CTAE Certification Training	; 18
1211013C	CTAE Certification Training	20
3211002C	CTAE-Technology Basics 24	1

301 Ad/ComEd_Adult Ed Unclass

1301049C	TABE 101
1301052C	ACE of Florida Conference
1301053C	Transitioning Adults
3301001C	ADULT ED SOFTWARE UPDATE
3301008C	Skills Tutor for Adults
3301009C	Florida Literacy Conference
3301010C	IPADs In Adult Education 101
3301011C	IPADs In Adult Education 201
3301012C	Smatt Boards Basics for Adult Ed
3301013C	Smart Board Advanced for Adult Ed

Fiscal Year : 2013

3301014C	IPads In Adult Education 301
3301015C	iPads in Adult Education 401
3301016C	Adult Career Pathways PLC
7301001C	ADA Compliance Postsecondary Ed

302 Ad/ComEd_Adult ESOL

1302009C ADULT ESOL LEAD TCHR PLC

306 Ad/ComEd_Gen Ed Dev GED

1306005C	ABE/GED LEAD TEACHER PLC
1306006C	GED 2014 Part One

1306007C GED 2014 Part 2

400 S/IS_Acad. Interventions

2400009C	AVID Area Content Tutorology 6
2400011C	AVID STRATEGIES INTERNAL 12
2400013C	AVID STRATEGIES
2400014C	AVID IMPLEMENTATION EXTERNAL 12
2400015C	AVID SUMMER INSTITUTE EXTERNAL 30
2400016C	AVID The Socratic Method: Using WICOR to Support the Critical Thinker 3
/01 S/IS	Assessment/Stud Anny
401 2/12	Assessment/Stud. Appr
2401001C	INQUIRY-COLLECTING DATA AND INQUIRY PLAN

- 2401002C Inquiry Data Analysis
- 3401001C NOVANET TRAINING
- 4401014C ASSESSMENT & PLANNING MODULE 1
- 4401015C ASSESSMENT & PLANNING MODULE 2
- 4401016C ASSESSMENT& PLANNING MODULE 3
- 4401017C BEHAVIOR DATA COLLECTION
- 4401018C Advanced Studies Data Analysis
- 4401019C Instructional Practices Inventory (IPI)-Data Collector . Training
- 4401020C Instructional Practices(IPI)-Data Collector Refresher
- 4401021C Assessment Development

402 S/IS_Attendance

6402001C Child Study Teams


Fiscal Year : 2013

		6403113C	CPI Level I Refresher 2012-2013
403 S/IS	Behav. Interventions	6403114C	CPI Level 2 Refiesher 2012-2013
2403004C	PS/RTI FOR SOCIAL WORKERS	6403115C	CPI District 1& 2 2012-2013
5403013C	CRITICAL INCIDENT STRESS	6403116C	CPI TIC
6403001C	BULLYING PREVENTION 12	6403117C	Being a Better Bystander
6403006C	BULLYING PREVENT BASICS 3	6403118C	Student Services Bonanza
6403012C	FUNCTIONAL BEHAVIOR ASSESSMENT	6403119C	FBA Overview
6403035C	FACILITATOR TRAINING CYBER-BULLYING	6403120C	FBAs In-Depth for Facilitators
6403062C	RESPONDING TO CHALLENGING BEHAVIOR	6403121C	Managing The Cycle Of Acting Out Behavior
6403063C	BEHAVIOR/INTERVENT SPECIALIST TRAINING 6	6403122C	Classroom Management for High Structure Elementary Classrooms
6403070C	0 RTI:B FOR SUBSTITUTES		
6403083C	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	404 S/IS_	Clsrm Mgmt & Org/Lng
6403085C	2404022C Out of District Education of Special Populatio		Out of District Education of Special Populations Gifted Endorsement
6403089C			Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute
6403092C	Teen Dating Violence and Abuse	5404044C	TEACH&LEARN ENVIRON MOD 2
6403093C	Safe Teams 1: Bullying/Harassment/Teen Dating	5404045C	TEACH&LEARN ENVIRON MOD 3
	Violence Policies Basics Safe Team Training for Investigators and Prevention Personnel	5404050C	TEACH & LEARN ENVIRN MOD I
6403095C	Positive & Proactive Classroom Management: STOIC	5404054C	ER&D MANAGING ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIORS 18
(10000/0	Overview	5404055C	Survival Skills Training
6403096C	Positive & Proactive Classioom Management: Using tools to review & ievise your classroom management plan	5404066C	Out of District Guidance & Counseling of Gifted Gifted Endorsement
6403097C	Positive & Proactive Classroom Management: Setting	5404067C	ER&D Managing Antisocial Behaviors 3
	the Stage	5404070C	Pathways to Success
6403098C	Positive & Proactive Classioom Management: Organization & Structure	6404030C	THE STAR PROGRAM 3
6403102C	Positive & Proactive Classioom Management:	6404035C	RTI: B FACILITATORS
	Motivation & Student Engagement	6404036C	INTRO TO RTI: BEHAVIOR
6403103C	Positive & Proactive Classroom Management: Developing/Teaching Expectations	6404041C	11 Principles of Character Education strategies
6403104C	Positive & Proactive Classroom Management: Class-	6404045C	Behavior Coach PD
6403106C	wide Motivation Systems Safe Teams 2 Bullying/Harassment and TDVA Policies	6404047C	Practical Classroom Management Survival Strategies for New Teachers
	Basics Safe Team Training for Investigators and Prevention Personnel	6404048C	Behavior Coach PLC
6403107C	Coaching Classicom Management	7404018C	REFLECTIVE GUIDED INQUIRY
6403108C	Positive & Proactive Classroom Management	7404019C	IMPROVING OUR HIGH SCHOOL 6
	Facilitators	7404025C	Community of Practice Mini Session I
6403109C	Positive & Proactive Classroom Management: Correct Fluently	7404026C	Elementary AP Monthly Professional Learning
6403111C	CPI Crisis Team 2012-2013	7404027C	Middle School AP Monthly Professional Development
6403112C	CPI Level I 2012-2013	7404028C	High School AP Monthly Professional Development
_		7404029C	PD System Communities of Practice



7404030C	Elementary AP Monthly Professional Learning
8404056C	New Teacher Orientation

406 S/IS_Human Rel/Comm Skills

2406004C	Peer Mediation
8406023C	TRUE COLORS
8406024C	ROSETTA STONE LANGUAGE 60
8406027C	Clinical Educator 18
8406028C	Clinical Educator 6 - Refresher
8406032C	Clinical Educator Training Session 18

407 S/IS_Inst. Media Services

1407013C	PLC for LM/TS
1407014C	FAME State Conference
3407051C	LM/Tips
3407052C	Creating Music Scores with Garageband
3407053C	Video Production with Final Cut/Premier Pro
3407054C	Photo editing with Photoshop
3407055C	Video Production
3407056C	Script Writing
3407057C	Multimedia Journalist
3407058C	Anchors & Reporters
3407059C	Basic Tricaster
3407060C	Advance Tricaster
3407062C	Video Production with Advance iMovie
3407063C	Building a TV Production Program
3407064C	Kindle Administrator
7507092C	Rep Leadership Academy 3
8407004N	FDLRS MAKE N TAKE
8407005C	TV Interview Tips: How To Get People To Talk and Make Them Look Good!

408 S/IS_Instr. Strategies

2408052C	INSTRUCTION FOR ALL STUDENTS MODULE 1
2408056C	INSTRUCTION FOR ALL STUDENTS MOD 2
2408057C	INSTRUCTION FOR ALL STUDENTS MODULE 3
2408063C	C.A.R.E. STRATEGIES 3
2408064C	C.A.R.E. STRATEGIES 6

Fiscal Year : 2013

2408072C	FDLRS STAFF DEVELOPMENT 3
2408073C	FDLRS STAFF DEVELOPMENT 6
2408074C	FDLRS STAFF DEVELOPMENT 12
2408075C	FDLRS STAFF DEVELOPMENT 18
2408078C	PREADVPL/ADVPL EXTERNAL30
2408079C	PREADVPL/ADVPL EXTERANL 6
2408080C	PREADVPL/ADVPL EXTERNAL12
2408084C	SIM CONTENT ENHANCE 6
2408091C	LESSON STUDY
2408092C	Inquiry - Refining the Wondering
2408094C	CTE - IMPROVE PRACTICE BK STUDY
2408102C	Assisted Communication 3
2408107C	C.A.R.E. Strategies 12
2408108C	Adults Can Learn Too!
2408109C	Book Study- When Teaching Gets Tough
2408110C	The Connected Educator Online Book Study (20 hrs)
2408111C	Pilot Teacher Evaluation Domains 1-4
2480084C	SIM CONTENT ENHANCE 6
3408068C	TECH COORDINATOR 6
3408073C	DIGITAL STORY TELLING
3408081C	ELLUMINATE ONLINE
3408091C	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18
3408092C	Moodle Course Advanced-Online
3408093C	Moodle Course Basics- Online
3408094C	Moodle Course Intermediate- Online
3408096C	iPads in Curriculum
3408097C	Smart Technologies in the Classroom
3408098C	Facilitating A PCS Online Course
3408099C	Integrating 21st Century Tool & Teaching Strategies into the Classroom
3408100C	iCamp
7408010C	Curriculum Cadre Learning Specialists 40
7408011C	Action Plans and Strategies to Support Goals
8408012C	Differentiated Accountability Summer Academy

409 S/IS_Inst Sup Serv Unclass

1409010C	PCSB/SPC ARTICULATION TRG 3
1409012C	Elementary Counselor PLC



1409013CCounselor Coordinator Training 3	1409013C	Counselor	Coordinator	Training	3
--	----------	-----------	-------------	----------	---

- 1409015C SUS Admissions Workshop
- 1409018CCounselor Coordinator Training 6
- 1409019C ACT Fall Counselor Workshop 3
- 1409020C SAT Fall Counselor Workshop 3
- 1409021C New Counselor Mentor/Mentee 2
- 1409022C OSFA National Training for Counselors and Mentors
- 1409023C Elementary Counselor Training 3
- 1409024C Edgenuity
- 8409030C APC C&I LEADERSHIP

411 S/IS_Lrng Styles Stud Dif

1411002C USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute

412 S/IS_Multicultural Ed

- 1412005C HOLOCAUST SUMMER INSTITUT
- 1412006C INTEGRATING HOLOCAUST ED3
- 4412001C MULTICULTURAL LIAISON TRN
- 4412004C Multicultural Awareness Application Level 1
- 4412005C MULTICULTURAL AWARENESS APPLICATION TRAINING LEVEL 2
- 8412004C OUCH!THAT STEREOTYPE HURTS

414 S/IS_Phys & Ment Hlth Iss.

- 6414007C SUICIDE PREVENTION
- 6414008C SCHOOL HEALTH UPDATE 3
- 6414009C SCHOOL HEALTH UPDATE 6
- 8414002C ASPERGER SYNDROME 101
- 8414017C I'M NOT A NURSE HELP!"
- 8414020N Managing Workplace Stress
- 8414021N Wellness 101

415 S/IS_Prob. Solving Teams

7415014C	Collaborative Problem Solving for Professional Learning Communities
7415015C	Designing Interventions for Student Engagement
7415016C	School Based Leadership Team Booster
7415018C	Mtss/RtI Coach/Instructional Developer PD-3
7415019C	MS/HS Department Head Chair Training

Fiscal Year : 2013

7415020C Role of School Culture in Collaborative Inquiry (MTSS/Problem Solving)

417 S/IS_Prog Admin Eval Acct

- 1417001C Project Managers Forum
- 2417001C District Team Pilot Evaluation Training

419 S/IS_Sect. 504/ A w/DA

8419001C Section 504 Coordinators Training

422 S/IS_Students Records

3422018C	CTAE DMT UPDATE
3422019C	CTAE DMT Access Training

502 GS_District Level Mgmt.

7502001C	Grant Management Certification 2 day
7502002C	Grant Management Certification 3 day
7502003C	Grant Management Certification 1 day
7502004C	Grant Management Certification 4 day

503 GS_Diversity/Ethics

1503001C	Avoiding Workplace Mishaps
7503001C	ETHICS IN EDUCATION
8503006C	CULTURAL COMPETENCY II
8503011C	CCW CONFLICT INTERVENTION
8503012C	CCW MANAGING CHANGE
8503013C	CCW MANAGING DISAGREEMENT
8503014C	CCW MANAGING NEGATIVE PEOPLE
8503015C	CCW MOTIVATING EMPLOYEES
8503016C	CCW RECOGNIZING & MANAGING ANGER
8503017C	CCW APPRECIATING PERSONAL DIFFERENCES

505 GS_Food Services

1505009C	SERVSAFE
7505001N	FOOD SERVICE MINI CONF

506 GS_Gen. Sup. Serv. Unclass

7506001C TIME MANAGEMENT



Fiscal Year : 2013

	7506002C	APPRAISAL-INSTR 2011-2013	7507088C	LEADERSHIP U 15
	8506001C	P CARD TRAINING	7507089C	STUDENT LEGAL ISSUES 3
	8506002N	School Bookkeeper	7507094C	A-Prep
	8506003N	Elementary Secretary/Bookkeeper	7507097C	Rubric Expert Academy
	8506005N	TERMS Initial Purchase Req	7507098C	3 hour Common Core Training
	8506008N	Budgeting 101	7507099C	Employee Legal Issues
	8506009N	Increasing Bookkeeping Skills, Beginner	8507053C	PROFESSIONAL TEACHER MODULE 3
	8506010N	INCREASE BKKPG SKILL INTM	8507060C	NEW PROFESSIONAL TEACHER MODULE 1
	8506011N	Secretary III/IV	8507070C	CUSTOMER FOCUSED COMMUNICATION
	8506013C	FAMILY FRIENDLY SCHOOLS	8507071C	MENTOR TRAINING 101 & 102
	8506026N	RETIREMENT INFO	8507073C	MENTOR TRAINING 103
	8506028C	BANK RECONCILIATION	8507075C	PROFESSIONAL EDUCATOR MODULE 2
	8506035C	PAYROLL/AUDITING TRNG	8507078C	Administrator Appraisal Overview for APs
	8506040N	ANNUAL ALL DAY BOOKKEEPER WORKSHOP	8507079N	TARGETED SELECTION-OVERVIEW
	8506051N	CCW ONLINE SUPPORT STAFF TRAINING	8507080C	APPRAISAL-INTRODUCTION
	8506055N	ANNUAL BKKEEPER/ADM WKSP	8507082N	TARGETED SELECTION - WRITTEN
	8506057N	PCS GED	8507083C	APPRAISAL-INTRO TO LESSON OBSERVATION
	8506803N	CONSTRUCT TEACH MATERIALS	8507084N	TARGETED SELECTION - IN BASKET
507 GS_Ldrshp Skills/Comm/Crit		508 GS_M	Igmt. Info. Serv.	
	1507001C	Leading the Learning Cadre	8508013N	8ANNUAL BOOKKEEPER WKSP
	2507002C	NEW TO INQUIRY	8508018C	PCS PORTAL DMT INTRO ONLI
	2507003C	RETURNING TO INQUIRY	8508019C	PCS PORTAL DMT INTERMEDIATE
	2507004C	INQUIRY-THE WRITE UP	8508020C	PCS PORTAL DMT ADV ONLINE
	2507007C	INQUIRY - POLISHING WORK	8508024C	PCS PORTAL ADM ONLINE
	2507010C	Educator Research Learning Showcase	8508025C	PCS PORTAL DIST BROWSE O
	2507011C	Educator Research Learning Showcase GUEST	8508026C	PCS PORTAL SUPPORT STAFF
	7507002N	C OF D I ORIENTATION	8508027C	PCS PORTAL GUIDANCE ONLIN
	7507003N	DISTRICT STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS	8508032C	PCS PORTAL NEW IMPROVEMENTS (Ver. 4),
	7507009C	SEVEN HABITS HIGHLY EFFEC	8508035C	PCS Portal Teacher Part I (Version 5)

- 8508035C PCS Portal Teacher Part I (Version 5)
- PCS Portal Teacher Part II (Version 5) 8508036C
- 8508037C PCS Portal Teacher Part III (Version 5)
- 8508038C Focus/SIS Enhancements
- Focus/SIS for ALL Users -- An Overview 8508039C
- 8508040C Focus/SIS Teacher Part 1
- 8508041C Focus/SIS Teacher Part 2
- Focus/SIS Administrative Attendance 8508045C

7507048C 7507054N

7507055N

7507056C

7507059N 7507073C

7507078N

7507080C

REP LEADERSHIP ACADEMY 6

COFD II STRAND TRAINING

COFD II COMMUNICATION TRG

FDLRS TRAIN THE TRAINER 6

COFD II OVERVIEW

INTERVIEWEE SKILLS

QUALITY CONCEPTS 6

COD II TRIO



8508046C Focus/SIS Viewing Teacher Reports & Gradebooks

509 GS_Office/Clerical Serv.

3509001C	ONLINE ASSET TRANSFER TRNG
8509001C	STUDENT RECORDS WORKSHOP
8509002C	MANATEE FOR ADMINISTRATOR
8509004C	INTERVIEWING & RESUME TIP

510 GS_Plant Op. & Maint.

8510004C	PEOPLE AND THE JOB
8510005C	SAFETY IN SCHOOL OPERATIONS
8510006N	SANITATION & SCHOOL HOUSEKEEPING
8510007N	FLOOR & CARPET CARE
8510008N	CERTIFIED CUSTODIAL CERTIFICATION
8510009N	GROUNDS CARE FOR SCHOOLS
8510010C	MINOR MAINTENANCE & CLIMATE CONTROL SUPPORT
8510011C	MASTER CUSTODIAL CERTIFICATION
8510013C	BASIC RESTROOM AND CLASSROOM CLEANING
8510014N	LEADERSHIP SKILLS:TRANSITION TO SUPERVISOR
8510016N	IEQ and Chemical Disposal

511 GS_Safety/Security

8511003C	FIRST AID 4
8511008C	HEARTSAVER AED CPR/FIRST
8511012C	AHA HEART SAVER AED/CPR
8511013N	Responding to a Crisis/Emergency

512 GS_School Improvement

4512014C	Learning Systems	& Data Analysis
101B0110	244111B 0 J 014110	

- 4512015C School wide Assessment
- 4512016C Goal Setting,
- 6403035C 12 hour Common Core Training
- 7512007C Collaborative Planning 6 2013-2014
- 7512031C MASTER SCHOOL BOARD WKSHP
- 7512036C Collaborative Planning 2012-2013
- 7512037C Collaborative Planning 2012-2013

Fiscal Year : 2013

7512058C	12 hour Common Core Training
7512060C	6 hour-Common Core Training
7512061C	Florida Turnaround Leaders Program Seminars
7512062C	Florida Turnaround Leaders Program Seminars 48
7512063C	Vision, Mission, Values
7512064C	Managing the School Improvement Plan
7512065C	CCSS Summer Institute
8512045C	IB SECONDARY EXTERNAL 6
8512046C	IB SECONDARY EXTERNAL CONF 12
8512047C	IB SECONDARY EXTERNAL 18
8512048C	IB ELEMENTARY EXTERNAL 3
8512049C	IB ELEMENTARY EXTERNAL 6
8512050C	IB ELEMENTARY EXTERNAL 12
8512053C	IB ELEM INTERNAL 12
8512054C	IB SEC INTERNAL 18
	7512060C 7512061C 7512062C 7512063C 7512064C 7512064C 7512065C 8512045C 8512045C 8512046C 8512047C 8512047C 8512049C 8512050C 8512050C

513 GS_School-level Mgmt.

7513007C	LEVEL Q CERTIFICATION
7513020C	OPS TRAINING FOR NEW ADMINISTRATORS
7513022C	Appraisal-Certification Training
7513023C	TIF Administrative Leadership Academy
7513024C	Haberman Teacher Interview
7513025C	Haberman Principal Interview

514 GS_Serv Adv. or Inst. Mat.

8514010C TEXT MATERIALS REVIEW 30

515 GS_Transportation Serv.

6515001N	ESE SUPPORT ON BUSES

- 6515004C ARC ANNUAL TRAINING
- 6515006N Curbing School Bus Behavior and Proper Usage of Equipment
- 8515001N SCHOOL BUS DRIVER COURSE
- 8515002C TRANS ANNUAL INSERVICE 8
- 8515013C Transportation Procedures

600 CS_Community Services

8600002C Family & Community Liaison Basic Training I



8600003C Family & Community Liaison Basic Training II8600004C MENTOR STRATEGIES WKSP

700 ESOL_Methods of Teaching ESOL

1700005C	ESOL METHODS ONLINE
1700008C	ESOL STRATEGIES 6
1700010C	ESOL STRATEGIES Online 18
1700011C	ESOL ERT PRACTICUM ONLINE
1700012C	ESOL Essentials ONLINE
1700013C	ESOL Essentials
1700027C	ESOL Strategies 3

701 ESOL_Testing and Evaluation of ESOL

1701001C	ESOL TESTING 60
1701002C	ESOL TESTING ONLINE

702 ESOL_Applied Linguistics

1702001C	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS ONLINE 60
1702002C	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS

703 ESOL_ESOL Curriculum & Materials

1703003C ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE

704 ESOL_Content area ELL training (category II_III_IV)

1704003C ESOL FOR COUNSELORS ONLINE 60

705 ESOL_Cross-cultural Communication & Understanding ERT

1705001C	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION
1705002C	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION ONLINE
1705005C	ESOL FOR ADMINISTRATORS ONLINE 60

Miscellaneous

3

1007060C	Spring - pTEC SP & CLW Instructors
1108038C	ESE Content ESE IVE Science-Secondary

Fiscal Year : 2013

7512059C	Making a Difference: Fundamentals of Getting Started for the 2012-13 School Year
9101073C	trans into Pinellas 9
9901059C	Convert College to Inservice
9901066C	Trans ClinEd into Pinellas
9901068C	Trans into Pinellas
9901069C	Trans into Pinellas
9901070C	Trans into Pinellas
9901071C	Trans into Pinellas
9901072C	Trans into Pinellas
9901073C	trans into Pinellas
9901076C	Transfer into Pinellas
9901077C	Transfer into Pinellas*
9901078C	Transfer into Pinellas*
9901079C	Transfer into Pinellas
9901080C	Transfer into Pinellas*
9901081C	Transfer into Pinellas*
9901082C	Transfer into Pinellas*
9901084C	Tians into Pinellas
9901085C	Transfer into Pinellas
9901086C	Transfer into Pinellas
9901087C	Transfer into Pinellas
9901088C	Transfei into Pinellas**
9901089C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901090C	Trans into Pinellas
9901091C	Trans into Pinellas
9901092C	Convert College to Inservice
9901093C	Convert College to Inservice
9901094C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901095C	TRANS in CLIN ED
9901096C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901097C	Convert College to Inservice
9901098C	Convert College to Inservice
9901100C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901101C	Transfei into Pinellas
9901102C	Convert College to Inservice
9901103C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901104C	Transfer into Pinellas**



FINCLES COUNT	1 2 5 8 6 6 1 2
9901105C	Education Practices Commission
9901106C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901107C	Transfei into Pinellas**
9901108C	Convert College to Inservice
9901109C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901110C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901111C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901112C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901113C	Convert College to Inservice
9901114C	Convert College to Inservice
9901116C	Transfei into Pinellas**
9901117C	Convert College to Inservice
9901118C	Convert College to Inservice
9901119C	Convert College to Inservice
9901120C	Convert College to Inservice
9901121C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901122C	Convert College to Inservice
9901123C	Convert College to Inservice
9901124C	Transfei into Pinellas**
9901125C	TRANS in CLIN ED
9901126C	Transfer in Gifted
9901127C	Transfer in Gifted Curr Dev
9901128C	Transfer Gifted Theory & Creativity
9901129C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901130C	Convert College to Inservice
9901131C	Convert College to Inservice
9901134C	Convert College to Inservice
9901135C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901136C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901137C	Transfer in Gifted Guidance/Counseling
9901138C	Convert College to Inservice
9901140C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901141C	Transfer into Pinellas**
9901143C	Transfer in Clinical Educator
9901144C	Transfer in Clinical Educator
9901148C	Transfei into Pinellas**
9901150C	Transfer into Pinellas**

Fiscal Year : 2013

9910072C Trans into Pinellas



* <²

The School Board of Pinellas County, Florida

Carol J. Cook, Chairperson

Peggy L. O'Shea, Vice Chairperson

Janet R. Clark

Rene Flowers

Terry Krassner

Linda S. Lerner

Robin L. Wikle

Michael Grego, Ed.D. Superintendent of Schools

Lisa Grant, Ed.D., Director Professional Development

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes					
Count of Student Graded										
	Graded/Not	Focus								
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
BEAR CREEK ELEMENTAR	Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	3003111C - 14/001	Excel 2010, Intermediate 6	SEALS , TAMMY				
		003 Tot	al							
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	MONTGOMERY, STACY				
				1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	D'ANGELO, JESSICA				
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	BRAY, LISSA				
						GINTERE RUTKIS , KRISTA				
						GONZALEZ-ROBERTS, KERRI				
						MCMAHON, PATRICK				
						PARKER, DEBORAH				
						PILZ, THERESA				
				1008035C - 14/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	ATWATER , LORA				
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	MUEHLECK , AMY				
				1008035C - 14/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	KWIATKOWSKI , CLAUDINE				
						SEALS , TAMMY				
				1008035C - 14/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	SHIBLE, MELISSA				
				1008035C - 14/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	SEALS , TAMMY				
		008 Total								
		008 100	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ATWATER , LORA				
		005	Wathematics	1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BRAY, LISSA				
				10000700 10,001		GINTERE RUTKIS , KRISTA				
						GONZALEZ-ROBERTS , KERRI				
						MCMAHON , PATRICK				
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	HERES, DONNA				
						PILZ, THERESA				
			-	I	-					
		009 Tot		11000466 14/001						
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , DEBORAH				
				1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , DEBORAH				
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , DEBORAH				
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , DEBORAH				
		100 Tot	al							
		400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	DOUGLAS , WILLETTE				
		400 T ·			•					
		400 Tot	a				-+			
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	D'ANGELO , JESSICA				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes				
Count of Student Graded									
	Graded/Not	Focus							
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total		
	Cradad	411 Tate					1		
BEAR CREEK ELEMENTAR	Graded	411 Tota 700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/002	ESOL Essentials	ATWATER , LORA	1		
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/002	ESOL Essentiais	ATWATER, LORA	1		
		700 Tota	al				1		
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/002	ESOL CURRICULUM	ATWATER , LORA	1		
Facility Name Graded BEAR CREEK ELEMENTAR Graded Graded Graded Tota				17000010 10,001					
		703 Tota	al				1		
	Graded Total						30		
	Not Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	GRIFFIN , ANN	1		
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	GRIFFIN, ANN	1		
						HINTON, NICOLE	1		
			1008035C - 14/022	Core Connections Literacy Training	HOLLEN , MELISSA	1			
		008 Tota					4		
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	MONTGOMERY, STACY	1		
		009 Total							
		016	Social Studies	1016037C - 14/003	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	HINTON , NICOLE	1		
				1016037C - 14/004	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	HINTON , NICOLE	1		
		016 Tota			1		2		
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100014C - 14/001		SHIBLE , MELISSA	1		
				1100014C - 14/002		SHIBLE , MELISSA	1		
				1100042C - 14/001	Frameworks I	PARKER, DEBORAH	1		
				1100043C - 14/001	Frameworks for Gifted II	PARKER, DEBORAH	1		
				1100046C - 14/005	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER, DEBORAH	1		
				1100046C - 14/006	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER, DEBORAH	1		
		100 Tota	al				6		
		100 1000	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103010C - 14/001	Gifted Education Plan	PARKER, DEBORAH	1		
		105		7103010C - 14/002	Gifted Education Plan	PARKER , DEBORAH	1		
				8103035C - 14/001	Gifted Program Rules	PARKER , DEBORAH	1		
				8103035C - 14/002	Gifted Program Rules	PARKER, DEBORAH	1		
				,					
		103 Tota	al				4		
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	8406027C - 13/004	Clinical Educator 18	JOHNSON-LEVY, SHARON	1		
		406 Tota	al				1		

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded						-	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
BEAR CREEK ELEMENTAR	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	7408011C - 14/001	Goals	BRAY, LISSA	1
						D'ANGELO , JESSICA	1
						DOUGLAS, WILLETTE	1
						JOHNSON-LEVY, SHARON	1
						KWIATKOWSKI , CLAUDINE	1
						WAHL, MARTIN	1
		408 Tot	al				6
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	KWIATKOWSKI, CLAUDINE	1
		415 Tot	al				1
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	DOUGLAS, WILLETTE	1
						WESLEY, DELORES	1
		417 Tot	tal				2
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &				
		705	Understanding ERT	1705005C - 14/001	ESOL FOR ADMINISTRATORS ONLINE 60	DOUGLAS, WILLETTE	1
		705 Tot	al				1
	Not Graded						
	Total						28
•	I						58
1							

			S	ummer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded		1					
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Graded	000	Art	1000051C - 14/001	Visual Arts Assement of Student Work	SAVRAN , MICHELLE	
		000 - 1					
	000 Tot						
		007	Integrated Curriculum	2007053C - 13/043	PLC Informed Instruction 20 2012-2013		
		007	Integrated Curriculum	20070550 - 15/045	PLC Informed instruction 20 2012-2013	ADAMS , LYNN FRANCONA , LAUREN	
						HARTNETT , SANDRA	
						HEARN , YOLANDA	
						JOHNSON , LAURA LUCCI , LESLI	
						MAGUIRE , CHARLOTTE MCCLUGHEN , MEREDITH	
						MORAVICK , MARGO	
						MOSES, JAMI	
						NELSON , COLLEEN PAPPAS , KELLY	
						PARSONS, DAVIN	
						PELLERIN-VANDERLO , STACEY	
						PHILLIPS , OURANIA	
						SANTANA, CHRISTINE	
						SUTTER, STARR	
						THERIAULT, HEATHER	
						VASALLO , VERONICA	
						WELLER, MEGAN	
						WILTSHIRE , MELISSA	
		007 Tot		10000050 40/000			2
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	LUKAVEC, HELEN	
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	LUKAVEC, HELEN	
				1008035C - 13/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	MACKAY, DIANIA	
				10000070 10/010		PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	CAFFREY, MILDRED	
						SOYRING , SANDRA	
				1008035C - 14/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	CINELLI, GABRIELLE	
						HARTNETT, SANDRA	
		008 Tot	al				
		000100					
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ANDERSON RAWSTERN , MARGARE	т

			Su	mmer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded	1	1				I	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Graded	009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	COLLINS, CAMILLA	
						CRUM, SONDRA	
						NEWBY, KATARZYNA	
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ALBRITTON, TRACY	
						CENTER , LAURA	
						PATTERSON, D'WANNA	
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ADAMS, LYNN	
						CAFFREY , MILDRED	
						HEARN , YOLANDA	
						LOVE , BRITTANY	
						LUCCI , LESLI	
						SUTTER, STARR	
						WILTSHIRE , MELISSA	
				·	·		
		009 Tot 013	Reading	1013043C - 13/002	LM-B: Seeing Stars	ESPOSITO, VIRGINIA	
		015	hedding	1013044C - 13/002	LM-B: Visualizing and Verbalizing	ESPOSITO , VIRGINIA	
				1013047C - 13/001	LM-B:IIntroduction to School Services	ESPOSITO , VIRGINIA	
			013 Total 016 Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	CHRISSIDES , CHRISTINE	
						HARTNETT , SANDRA	
						MACKAY, DIANIA	
						MANCHE, SUSAN	
						MOREHOUSE , MICHELE	
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	HARTNETT, SANDRA	
						MOREHOUSE, MICHELE	
		016 Tot	al				
		010.00			Classroom Management for High Structure		
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 13/001	Elementary Classrooms	LUKAVEC, HELEN	
		403 Tot	al	· · · ·			
		403 100	Instructional Media Services	3407066C - 14/001	Engaging Students with Technology	TRIHAS, EFFIE	
				3407068C - 14/001	Cataloging Library Materials	TRIHAS, EFFIE	
				3407069C - 14/001	Destiny	TRIHAS, EFFIE	
		407 Tot	al				
		407 100	Instructional Strategies	2408108C - 13/005	Adults Can Learn Too!	CAFFREY , MILDRED	
			Č	,		MACKAY, DIANIA	

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	I									
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	2408108C - 13/005	Adults Can Learn Too!	PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	1			
				3408099C - 13/002	Integrating 21st Century Tool & Teaching Strategies into the Classroom	PELLERIN-VANDERLO , STACEY TICE , JENNIFER	1			
		408 Tota	408 Total							
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				5			
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/003	Data Warehouse General Access Training	WINTERS , VALENE	1			
		417 Tota				·	1			
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	HEARN , YOLANDA	1			
		500	Wanagement mormation services	05000500 14/001		ILLANN, TOLANDA				
		508 Tota	al				1			
		512 School Improvement		7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	BOLLENBACK, TERESA	1			
						PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	1			
					Differentiated Accountability Regional					
				7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	COLLINS , CAMILLA	1			
						MANCHE , SUSAN	1			
						PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	1			
		512 Total								
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700012C - 14/001	ESOL Essentials ONLINE	THOMSSEN , DIANE	1			
				1700013C - 13/003	ESOL Essentials	PRATER, RAMONA	1			
		700 Tota	al				2			
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/002	ESOL CURRICULUM	PRATER , RAMONA	1			
			·	•						
		703 Tota					1			
		705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication & Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/002	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION		1			
		705	Onderstanding EKT	17030010 - 13/002	ESOL CROSS COLLORAL COMMONICATION	PRATER, RAMONA	1			
		705 Tota	al				1			
	Graded Total	1	1	T			74			
	Not Graded	000	Art	1000049C - 14/001	Digital Arts Lab 24	SAVRAN, MICHELLE	1			
				1000050C - 14/001	Visual Arts Common Core Literacy 12	SAVRAN , MICHELLE	1			
		000 Tota	al				2			

			Su	ımmer 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	1	1					
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School		
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	CENTER, LAURA	
						PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	
						TRIHAS, EFFIE	
		003 Tota			1	F	
I		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	CHRISSIDES, CHRISTINE	
				1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	CAFFREY, MILDRED	
				1008035C - 14/018	Core Connections Literacy Training	LUCCI , LESLI	
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	ADAMS , LYNN	
						LOVE , BRITTANY	
				1008035C - 14/020	Core Connections Literacy Training	ALBRITTON , TRACY	
						ANDERSON RAWSTERN , MARGARET	
						BENGSTON, TERESA	
						CENTER , LAURA	
						CHRISSIDES , CHRISTINE	
						CRUM, SONDRA	
						FRANCONA, LAUREN	
						MACKAY, DIANIA	
						MAGUIRE , CHARLOTTE	
						MORAVICK , MARGO	
						NEWBY, KATARZYNA	
						PAPPAS, KELLY	
						PRATER, RAMONA	
						THERIAULT , HEATHER	
			-		+		
		008 Tota	al				1
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	MAGUIRE , CHARLOTTE	
						SANTANA, CHRISTINE	
						THERIAULT , HEATHER	
						VASALLO , VERONICA	
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	PAPPAS, KELLY	
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	PHILLIPS , OURANIA	
		1		1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ESPOSITO, VIRGINIA	
		1		1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	MOSES , JAMI	
		1				PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	
l		000 Tot					
		009 Tota 015	Science	1015050C - 13/030	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	-

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	•										
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	015	Science	1015050C - 13/030	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	WILTSHIRE , MELISSA	1				
				1015050C - 14/000	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	ADAMS , LYNN	1				
				1015056C - 13/006	INQUIRY IN SCIENCE	WILTSHIRE , MELISSA	1				
		015 Tota	I				4				
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	COLLINS , CAMILLA	1				
						GRIFFIN , TABITHA	1				
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	MANCHE, SUSAN	1				
		016 Tota	I				3				
		302	Adult English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/002	Use of iPad with ELLs	DE LONG , ERIN	1				
	-			,		HARTNETT, SANDRA	1				
						WELLER , MEGAN	1				
		302 Tota	I			· · · · ·	3				
					Classroom Management for High Structure						
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 14/001	Elementary Classrooms	PELLERIN-VANDERLO , STACEY	1				
		403 Tota	403 Total								
			Classroom Management &								
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	CINELLI , GABRIELLE	1				
						HAYNOR, SARA	1				
						LENHART, SARAH	1				
				<u></u>		SAAD, MERIT	1				
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	CINELLI, GABRIELLE	1				
						HAYNOR, SARA	1				
						PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	1				
						SAAD , MERIT	1				
		404 Tota	1	1	1		8				
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	2406002C - 14/001	PEER MEDIATION	MOREHOUSE , MICHELE	1				
		406 Tota		1			1				
		407	Instructional Media Services	2407010C - 14/001	Driving Students Deep into Text	TRIHAS, EFFIE	1				
		407 Tota					1				
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408107C - 13/001	C.A.R.E. Strategies 12	RUPPEL, JENNIFER	1				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	I										
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	CINELLI, GABRIELLE					
						HAYNOR, SARA					
						LENHART, SARAH					
						SAAD , MERIT					
				2408113C - 14/002	New Teacher Institute Week 2	TRIHAS, EFFIE					
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	BOLLENBACK , TERESA					
						COLLINS , CAMILLA					
						GRIFFIN , TABITHA					
						LOVE , BRITTANY					
						MANCHE, SUSAN					
						MOREHOUSE , MICHELE					
						MOSES , JAMI					
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
				7408011C - 14/002	Goals	MOSES , JAMI					
						PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY					
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	BOLLENBACK , TERESA					
						GRIFFIN, TABITHA					
						HEARN, YOLANDA					
						WINTERS , VALENE					
		415 Tota	415 Total								
			Program Administration, Evaluation,								
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	GRIFFIN , TABITHA					
						MANCHE , SUSAN					
			1	*	+	-					
		417 Tota	al	1	I	1					
			Leadership Skills/Communication/Critica	1							
		507	Thinking	7507073C - 14/001	QUALITY CONCEPTS 6	MARTIN , SUMMER					
		507 Tota		•		•					
		507 101	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	JORDAN , CHERYL					
		-									
				8508042C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 1	JORDAN , CHERYL					
				8508043C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 2	JORDAN , CHERYL					

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded		1					
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	508 Tota	al				3
		512	2 School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BOLLENBACK , TERESA	1
						COLLINS , CAMILLA	1
						GRIFFIN , TABITHA	1
						LOVE , BRITTANY	1
						MANCHE, SUSAN	1
						MOREHOUSE, MICHELE	1
						MOSES , JAMI	1
				4512016C - 14/002	Goal Setting,	PELLERIN-VANDERLO, STACEY	1
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	BOLLENBACK, TERESA	1
						COLLINS , CAMILLA	1
						GRIFFIN , TABITHA	1
						LOVE , BRITTANY	1
						MANCHE, SUSAN	1
						MOREHOUSE, MICHELE	1
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	BOLLENBACK, TERESA	1
						COLLINS , CAMILLA	1
						GRIFFIN , TABITHA	1
						LOVE , BRITTANY	1
						MANCHE, SUSAN	1
						MOREHOUSE, MICHELE	1
					Differentiated Accountability Regional	,	
				7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	GRIFFIN, TABITHA	1
				,		,	
		512 Tota	al				21
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700012C - 14/001	ESOL Essentials ONLINE	FOX , CHRISTY	1
				, ,			
		700 Tota	al				1
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701002C - 14/001	ESOL TESTING ONLINE	THOMSSEN, DIANE	1
		_		,,			
		701 Tota	al				1
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	DARNOLD, BECCAAGEL	1
				,		,	
		703 Tota	al				1
1		705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &	47050000 44/000	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION		
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	THOMSSEN , DIANE	1

				Summer 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I					I	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	705 Tota					1
	Not Graded						104
	Total						104
BELLEAIR ELEMENTARY Total							178
CAMPBELL PARK ELEMEN	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	HALLE , CAROLYN	1
	Graded	000		10080550 - 15/002	core connections Energy framing	MACKAY , CHRISTINE	1
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	PANAPOLIS , MEEGAN	1
						SULLIVAN , REBECCA	1
				1008035C - 13/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	HALLE , CAROLYN	1
					, .	MACKAY, CHRISTINE	1
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	PANAPOLIS , MEEGAN	1
				1008035C - 14/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	HOUSE, DEANNA	1
						WALSH , LINDA	1
				1008035C - 14/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	JOHNSON, ELIZABETH	1
						MAXFIELD , ANN	1
						PERRY, ANNE	1
						RISTOFF , LAURA	1
						STACH , CARLIE	1
		000 Tata	i				
		008 Tota 009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	WALSH , LINDA	14
		009	Wathematics	1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ARANA , MEAGAN	1
				10050700 157001	s s summer wathematics institute	MCCOY-WESTBROOK, TRACY	1
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	SULLIVAN , REBECCA	1
						WALSH , LINDA	1
			-				
		009 Tota	1				5
		013	Reading	2013033C - 13/007	BOOK STUDY ELEM READ	BROWN, JERILYN	1
		040 T I					
		013 Tota 016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	STANFORD , ALLISON	1
		010		10100/10 15/001	Diversity and endlacter institute		
		016 Tota					1
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	FARLEY, BRIAN	1
				1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	FARLEY, BRIAN	1
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	FARLEY, BRIAN	1
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	FARLEY, BRIAN	1

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded							
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
CAMPBELL PARK ELEMEN	Graded	100 Tota	1				4
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403001C - 14/001	BULLYING PREVENTION 12	REISS, CORY	1
		403 Tota	I				1
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	SULLIVAN, REBECCA	1
		411 Tota	Ι				1
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	STANFORD , ALLISON	1
				8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	STANFORD , ALLISON	1
		508 Tota	Ι				2
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	HUTTON , CARROL	1
				7512065C - 13/002	CCSS Summer Institute	HALLE , CAROLYN	1
						HOFFMAN, CHRISTINE	1
						HUTTON , CARROL	1
						KERR, JANETTE	1
					Differentiated Accountability Regional		
				7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	KERR, JANETTE	1
		512 Tota	Ι				6
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/002	ESOL CURRICULUM	HOUSE , DEANNA	1
		703 Tota	1				1
	Graded Total						36
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School		
	Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	SULLIVAN, REBECCA	1
		003 Tota	I				1
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	LITTLE , KATHERINE	1
				1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training	COHENOUR, HEATHER	1
						MILLER , TIFFANI	1
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	CONOVER , JESSICA	1
		008 Tota	Ι				4
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	PERRY, ANNE	1
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	COHENOUR , HEATHER	1
						HOUSE , DEANNA	1

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I						
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota
CAMPBELL PARK ELEMEN	Not Graded	009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	JOHNSON, ELIZABETH	
						MILLER , TIFFANI	
						RISTOFF , LAURA	
						STACH , CARLIE	
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	HUTTON, CARROL	
						LITTLE , KATHERINE	
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	MACKAY, CHRISTINE	
				1009079C - 14/002	6-8 Summer Mathematics Institute	LITTLE, KATHERINE	
	009 Tota	al					
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103014C - 14/002	Developing Quality Measurable IEPs	STEWART, SHARON	
	103 Tota	51					
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	OLIPHANT, KALLIYA	
						[,	
	403 Tota						
		Classroom Management &					
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	BOHR , DEBRA	
						HUYNH, THUYDUONG	
						KOVANIS , CHRISTA	
						MCGINNIS, ALEXANDRIA	
						RISTOFF , LAURA	
						STANFORD, ALLISON	
						WASTIN, ELIANE	
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	BOHR, DEBRA	
						COLLENS, ABIGAIL	
						HUYNH, THUYDUONG	
						MCGINNIS , ALEXANDRIA	
						RISTOFF , LAURA	
						STANFORD , ALLISON	
		404 Tota	al				
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	BOHR , DEBRA	
			-0	- ,		HUYNH, THUYDUONG	
						KOVANIS , CHRISTA	
						MCGINNIS , ALEXANDRIA	
						RISTOFF, LAURA	
						STANFORD , ALLISON	
						WASTIN , ELIANE	

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded											
	Graded/Not	Focus									
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota				
AMPBELL PARK ELEMEN	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/002	New Teacher Institute Week 2	COLLENS, ABIGAIL					
				3408091C - 14/001	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	RISTOFF , LAURA					
						STANFORD , ALLISON					
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	HOFFMAN, CHRISTINE					
		OVALLE , ROBERT									
		408 Tot	al								
		414	Physical and Mental Health Issues	6414007C - 14/001	SUICIDE PREVENTION	OLIPHANT, KALLIYA					
		414 Tot	al								
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	HOFFMAN, CHRISTINE					
					PANAPOLIS , MEEGAN						
						REISS , CORY					
					Data Literacy for Improving Learning for All						
				7415023C - 14/001	Students	REISS , CORY					
		415 Tot	al								
			Program Administration, Evaluation,								
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	HOFFMAN , CHRISTINE					
						OVALLE, ROBERT					
		417 Total									
		508	Management Information Services	8508040C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Teacher Part 1	STANFORD , ALLISON					
			-	8508041C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Teacher Part 2	STANFORD, ALLISON					
		508 Tot	al								
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	HOFFMAN , CHRISTINE					
						OVALLE , ROBERT					
				7512058C - 13/003	12 hour Common Core Training	MCCOY-WESTBROOK, TRACY					
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	HOFFMAN, CHRISTINE					
						OVALLE , ROBERT					
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan						
				7512004C - 15/005	Managing the school improvement Plan	HOFFMAN, CHRISTINE					
					1	OVALLE, ROBERT					
			512 Total								
		512 Tot 700	al ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700005C - 14/001	ESOL METHODS ONLINE	STEWART, SHARON					

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes				
Count of Student Graded	·								
	Graded/Not	Focus							
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total		
CAMPBELL PARK ELEMEN	Not Graded	700 Tota	al				2		
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701002C - 14/001	ESOL TESTING ONLINE	MCPHERSON, KARA	1		
		701 Tota	al				1		
					ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS ONLINE				
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702001C - 14/001	60	STEWART, SHARON	1		
		702 Tota							
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	MAXFIELD , ANN	1		
						MCPHERSON, KARA	1		
		703 Tota	al				2		
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION				
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	HATCHER, JANICE	1		
		705 Tota	al				1		
	Not Graded Total						66		
CAMPBELL PARK ELEMEN Tota							102		

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	I	1				1					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
DUNEDIN ELEMENTARY	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	BACON, JANESSA					
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	BOGACKI, SUZANNE	:				
				1008035C - 14/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	SHERMAN , TIA					
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	LIZARDO, CLAUDIA	:				
				1008035C - 14/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	ALVAREZ, IVONNE					
						BARNES, KERRI					
						BORLAND, CYNTHIA					
						BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN					
						VORBECK, JACQUELINE	:				
				1008035C - 14/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	KUCKKAHN , ALLISON	:				
						SCHWAB, KAREN					
	008 Tot	al				1:					
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	LIZARDO , CLAUDIA					
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ALLONE , JOIE	:				
						BARNES, KERRI	:				
			1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	HARPER , DIANA	:					
					ROUSH , CHRISTINA	:					
		009 Tot	al		1						
			Classroom Management &		11 Principles of Character Education						
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	6404041C - 13/003	strategies	HINGLE, SUSAN	:				
		404 Total									
		409	Instructional Startonics	3408099C - 13/002	Integrating 21st Century Tool & Teaching	DUVALL , JOELLEN					
		408	Instructional Strategies	5408099C - 13/002	Strategies into the Classroom	DOVALL, JOELLEN					
		408 Tot	al	_	1						
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	ROCHE , CELESTE	:				
		411 Tot	al								
		417	Program Administration, Evaluation, Accountability	4417001C - 14/008	Data Warehouse General Access Training	BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN					
		417 Tot	· · ·	,							
		506	General Support Services, Unclassified	8506003N - 13/002	Elementary Secretary/Bookkeeper	BARNETT, KAREN					

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I	1				1	
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
DUNEDIN ELEMENTARY	Graded	506 Tota	al				1
	Graded	512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	AUSDEMORE , DEBRA	1
		512		/5120050 15/001		BORLAND , CYNTHIA	1
						BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN	1
						HARPER , DIANA	1
						KATSIYIANNIS , DONNA	1
						MORRISON , JEANETTE	1
						ROUSH , CHRISTINA	1
						VORBECK , JACQUELINE	1
					Differentiated Accountability Regional		_
				7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	BARNES , KERRI	1
						BORLAND , CYNTHIA	1
						BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN	1
						HARPER , DIANA	1
						KATSIYIANNIS , DONNA	1
							_
		512 Tota	al				13
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/002	ESOL Essentials	MITA , RACHEL	1
				1700013C - 13/003	ESOL Essentials	NIEMEYER , MARY	1
		700 Tota		-			2
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/003	ESOL TESTING 60	MITA , RACHEL	1
				1701001C - 13/005	ESOL TESTING 60	ROGERS , KATIE	1
		701 Tota		1		1	2
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/002	ESOL CURRICULUM	REDMAN , LISA	1
		703 Tota	al				1
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &				
		705	Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/002	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	CARLETON , MEGHAN	1
			+	+ · · ·	•		
		705 Tota	al				1
	Graded Total						40
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School		
	Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	DUVALL, JOELLEN	1
			· ·	·	•	·	
		003 Tota	al				1
		800	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	ALLONE , JOIE	1

			Sumi	mer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes					
Count of Student Graded	I									
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
DUNEDIN ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	800	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/015	5 Core Connections Literacy Training	HARPER, DIANA				
						KATSIYIANNIS , DONNA				
			1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training	AUSDEMORE, DEBRA					
						ELERICK , JUDITH				
						KRAVITZ , DEBORAH				
						MITA , RACHEL				
						MORRISON , JEANETTE				
						OELSCHLAEGER , AMY				
				1008035C - 14/022	Core Connections Literacy Training	ROCHE, CELESTE				
						TSAGARIS, JULIANNE				
		008 Tot	al				1			
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BOGACKI, SUZANNE				
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ROUSH , CHRISTINA				
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	SHERMAN , TIA				
			1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ALVAREZ , IVONNE					
		009 Total								
		010	Music	1010037C - 14/001	PERFORMING ARTS WKSHP 6	FOLSTAD , TAMMY				
		010 Tot 015	Science	1015050C - 13/030	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	BARNES, KERRI				
				1015056C - 13/006	INQUIRY IN SCIENCE	BARNES, KERRI				
		015 Tot	al							
		016	Social Studies	1016037C - 14/004	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	KOONCE , CYNTHIA				
				1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	ZEALY , HALEIGH				
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	ZEALY, HALEIGH				
		016 Tot	al							
			Adult English for Speakers of Other							
		302	Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/001	Use of iPad with ELLs	ELERICK , JUDITH				
		1		3302002C - 14/002	Use of iPad with ELLs	ROCHE , CELESTE				
						VORBECK , JACQUELINE				
		202 T ·	-1							
		302 Tot 400	al Academic Interventions	2400011C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES INTERNAL 12	KOONCE , CYNTHIA				
		400 -		· · ·		•				
		400 Tot 403	al Bahavioral Interventions	6403125C - 14/003	CPI Level 1 2013-2014	BARNES , KERRI				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded						I					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota				
DUNEDIN ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403125C - 14/003	CPI Level 1 2013-2014	HARRIS, CHER					
						KEARNS , MARCY					
						LIZARDO , CLAUDIA					
						MCDOWELL, RACHELLE					
						PEREZ , AMADA					
						ROCHE , CELESTE					
						SILVERMAN , ALEXANDRA					
						TSAGARIS , JULIANNE					
						ZARB, ANNA					
		403 Tota					1				
	405 100										
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	8406027C - 13/003	Clinical Educator 18	FOLSTAD , TAMMY					
				8406028C - 13/011	Clinical Educator 6 - Refresher	GARNER, JARED					
		406 Total									
		400 1000			Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
		408	Instructional Strategies	7408011C - 13/001	Goals	BORLAND, CYNTHIA					
						BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN					
						DUVALL, JOELLEN					
						HARPER , DIANA					
						ROBINSON , DANA					
		408 Total									
		408 1018									
					Role of School Culture in Collaborative						
		415	Droblem Solving Teams	74150200 14/001							
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415020C - 14/001	Inquiry (MTSS/Problem Solving)	ZEALY, HALEIGH					
				74450240 44/002	Classroom Management for High-Structure						
				7415021C - 14/002	Secondary Classrooms	HINGLE, SUSAN					
				7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/Rtl Data Camp	BORLAND , CYNTHIA					
					Data Literacy for Improving Learning for All						
				7415023C - 14/001	Students	HINGLE, SUSAN					
		415 Tota		F							
		417	Program Administration, Evaluation, Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN					
			,	,		KATSIYIANNIS , DONNA					
		417 Toto				· ·					
		417 Tota 512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BORLAND , CYNTHIA					

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I	!					
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
DUNEDIN ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN	1
						DUVALL, JOELLEN	1
						HARPER, DIANA	1
						ROBINSON, DANA	1
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	BORLAND, CYNTHIA	1
						BRICKLEY, KATHLEEN	1
						DUVALL, JOELLEN	1
						HARPER, DIANA	1
						ROBINSON , DANA	1
				75120646 12/002	Monoping the Cohool Improvement Disp		1
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	BORLAND , CYNTHIA BRICKLEY , KATHLEEN	1
						DUVALL, JOELLEN	1
						HARPER , DIANA	1
						ROBINSON , DANA	1
					Differentiated Accountability Regional	ROBINSON, DANA	1
				7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	ROUSH , CHRISTINA	1
				/512008C - 14/001	Summer Academies	ROOSH, CHRISTINA	
		512 Tota	al				16
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700010C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES Online 18	HINGLE , SUSAN	1
				1700012C - 14/001	ESOL Essentials ONLINE	BECK, BEVERLEE	1
							_
		700 Tota	al	T			2
					ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS ONLINE		
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702001C - 14/001	60	CROSS, CATHERINE	1
		702 Tota	al				1
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	BECK, BEVERLEE	1
						BROOKER , MARY	1
		703 Tota	al				2
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION		
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	ROUSH, CHRISTINA	1
		705 Tota	al				1
	Not Graded						
	Total						71
DUNEDIN ELEMENTARY Total	-						111

			S	ummer 2013 Pro E	d Classes				
Count of Student Graded									
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota		
FAIRMOUNT PARK ELEME	Graded	000	Art	1000032C - 13/004	PHOTOGRAPHING ARTWORK	BALSAMO , RENATE	TOLA		
AIRIVIOUNT PARK ELEIVIE	Graueu	000	AIT	1000032C - 13/004 1000036C - 13/003	ONLINE STRATEGIES IN ART	BALSAMO , RENATE			
				10000300 - 137003	ONLINE STRATEGIES IN ART	BALSANIO, RENATE			
		000 Tot							
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	ACKERMAN , LECIA			
						FOLEY, KATHERINE			
						WESTERFIELD , EMILY			
				1008035C - 13/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	WILLIAMS , RANDRIA			
				1008035C - 13/004	Core Connections Literacy Training	CASSIDY, CAROLE			
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	ROBINSON , MAXINE			
				1008035C - 14/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	ROSE, CHRISTINE			
				1008035C - 14/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	KRAJNIK , MICHAEL			
						PARKER, CARRIE			
				1008035C - 14/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	CREAMER , MELINDA			
						PARADIS , JENNIFER			
		008 Total							
		009	09 Mathematics	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	DRYDEN , AMANDA			
						ROBINSON, MAXINE			
						WALKER , BRANDY			
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	FRYBERGER, CRISTIANA			
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	CASSIDY , CAROLE			
						LOGGINS, EMILY			
		009 Tot	tal						
		010	Music	1010028C - 13/003	MUSICTIP 2B	COPELAND , CHERYL			
		010 Tot	tal	•					
		010 100	Reading	1013043C - 13/002	LM-B: Seeing Stars	RILEY , CASSANDRA			
		015	Nedding	1013043C - 13/002	LM-B: Visualizing and Verbalizing	RILEY , CASSANDRA			
				10130440 - 137002					
		013 Tot				I			
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	BRYANT, CHAROLETTE			
						DANO, CAROLYN			
						NICHOLS , DAYNA			
						SHULTZ , MICHAEL			
						WILLIAMS, RANDRIA			
		016 Tot	tal						
		400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	MINCEY, ARCILOUS			

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded						L					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
FAIRMOUNT PARK ELEME	Graded	400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	POLLAUF, BENIGNA	1				
		400 Total									
					Classroom Management for High Structure						
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 13/001	Elementary Classrooms	ROBINSON, MAXINE	1				
		403 Tota	103 Total								
							1				
			Classroom Management &		11 Principles of Character Education						
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	6404041C - 13/003	strategies	ACKERMAN , LECIA	1				
		404 Tota	1				1				
		407	Instructional Media Services	3407068C - 14/001	Cataloging Library Materials	MCCABE , CAROL	1				
				3407069C - 14/001	Destiny	MCCABE , CAROL	1				
		407 Total									
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				2				
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/003	Data Warehouse General Access Training	POLLAUF , BENIGNA	1				
		417 Tota	I	·			1				
		417 1010									
			Leadership Skills/Communication/Critical								
		507	Thinking	7507002N - 13/007	C OF D I ORIENTATION	CARTY , SHERLONDA	1				
		507 Tota	I			·	1				
		507 1010									
		508	Management Information Services	8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	MOLINA , RACHEL	1				
						•					
		508 Tota	l				1				
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	FOLEY, KATHERINE					
						WILLIAMS , RANDRIA	1				
		512 Tota	1				1 1 1 1 1 2				
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/001	ESOL Essentials	PARKER , CARRIE	1				
		700 7-1-	·	· · · · ·							
		700 Tota 701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/005	ESOL TESTING 60	ACKERMAN, LECIA	1				
		701		11010010 - 13/003		ACKENINAN, LECIA	- <u>-</u>				
		701 Tota	l				1				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	ł	1				1					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
	Cue de d	705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &	17050010 10/000							
FAIRMOUNT PARK ELEME	Graded	705	Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/002	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	ACKERMAN, LECIA	1				
		705 Tota	1				1				
	Graded Total	705 1018	1				41				
	Not Graded	000	Art	1000031C - 13/002	MAKING VIDEOS IN ART	BALSAMO , RENATE	1				
				1000049C - 14/001	Digital Arts Lab 24	BALSAMO , RENATE	1				
		000 Tota	l				2				
		003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	3003088C - 13/005	EXCEL 2007, INTERMEDIATE 6	DRYDEN , AMANDA	1				
		003 Tota		1			1				
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	ODOM, KATINA	1				
				1008035C - 13/004	Core Connections Literacy Training	DRYDEN , AMANDA	1				
				1008035C - 14/016		FAUTH , DIANA	1				
					Core Connections Literacy Training	BAKER , JOHN	1				
						DEAL , JESSICA	1				
						QUINN, JULIA	1				
				1008035C - 14/018	Core Connections Literacy Training	MINCEY, ARCILOUS	1				
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	WALKER , BRANDY	1				
		008 Total									
		008 1018	Mathematics	1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BYRD, FELICIA	8				
		005	Wathematics	10050780 - 147002	5-5 Summer Mathematics institute	MINCEY, ARCILOUS	1				
						RILEY , CASSANDRA	1				
						SHIVERS , NIKITA	1				
		009 Tota	I				4				
		013	Reading	1013043C - 13/002	LM-B: Seeing Stars	WRIGHT, EMILY	1				
				1013044C - 13/002	LM-B: Visualizing and Verbalizing	WRIGHT, EMILY	1				
				1013047C - 13/001	LM-B:IIntroduction to School Services	RILEY , CASSANDRA	1				
						WRIGHT, EMILY	1				
		013 Tota		1			4				
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	BENDER , MARK	1				
						CASSIDY , CAROLE	1				
						FOLEY, KATHERINE	1				
						POLLAUF, BENIGNA	1				

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded											
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
FAIRMOUNT PARK ELEME	Not Graded	016 Tot	D16 Total								
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100014C - 14/002	AUTISM SUMMER INSTITUTE	ODOM , KATINA	1				
				1100041C - 13/002	ESY CURRICULUM 6	ODOM, KATINA	1				
		100 Tot	al				2				
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103002C - 14/003	MATRIX OF SERVICES TRAINING	ODOM , KATINA	1				
		103 Tot					1				
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403085C - 13/002	CPI TTT RENEWAL	RAIOLA , CATHRYN	1				
				0.000000 10,001							
		403 Tot	al				1				
			Classroom Management &	5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute						
		404 Organ	Organization/Learning Environment			CAMPBELL , YINKA	1				
						CREAMER, MELINDA	1				
						DANO, CAROLYN	1				
		404 Total									
		407	Instructional Media Services	3407066C - 14/001	Engaging Students with Technology	MCCABE, CAROL	1				
		407 Total									
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	CAMPBELL , YINKA	1				
						CREAMER, MELINDA	1				
						DANO, CAROLYN	1				
						SPANIEL, DESIRAE	1				
				3408091C - 14/001	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	MINCEY, ARCILOUS	1				
						MOLINA , RACHEL	1				
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	BRYANT, CHAROLETTE	1				
						POLLAUF, BENIGNA					
		408 Tot	al		1		8				
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	BRADLEY , APRIL	1				
		411 Tot	al				1				
					Role of School Culture in Collaborative						
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415020C - 14/001	Inquiry (MTSS/Problem Solving)	WILLIAMS, RANDRIA	1				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded										
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
FAIRMOUNT PARK ELEME	Not Graded	415 Tota					1			
			Program Administration, Evaluation,	4.4470000 4.4/004						
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	POLLAUF, BENIGNA	1			
						WILLIAMS, RANDRIA				
		417 Tota	cal				2			
			Leadership Skills/Communication/Critical	1						
		507	Thinking	7507003N - 13/007	DISTRICT STRATEGIC DIRECTIONS	LUGO , LISA	1			
		507 Tota	tal				1			
		508		8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	BLAKE , DIANE	1			
		508 Total								
				4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BRYANT, CHAROLETTE	1			
					-	POLLAUF , BENIGNA	1			
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	BRYANT, CHAROLETTE	1			
						POLLAUF , BENIGNA	1			
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	BRYANT , CHAROLETTE	1			
						POLLAUF , BENIGNA	1			
						REBMAN , MICHAEL	1			
		512 Tota	tal				7			
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700010C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES Online 18	RICHARDS , CHARLES	1			
		700 Tota	tal			-	1			
				1	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS ONLINE		1			
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702001C - 14/001	60	BRADLEY, APRIL	1			
		702 Tota	tal				1			
	Not Graded	_ _								
	Total						54			
FAIRMOUNT PARK ELEME Total							95			

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded										
	Graded/Not	Focus								
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
GULFPORT ELEMENTARY	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	LUDLUM , KATHY				
						MCCORD , KAREN				
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	DAWSON, ANN				
				1008035C - 14/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	BARNARD, PATRICIA				
				1008035C - 14/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	KELLY, JENNIFER				
		000	- 1							
		008 Tot 009	ai Mathematics	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BALCE, SENEN				
		005	Mathematics	10050770 147001	a 2 Summer Wathematics institute	BEHRING , P. CHRISTINE				
						DAWSON, ANN				
						PAOLILLO, JULIA				
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	KALEITA , TRACEY				
				10030780 - 14/001	3-3 Summer Mathematics institute	RALLITA, TRACET				
		009 Tot	al							
				2408111C - 13/001						
				PreK-2 Teachers and						
		408 I	Instructional Strategies	Specialists	Pilot Teacher Evaluation Domains 1-4	BEHRING , P. CHRISTINE				
						LAWLESS, JENNIFER				
				2408112C - 14/001	Pilot Evaluation Unit Planning	BALCE, SENEN				
						BEHRING , P. CHRISTINE				
						MANLEY , NICOLE				
		408 Tot 700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/003	ESOL Essentials	MANLEY, NICOLE				
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 137003	ESOL ESSENTIAIS	INANLET, NICOLE				
		700 Tot								
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702002C - 13/003	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	MANLEY, NICOLE				
				1702002C - 13/004	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	BALCE, SENEN				
				1702002C - 13/005	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	EATMAN, KELLY				
		702 Tot	al							
		702 100	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/003	ESOL CURRICULUM	EATMAN , KELLY				
						MANLEY , NICOLE				
						ROTH , WILLIAM				
				1703001C - 13/004	ESOL CURRICULUM	BALCE, SENEN				
					•					
		703 Tot			1					
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &	17050010 10/003						
		705	Understanding ERT	1/05001C - 13/001	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	DORSET, BERNADETTE				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	1									
	Graded/Not	Focus								
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
GULFPORT ELEMENTARY	Graded	705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &	1705001C - 13/001	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	EATMAN , KELLY				
						ROTH , WILLIAM				
		705 Tot	al							
	Graded Total			1		I				
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School					
	Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	BALCE, SENEN				
						LEE, MONIQUE				
		003 Tot	al							
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	DAWSON, ANN				
				1008035C - 14/018	Core Connections Literacy Training	ROACH , LAURA				
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	BAINS, DIANE				
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	BAINS, DIANE				
		008 Tot		40000770 44/000						
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BARNARD, PATRICIA				
				1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	SNODGRASS , VICKI				
						WAHL, AMANDA				
		009 Tot	al							
		010	Music	1010037C - 14/001	PERFORMING ARTS WKSHP 6	ANDERSON , JESSICA				
			+	•	+					
		010 Tot	al							
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	JACKSON , NEALA				
		016 Tot								
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100041C - 13/002	ESY CURRICULUM 6	JACKSON , JEFFREY				
						WALPOLE, BEATRICE				
		100 Tot	al							
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	8103035C - 14/001	Gifted Program Rules	KELLY, JENNIFER				
				,		, .				
		103 Tot	al							
				2408111C - 13/001						
				PreK-2 Teachers and	t l					
		408	Instructional Strategies	Specialists	Pilot Teacher Evaluation Domains 1-4	ANTHONY , ANNETTE				
						BAINS, DIANE				
						BALCE, SENEN				
						BARNARD, PATRICIA				

			S	ummer 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	I					1					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
GULFPORT ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	2408111C - 13/001	Pilot Teacher Evaluation Domains 1-4	DAWSON, ANN					
						DEWINDT, ANNA					
						HUBBLE, KAREN					
						LUDLUM , KATHY					
						MCCORD , KAREN					
						MCGHEE, VENUS					
						MULHOLLAND, DONNA					
						TEMPLES , MICHAEL					
						WILSON-HALL , ESTELLA					
				2408111C - 13/002							
				3-5 Teachers and							
				Specialists	Pilot Teacher Evaluation Domains 1-4	BALLARD , DAWN					
						KALEITA , TRACEY					
						MIDDLEBROOKS , FREDDIE					
						O'CULL, DONALD					
						PETERSBURG , CRAIG					
				2408112C - 14/001		SANKOVITCH , DON					
						SHERARD , HEATHER					
					Pilot Evaluation Unit Planning	BARNARD, PATRICIA					
						DATSEVA , SVETLANA					
						DAWSON , ANN					
						FORTE , RENEE					
						LAWLESS , JENNIFER					
						MCCORD , KAREN					
						ROTH , WILLIAM					
				2408112C - 14/002	Pilot Evaluation Unit Planning	MIDDLEBROOKS , FREDDIE					
						PETERSBURG , CRAIG					
					Pilot Evaluation Domain 1: Framework and						
				2408115C - 14/001	Protocol	PRIBBLE , JAMES					
					Pilot Evaluation: Domain Training for						
				2408118C - 14/001	School Leaders	PRIBBLE , JAMES					
					Pilot Evaluation: Domain Training for						
				2408118C - 14/002	School Leaders	PRIBBLE , JAMES					
					Pilot Evaluation: Domain Training for						
				2408118C - 14/003	School Leaders	PRIBBLE , JAMES					
		1		· · · · ·	Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
		1		7408011C - 13/001	Goals	BUTLER, BRENDA					
		1				O'CULL, DONALD					
		1				PRIBBLE , JAMES					
			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes						
-------------------------	------------	-----------	--------------------------------------	-------------------	---	------------------------------------	-------	--	--	--	--
Count of Student Graded											
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
							3				
GULFPORT ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	408 Total									
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	KALEITA , TRACEY					
		411	Learning Styles, Student Direcences	14110020 13/001		O'CULL, DONALD					
		411 Total									
		-	Program Administration, Evaluation,								
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	BUTLER, BRENDA					
				4417002C - 14/001	Pilot Evaluation Assessment Building	BALCE, SENEN					
		417 Tot		05000000 44/004							
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	LEE , MONIQUE					
				8508042C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 1	LEE , MONIQUE					
				05000420 14/001							
				8508043C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 2	LEE , MONIQUE					
				8508044C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS WALK-IN SCHEDULING	LEE , MONIQUE					
			-		•						
		508 Total									
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BUTLER, BRENDA					
						O'CULL, DONALD					
				75420620 42/002		PRIBBLE , JAMES					
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	BUTLER , BRENDA O'CULL , DONALD					
						PRIBBLE , JAMES					
						FRIBBEL, JANILS					
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	BUTLER , BRENDA					
						O'CULL, DONALD					
						PRIBBLE, JAMES					
		-	-		•						
		512 Tot									
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700012C - 14/001	ESOL Essentials ONLINE	CAMPBELL, SUSAN					
		700 Tot		47040000 44/001							
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701002C - 14/001	ESOL TESTING ONLINE	WALPOLE, BEATRICE					
		701 Tot	al								
		701 100	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	LAWLESS, JENNIFER					
		,05		1,030030 - 14,001		LIVELUU, JEINNI LIV					

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded							
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
GULFPORT ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	703 Tota	I				1
	Not Graded Total						70
GULFPORT ELEMENTARY Total	•						96
	_		-	-	-		
HIGH POINT ELEMENTAR	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	KAY, ELAINE	1
				1008035C - 13/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	CANGEMI, KAREN	1
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	CLARK , SHELBY	1
						PIOLI , MILDRED	1
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	GALLACE , MACKENZIE	1
						STRYKER , WENDY	1
				1008035C - 14/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	KAY, ELAINE	1
					, ,	LOVE-IRVIN , TIA	1
		008 Tota	· I			•	8
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CLARK, SHELBY	1
						LOVE-IRVIN , TIA	1
						PIOLI , MILDRED	1
						SCOTT , ANDREA	1
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	GALLACE , MACKENZIE	1
						STRYKER, WENDY	1
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	YATES , LOYDA	1
		009 Tota				· · · ·	7
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	BARBUR , PATRICIA	1
			, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	BARBUR , PATRICIA	1
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	BARBUR , PATRICIA	1
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	BARBUR , PATRICIA	1
		100 Tota	I				4
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	MEDVEDEV , LISA	1
				,	Classroom Management for High Structure		
				6403122C - 13/001	Elementary Classrooms	GALLACE , MACKENZIE	1
				,	,	WILSON , TRACEY	1
		403 Tota		Į			3
		405 1018	1				
			Leadership Skills/Communication/Critical				
		507	Thinking	7507073C - 13/004	QUALITY CONCEPTS 6	REEDER , KALLIE	1

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	1	1				1					
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
HIGH POINT ELEMENTAR	Graded	507 Tota	1				1				
	Gradeu	700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/002	ESOL Essentials	BLAKESLEE , STACI	1				
		700 Tota 703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	17020016 12/002			1				
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/003 1703001C - 13/004	ESOL CURRICULUM ESOL CURRICULUM	FOX , BARBARA BLAKESLEE , STACI	1				
				17050010 - 15/004		DICKMAN, CATHLEEN	1				
						SORENSEN , GAIL	1				
						WARNER , JENNIFER	1				
						WARNER, JERNIER					
		703 Tota	l				5				
		705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication & Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/002	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	DICKMAN , CATHLEEN	1				
		705		17030010 - 13/002	ESOE CROSS COLLORAL COMMONICATION	WARNER , JENNIFER	1				
				1705001C - 13/003	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	DE FRANCESCO , ANTONINA	1				
						FOX , BARBARA	1				
		705 Total									
	Graded Total						33				
	Not Graded	800	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	STEWART, KAREN	1				
				1008035C - 14/016	Core Connections Literacy Training	SPIEWAK , VICTORIA	1				
				1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training	BAHR , LISA	1				
				1008035C - 14/020	Core Connections Literacy Training	FANSLOW , LEANNA	1				
		008 Tota	I				4				
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BAIRD , MOLLY	1				
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	DISTAULA, SHANON	1				
				1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	DISTAULA , SHANON	1				
						WILSON , TRACEY	1				
				•	•	•					
		009 Tota		10150506 12/020			4				
		015	Science	1015050C - 13/030	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	YATES , LOYDA	1				
		015 Tota	I				1				
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100014C - 14/002	AUTISM SUMMER INSTITUTE	TESAR , BRIANNA	1				
		100 Tota	I				1				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I					1	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
			Adult English for Speakers of Other				
HIGH POINT ELEMENTAR	Not Graded	302	Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/001	Use of iPad with ELLs		1
						MCKAY, SHERYL	1
		302 Total					4
		402	Attendance	6402001C - 14/001	Child Study Teams	MEDVEDEV LISA	-
		402	Attendance	04020010 14/001			-
		402 Tota	I				1
			Classroom Management &				
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute	CANGEMI, KAREN	1
		404 Tota	1	1		1	1
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	2406002C - 14/001	PEER MEDIATION	MEDVEDEV , LISA	1
		406 Tota					1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1
		414	Physical and Mental Health Issues	6414007C - 14/001	SUICIDE PREVENTION	MEDVEDEV . LISA	
		414 Tota	I				1
					Role of School Culture in Collaborative		
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415020C - 14/001	Inquiry (MTSS/Problem Solving)	BREWSTER, JULIE	1
				7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	BREWSTER, JULIE	1
		415 Tota			-		2
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	ROBINSON, CAROLLAINE	
						TAYLOR , SUSAN	1
		417 Tota				1	
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700008C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES 6	ADAMS, MOLLY 1 HAUBENESTEL, JANICE 1 LAPLANTE, JOYCE 1 MCKAY, SHERYL 1 MEDVEDEV, LISA 1 er Institute CANGEMI, KAREN 1 MEDVEDEV, LISA 1 Image: ROBINSON, CAROLLAINE 1 Ing ROBINSON, CAROLLAINE 1 Image: LAPLANTE, JOYCE 1	
		700 -					
		700 Tota	I I			1	1
		705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &	17050020 14/004	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION		1
	1	105	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	FAINSLOW , LEAININA	1 1

			Sum	nmer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded	1						
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
		705 7 1					
HIGH POINT ELEMENTAR	Not Graded Not Graded	705 Tot	al				1
	Total						24
HIGH POINT ELEMENTAR Total	TOtal						57
LAKEWOOD ELEMENTARY	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/004	Core Connections Literacy Training	FRANKLIN , SARAH	1
				1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	BURGETT , ANNA	1
						WARREN, KATHLEEN	1
				1008035C - 13/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	SHERMAN , JUDITH	1
				1008035C - 13/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	BAIR, SHIRLEY	1
						GLICK, JENNIFER	1
						HALL, DONNA	1
						PRICE, LYNN	1
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	BERTERO , KARA	1
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	BERTERO , KARA	1
				1008035C - 14/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	STRICKLAND, CASEY	1
						STRICKLAND, JENNA	1
		008 Tot					12
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CUMMINS, ANGELA	1
						PRICE, LYNN	1
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ASH , APRIL	1
						BERTERO , KARA	1
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	Greenfield , Abby	1
						SHERMAN , JUDITH	1
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	MOREHEAD , LARONA	1
		000 Tat					7
		009 Tot 013	Reading	1013043C - 13/002	LM-B: Seeing Stars	DORN, JENNIFER	7
		015	Reading	1013043C - 13/002 1013044C - 13/002	LM-B: Visualizing and Verbalizing	DORN , JENNIFER	1
				1013044C - 13/002 1013047C - 13/001	LM-B:IIntroduction to School Services	DORN , JENNIFER	1
				10130470 - 13/001	LIM-B.IIIItroduction to School Services	DORN, JENNIFER	<u>1</u>
		013 Tot	al				3
		015 100	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	KIDD, CYNTHIA	1
		010		10100/10 - 15/001		MOREHEAD , LARONA	1
		-		I	4	monthead , Lanona	
		016 Tot	al				2
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103014C - 14/001	Developing Quality Measurable IEPs	DORN, JENNIFER	1

			Sumn	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	1						
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
LAKEWOOD ELEMENTARY	Graded	103 Tota	al				1
	0.0000	400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	BUSCH , MICHAEL	1
						KIDD, CYNTHIA	1
						MOBLEY , DEBORAH	1
						MOREHEAD , LARONA	1
						SHERMAN , JUDITH	1
						STRAWMYER, JENNIFER	1
		400 Tota	al				6
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	HOLZER , LEAH	1
				,	Classroom Management for High Structure		
				6403122C - 13/002	Elementary Classrooms	BERTERO , KARA	1
		403 Tota	al				2
			Classroom Management &		11 Principles of Character Education		
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	6404041C - 13/003	strategies	HOLZER , LEAH	1
		404 Tota	.			1 · · · ·	1
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408107C - 13/001	C.A.R.E. Strategies 12	GLICK, JENNIFER	1
		408 Tota					1
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	DORN , JENNIFER	1
						NOORBAKHSH , KIMBERLE	1
		411 Tota	al		1	1	2
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415020C - 13/001	Role of School Culture in Collaborative Inquiry (MTSS/Problem Solving)	HOLZER , LEAH	1
		415		74130200 - 13/001		HOLZER, LEAN	-
		415 Tota		1	T	Τ	1
		447	Program Administration, Evaluation,	44470046 44/007			1
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/007	Data Warehouse General Access Training	KIDD , CYNTHIA	1
		417 Tota	al				1
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/004	ESOL TESTING 60	MOBLEY, DEBORAH	1
		701 Tota	al				1

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded						1	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
LAKEWOOD ELEMENTARY	Graded	702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702002C - 13/003	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	MOBLEY, DEBORAH	1
				1702002C - 13/005	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	MOREHEAD , LARONA	1
		702 Tota	1				2
		702 1010	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/002	ESOL CURRICULUM	WARREN, KATHLEEN	1
				1703001C - 13/004	ESOL CURRICULUM	BUSCH , MICHAEL	1
						MOBLEY, DEBORAH	1
						SHERMAN , JUDITH	1
		703 Tota					4
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &				
		705	Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/001	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	STRAWMYER, JENNIFER	1
				1705001C - 13/003	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	GLICK , JENNIFER	1
				17050010 - 15/005	ESOL CROSS COLLORAL COMMUNICATION	WARREN, KATHLEEN	1
						WARREN, KATHLEEN	1
		705 Tota	I				3
	Graded Total		1		1		49
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School		
	Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	STRICKLAND, CASEY	1
		003 Tota	1				1
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	ASH , APRIL	1
						ROEGIERS JENSEN , ALEXIS	1
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	BAIR, SHIRLEY	1
						BUSCH , MICHAEL	1
						EARLY, MICHELLE	1
						MARLAND , MEGAN	1
				1008035C - 14/020	Core Connections Literacy Training	MORRIS , AUTUMN	1
		008 Toto					7
			Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	MORRIS , AUTUMN	/
		003	Mathematics	10090770-137001	K-2 Summer Mathematics institute	ROEGIERS JENSEN , ALEXIS	1
						STRICKLAND, JENNA	1
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	WARREN , KATHLEEN	1
		003 Tota 008 008 Tota 009		1009077C - 14/001 1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	GREEN, PATRICIA	1
				1009078C - 14/001 1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	NOORBAKHSH , KIMBERLE	1
				10030700 - 14/002		NOONDAKIDI, KIMDEKEE	
		009 Tota	I				6

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded						1	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
LAKEWOOD ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	KIDD , CYNTHIA	1
		016 Tota	I				1
		400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	GREEN , PATRICIA	1
						KNUDSEN , LIZA	1
						LEWIS , DIANE	1
						LIVINGSTON , ALICEN	1
		400 Tota		•			4
		400 100	Attendance	6402001C - 14/001	Child Study Teams	HOLZER , LEAH	4
		402	Attendance	04020010 - 14/001			
		402 Tota	I				1
					Classroom Management for High Structure		
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 14/001	Elementary Classrooms	PRICE, LYNN	1
		403 Tota	1	T		Τ	1
			Classroom Management &				
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute	BAIR , SHIRLEY	1
						EARLY , MICHELLE	1
						HALL, DONNA	1
				5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	BERTERO , KARA	1
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	NOORBAKHSH , KIMBERLE	1
		404 Tota	1				5
		404 10ta 408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	BERTERO , KARA	1
		400	instructional strucegies	24001130 14/001	New redener institute week 2	STRICKLAND , CASEY	1
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
				7408011C - 14/001	Goals	HOLZER , LEAH	1
				71000110 11/001	Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
				7408011C - 14/002	Goals	BALDWIN , STACIA	1
						DORN , JENNIFER	1
						KIDD , CYNTHIA	1
						NOORBAKHSH , KIMBERLE	1
						WILLIAMS-MACON , BRANDIE	1
		408 Tota		64140070 14/004			8
		414	Physical and Mental Health Issues	6414007C - 14/001	SUICIDE PREVENTION	HOLZER, LEAH	1
		414 Tota	I				1

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	·					•				
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
LAKEWOOD ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	BALDWIN , STACIA	1			
						HOLZER , LEAH	1			
		415 Tota	al				2			
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 14/002	Goal Setting,	BALDWIN, STACIA	1			
						DORN , JENNIFER	1			
						KIDD , CYNTHIA	1			
						NOORBAKHSH , KIMBERLE	1			
						WILLIAMS-MACON, BRANDIE	1			
					Differentiated Accountability Regional					
				7512068C - 14/002	Summer Academies	DORN , JENNIFER	1			
						KIDD , CYNTHIA	1			
						MOREHEAD , LARONA	1			
						NOORBAKHSH , KIMBERLE	1			
						WILLIAMS-MACON, BRANDIE	1			
		512 Total								
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700010C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES Online 18	FOWLER, CONNIE	1			
		700 Tota	al				1			
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION					
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	STRICKLAND, JOSEPH	1			
		705 Tota	al				1			
	Not Graded Total						49			
LAKEWOOD ELEMENTARY Total	-						98			

			Sun	nmer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes						
Count of Student Graded						1					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota				
LEALMAN AVE ELEMENTA	Graded	000	Art	1000032C - 13/004	PHOTOGRAPHING ARTWORK	DEVICK, PERRY					
		000 Tot	al								
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	CLARKE, KATHLEEN					
				1008035C - 13/005	Core Connections Literacy Training	CLARKE, KATHLEEN					
						WHITE , COLEEN					
				1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	KING, ROBERTA					
				1008035C - 13/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	SHARP , MELISSA					
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	BROWN, LINDA					
				1008035C - 14/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	GRIGG, JANICE					
				1008035C - 14/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	CASH , LAMAR					
						READ , DIANA					
						REAZOR , DANIELA					
				1008035C - 14/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	KIEDROWSKI , CATRIONA					
						WILLIAMS , CHRISTINE					
		008 Total									
		008 100		10000770 12/001	K 2 Summer Mathematics Institute						
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	VAZQUEZ , LISSETTE					
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	GRIGG , JANICE					
					10000700 12/001	2 E Summer Methematics Institute	SHARP, MELISSA				
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BROWN , LINDA					
						JENKINS, LINDA					
						PANAGIOTACOS, FAITH					
						WILLIAMS , CHRISTINE					
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	MENCHACA, SHERYL					
		009 Tot	al								
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	MENCHACA, SHERYL					
			_	1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	MENCHACA, SHERYL					
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	MENCHACA, SHERYL					
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	MENCHACA, SHERYL					
		100 Tot									
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103002C - 14/001	MATRIX OF SERVICES TRAINING	HAYDUKE, LINDY					
		103 Tot	al								
		402	Pahavioral Interventions	64021220 12/001	Classroom Management for High Struct						
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 13/001	Elementary Classrooms	KING , ROBERTA					
		403 Tot	al								

			Sumi	mer 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded							
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
LEALMAN AVE ELEMENTA	Graded	508	Management Information Services	8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	GRIGG , JANICE	1
				8508040C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Teacher Part 1	GRIGG, JANICE	1
		508 Tota	al				2
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	BELIVEAU , NICOLE	1
						MAVRES , ANNETTE	1
						PANAGIOTACOS, FAITH	1
						PAQUETTE , BONITA	1
						VAZQUEZ , LISSETTE	1
			•	·	·		
		512 Tota			1	T	5
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/001	ESOL Essentials	FERRELL, CEDRIC	1
		700 Tota	51				1
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/003	ESOL CURRICULUM	FERRELL, CEDRIC	1
				1,000010 10,000			
		703 Tota	al				1
	Graded Total	÷					36
	Not Graded	000	Art	1000050C - 14/001	Visual Arts Common Core Literacy 12	DEVICK , PERRY	1
		000 Tota	al				1
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School		
		003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	BROWN, LINDA	1
						JENKINS, LINDA	1
		003 Tota					2
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	JENKINS , LINDA	1
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	JENKINS , LINDA	1
				1008035C - 14/014	Core Connections Literacy Training	WILLIAMS , REVA	1
				1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training	LEONARD, REBECCA	1
						VAZQUEZ , LISSETTE	1
		008 Tota					5
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ANASTASIO, TERI	1
						CLARK , ANGELA	1
						GRIGG , JANICE	1
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	LEONARD, REBECCA	1
						WILLIAMS , REVA	1
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BELIVEAU, NICOLE	1

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded										
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
LEALMAN AVE ELEMENTA	Not Graded	009 Tota								
		016	Social Studies	1016037C - 14/003	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	BROWN , LINDA				
				1016037C - 14/004	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	BELIVEAU, NICOLE				
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	CLARKE, KATHLEEN				
		016 Tota	al							
		010100	Adult English for Speakers of Other							
		302	Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/002	Use of iPad with ELLs	LEE , MARGARIT				
		002		1,001						
		302 Tota	al							
			Classroom Management &							
		404	5 , 5	5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	WILLIAMS, CHRISTINE				
			8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	SHARP, MELISSA					
		404 Tota								
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	84060270 - 12/004	Clinical Educator 18	CLARKE, KATHLEEN				
		400	numan kelations/ communications skins	0400027C = 13/004						
		406 Total								
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	GRIGG, JANICE				
						WILLIAMS , CHRISTINE				
				3408091C - 14/001	- 14/001 SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	BROWN , LINDA				
						GRIGG, JANICE				
						VAZQUEZ , LISSETTE				
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support					
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	MAVRES, ANNETTE				
						MENCHACA, SHERYL				
						METTETAL, BONNIE				
						PAQUETTE, BONITA				
		408 Tota		1						
			Program Administration, Evaluation,							
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	MAVRES , ANNETTE				
				44170016 14/000						
				4417001C - 14/008	Data Warehouse General Access Training	PAQUETTE , BONITA				
		417 Tota	al							
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	GRIGG , JANICE				

			Sum	mer 2013 Pro E	d Classes				
Count of Student Graded									
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total		
LEALMAN AVE ELEMENTA	Not Graded	d 508	Management Information Services	8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	WILLIAMS , CHRISTINE	1		
				8508041C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Teacher Part 2	GRIGG, JANICE	1		
		508 Tota	al						
		512	512 School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	1 Goal Setting,	MAVRES, ANNETTE	1		
						MENCHACA, SHERYL	1		
						METTETAL , BONNIE	1		
						PAQUETTE , BONITA	1		
				7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	JENKINS, LINDA	1		
		512 Tota	al				5		
	Not Graded								
	Total						40		
LEALMAN AVE ELEMENTA Total	*						76		

			Sumn	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes							
Count of Student Graded		1										
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total					
MAXIMO ELEMENTARY	Graded	000	Art	1000051C - 14/001	Visual Arts Assement of Student Work	URBANO, ALICIA	1					
		000 Tota 003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	20021100 14/001	Word 2010 Introduction C	BEATON, GAYLE	1					
		003	Computer Scienci, rechied	3003110C - 14/001	Word 2010, Introduction 6	BEATON, GATLE	1					
		003 Tota	003 Total									
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	HOSFORD , MARY	1					
				1008035C - 13/005	Core Connections Literacy Training	HOSFORD , MARY	1					
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	MARSHALL, CINDI	1					
						MCCLAIN, STEPHANIE	1					
				1008035C - 14/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	OUGH , AMBER	1					
						SHETLER , DENISE	1					
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	CUMPSTON, LONNIE	1					
				1008035C - 14/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	BURNS, JENNIFER	1					
		008 Tota	I				8					
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CUMPSTON, LONNIE	1					
						KINNY, ELIZABETH	1					
						OUGH , AMBER	1					
						SHETLER , DENISE	1					
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BRACKX , LOROL	1					
						MCCLAIN, STEPHANIE	1					
		009 Tota	I				6					
		011	Physical Education	2011011C - 13/003	Literacy in Physical Education	GRIMES , ASHLEY	1					
		011 Tota	I				1					
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403001C - 14/001	BULLYING PREVENTION 12	GUGLIELMO , JILL	1					
						NELLENBACH, RENEE	1					
						URBANO, ALICIA	1					
					Classroom Management for High Structure							
				6403122C - 13/001	Elementary Classrooms	GUGLIELMO , JILL	1					
		403 Tota	 I				4					
		407	Instructional Media Services	2407010C - 14/001	Driving Students Deep into Text	LUKAT, KAREN	1					
		407 Tota	I				1					
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	LOPEZ , JUSTINE	1					
		411	Learning styles, student Differences	14110020 - 13/001	USFSF Teacher Leavers Institute	LUFEZ, JUSTINE						

			Sumn	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I						
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
MAXIMO ELEMENTARY	Graded	411 Tota	I				1
	Graueu	411 1018	Program Administration, Evaluation,				I
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/007	Data Warehouse General Access Training	LATZKE , RANDI	1
		417	Accountability	44170010 - 147007	Data Watchouse General Access Haining		
		417 Tota	I				1
					Differentiated Accountability Regional		
		512	School Improvement	7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	LATZKE , RANDI	1
						SIEVERT, STEPHANIE	1
		512 Tota	1				2
	Graded Total						26
Not G	Not Graded	000	Art	1000049C - 14/001	Digital Arts Lab 24	URBANO, ALICIA	1
		000 Tota		40000050 44/040			1
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	CAVAZUTI, ANNE	1
						GRASHER , ANGELA	1
						LOPEZ , JUSTINE	1
				1008035C - 14/016	Core Connections Literacy Training	MAAS , JENNIFER KINNY , ELIZABETH	1
				10080350 - 14/010	Core connections Literacy fraining	SIMON , VERONICA	1
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	ADAMS , MELANIE	1
				1008035C - 14/019	Core connections Eneracy Training	BRACKX , LOROL	1
						BURKE, JENNIFER	1
						KOSTER , RYAN	1
						NIESEN , REBEKAH	1
						SIEVERT, STEPHANIE	- 1
						THORPE, MICHELLE	1
						TRIPPETT, KELLY	1
						WARE, ANNE	1
						WOODY, NICCOLE	1
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	MORROW , SHARINA	1
		008 Tota	1				17
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	RIANI, BRIANNA	1
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	TRIPPETT, KELLY	1
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	WARE, ANNE	1
				1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	KELLEY, WILLIE	1
1							
		009 Tota	1				4

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes				
Count of Student Graded									
	Graded/Not	Focus							
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota		
MAXIMO ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	015	Science	1015050C - 14/000	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	KELLEY, WILLIE			
		015 Tot	al						
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	NELLENBACH, RENEE			
		016 Tot							
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100042C - 14/001	Frameworks I	WITHERSPOON, IVETHA			
				1100043C - 14/001	Frameworks for Gifted II	WITHERSPOON, IVETHA			
				1100046C - 14/005	Best Practices in Gifted Education	WITHERSPOON, IVETHA			
				1100046C - 14/006	Best Practices in Gifted Education	WITHERSPOON , IVETHA			
		100 Tot	al						
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103010C - 14/001	Gifted Education Plan	WITHERSPOON , IVETHA			
				7103010C - 14/002	Gifted Education Plan	WITHERSPOON , IVETHA			
				8103035C - 14/001	Gifted Program Rules	WITHERSPOON, IVETHA			
				8103035C - 14/002	Gifted Program Rules	WITHERSPOON , IVETHA			
		103 Total							
		101	Classroom Management & Organization/Learning Environment	24040220 12/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute				
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute	TRIPPETT , KELLY			
				5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	BUTLER , KIM			
				5404071C - 14/001	New reacher institute				
						COFFMAN , SHELBY CUMPSTON , LONNIE			
						KOSTER , RYAN			
						MAXON , DENISE			
						PUYOT , AIMEE			
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom				
				8404057C - 14/001		BUTLER , KIM COFFMAN , SHELBY			
						,			
						CUMPSTON, LONNIE			
						KOSTER , RYAN			
						MAXON, DENISE			
						PUYOT, AIMEE			
		404 Tot	al				1		
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	BUTLER , KIM			
						COFFMAN , SHELBY			
						CUMPSTON, LONNIE			
						KOSTER , RYAN			

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded							
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota
MAXIMO ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	MAXON , DENISE	
						PUYOT, AIMEE	
				3408091C - 14/001	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	BUTLER , KIM	
						CALDWELL , MARCIA	
						CAVAZUTI, ANNE	
						CHARLES , ANITA	
					GRASHER , ANGELA		
					LOPEZ, JUSTINE		
					MAAS, JENNIFER		
					MAXON , DENISE		
					SHETLER , DENISE		
					SINE , CAROLINE		
					THORPE , MICHELLE		
					URBANO, ALICIA		
					WARE, ANNE		
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	LATZKE , RANDI	
					NELLENBACH , RENEE		
					•		
		408 Tot	al				2
					Role of School Culture in Collaborative		
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415020C - 14/001	Inquiry (MTSS/Problem Solving)	GUGLIELMO , JILL	
		415 Tot					
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	LATZKE , RANDI	
						NELLENBACH, RENEE	
		417 Tot	al				
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	LATZKE , RANDI	
						NELLENBACH, RENEE	
				4512016C - 14/002	Goal Setting,	PORTER, JACQUELINE	
					Differentiated Accountability Regional		
				7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	BRACKX, LOROL	
						BURNS, JENNIFER	
						HOSFORD , MARY	
						MARSHALL , CINDI	
					MCCLAIN, STEPHANIE		
						NELLENBACH, RENEE	

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	•					1				
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
MAXIMO ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	512 Tota	512 Total							
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700005C - 14/001	ESOL METHODS ONLINE	BONFANTI, ELIZABETH	1			
		700 Tota								
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	CALDWELL, MARCIA	1			
		703 Tota	3 Total							
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION					
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	BONFANTI, ELIZABETH	1			
						CALDWELL, MARCIA	1			
		705 Tota	I				2			
	Not Graded									
	Total						83			
MAXIMO ELEMENTARY Total							109			

			Sumn	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded											
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
MELROSE ELEMENTARY	Graded	000	Art	1000032C - 13/004	PHOTOGRAPHING ARTWORK	TAYLOR , LEAH	1				
		000 Tota	al				1				
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	DAVIS , KALI	1				
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	O'NEILL, COLLEEN	1				
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	DENNISON , KIMBERLY	1				
				1008035C - 14/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	DYER, HYACINTH	1				
		008 Tot	008 Total								
		008 100	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ENDICOTT, STACEY	4				
						LUMB, CHRISTOPHER	1				
		009 Tota		1		1 - ,	2				
		009 100			Classroom Management for High Structure		2				
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 13/001	Elementary Classrooms	DENNISON , KIMBERLY	1				
		405	Banavioral interventions	04031220 13/001	Elementary classicoms	BENNISON, KIMBEREI					
		403 Tota	al		1	1	1				
					Creating Visual Literacy Projects on the						
		407	Instructional Media Services	3407067C - 14/001	iPad	TRUSLER, PATRICIA	1				
		407 Tota	407 Total								
			Program Administration, Evaluation,								
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/007	Data Warehouse General Access Training	GRASSO , NANETTE	1				
		417 Tota	al				1				
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	RIVERA , JODI	1				
				,							
				8508042C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 1	RIVERA , JODI	1				
				8508043C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 2	RIVERA , JODI	1				
				8508047C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Discipline	ENDICOTT, STACEY	1				
		508 Tota	al				4				
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	DAVIS , KALI	1				
				,		WILLIAMS , BENJAMIN	1				
				<u> </u>		•					
		512 Tota		17000120 12/001			2				
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/001	ESOL Essentials	DYER, HYACINTH	1				
						O'NEILL, COLLEEN	1				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes				
Count of Student Graded						I			
- 111 AL	Graded/Not	Focus							
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total		
MELROSE ELEMENTARY	Graded	700 Tota	I				2		
	Craced	701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/003	ESOL TESTING 60	JOSEPH , SUZANNE	1		
				1701001C - 13/004	ESOL TESTING 60	GOULD , MARLA	1		
				1701001C - 13/005	ESOL TESTING 60	GERDING, RUTH	1		
						HEVIA , TERRI	1		
						JONES , KATHLEEN	1		
		704 7 1					-		
		701 Tota 702		17020020 12/002		MATANLE , GEMA	5		
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702002C - 13/003	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	MATANLE, GEMA			
		702 Tota	I				1		
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/004	ESOL CURRICULUM	MATANLE, GEMA	1		
				1 .		•			
		703 Total							
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &						
		705 Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/002	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	MATANLE, GEMA	1			
			1705001C - 13/003	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	JOSEPH . SUZANNE	1			
		705 Tota	I				2		
	Graded Total						27		
	Not Graded	000	Art	1000031C - 13/002	MAKING VIDEOS IN ART	TAYLOR , LEAH	1		
		000 Tota		T			1		
		000		10000046 14/001	Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School				
		003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	STREMLER , SYLVIA	1		
		003 Tota	I				1		
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/006	Core Connections Literacy Training	STREMLER , SYLVIA	1		
				1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	GERDING, RUTH	1		
						HEVIA, TERRI	1		
						JONES , KATHLEEN	1		
				1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training	JOSEPH , SUZANNE	1		
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	LUMB , CHRISTOPHER	1		
						WOODS, CARLOTTA	1		
				1008035C - 14/022	Core Connections Literacy Training	CAMPBELL, AMANDA	1		
		008 Tota	l				8		
	1	000 1010	I				0		

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes							
Count of Student Graded												
	Graded/Not	Focus										
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota					
AELROSE ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	STREMLER , SYLVIA						
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	MCDONALD, TRACEY						
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	DAVIS, KALI						
				1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	GERDING, RUTH						
		009 Total										
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103002C - 14/002	MATRIX OF SERVICES TRAINING	WOODS , CARLOTTA						
		103 Total										
		100.00										
		404	Classroom Management & Organization/Learning Environment	24040220 12/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute							
	404	Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute ER&D MANAGING ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIORS	LOPEZ, KIWIBERLI							
				5404054C - 14/001	18	MAXWELL, CHERYL						
				5404054C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	BARKER, BRENDA						
				5404071C - 14/001	New reacher institute							
						DAWSON, DAVONTAE						
						RICE , KELLY						
						ROBBINS, ROSE						
						YOUNG, CYNTHIA						
						YOUNG , JACQUELINE						
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	BARKER, BRENDA						
						RICE, KELLY						
		404 Tot	al				1					
		407	Instructional Media Services	3407069C - 14/001	Destiny	TRUSLER, PATRICIA						
		407 Tot	al									
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	BARKER, BRENDA						
						KIMMEL, ANDREA						
						RICE , KELLY						
						ROBBINS , ROSE						
						YOUNG , CYNTHIA						
						YOUNG , JACQUELINE						
				3408091C - 14/001	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	KIMMEL, ANDREA						
						MAKER , CASEY						
						ROBBINS , ROSE						
						YOUNG , JACQUELINE						
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support	,						
				7408011C - 14/002	Goals	ENDICOTT, STACEY						

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes					
Count of Student Graded										
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
MELROSE ELEMENTARY	Not Graded	408 Tota	al							
	not Graded	100 100			Classroom Management for High-Structure					
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415021C - 14/002	Secondary Classrooms	DAWSON , DAVONTAE				
		115		7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/Rtl Data Camp	ENDICOTT, STACEY				
		415 Tota		1	1					
			Program Administration, Evaluation,							
		417 Accountability 14	Accountability 14	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	ENDICOTT, STACEY				
					GRASSO , NANETTE					
						LANE, EDWARD				
		417 Total								
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	MCDONALD , TRACEY				
		308	Management mormation services	85080580 - 14/001	Focus/SIS Viewing Teacher Reports &	MEDONALD, MACLI				
				8508046C - 14/001	Gradebooks	ENDICOTT, STACEY				
		508 Total								
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 14/002	Goal Setting,	ENDICOTT, STACEY				
				7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	DENNISON, KIMBERLY				
		512 Tota	al							
		512 100								
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION					
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	CAMPBELL , AMANDA				
		705		17050020 - 147001	ONLINE					
		705 Tota	al							
	Not Graded									
	Total						4			
MELROSE ELEMENTARY Total										

			Su	mmer 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	I									
	Graded/Not	Focus								
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
1ILDRED HELMS ELEMEN	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/001	Core Connections Literacy Training	KAY, BONNIE				
			0.0	1008035C - 13/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	PALIJA , LINDA				
				1008035C - 13/006	Core Connections Literacy Training	EARLE , SHARON				
				1008035C - 13/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	CONRAD , LAURA				
						EARLE , SHARON				
				1008035C - 14/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	BARKLEY, KALIE				
	008 Tot	al								
	009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	LAW, KAREN					
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CALLAHAN, AMANDA				
						KAY, BONNIE				
					MARKS , HEATHER					
						PALIJA , LINDA				
						VANTILBURG , ELIZABETH				
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BRENNAN, SHANNON				
						GLEESON , ERIN				
						HICKEY, MICHELLE				
						HUBBARD , ALISON				
						HUENKE, EMILIE				
						JOHNSON , ERICA				
		009 Total								
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	BRENNAN, SHANNON				
		010	Social Studies	10100/10 - 13/001	Diversity and character institute	COWLEY, SANDRA				
						EARLE , SHARON				
						NICHOLSON , DORIANNE				
						VANTILBURG , ELIZABETH				
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	VANTILBURG , ELIZABETH				
				10100/10 13/002	Diversity and character institute	VAITIEBONG, ELEADETT				
		016 Tot								
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	COX, CONNIE				
				1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	COX, CONNIE				
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	COX, CONNIE				
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	COX, CONNIE				
		100 Tot	al							
		407	Instructional Media Services	3407066C - 14/001	Engaging Students with Technology	BELLINGER , MELISSA				
				3407067C - 14/001	Creating Visual Literacy Projects on the iPad	BELLINGER , MELISSA				

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes							
Count of Student Graded												
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total					
MILDRED HELMS ELEMEN	Graded	407 Tota	al				2					
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	EARLE , SHARON	1					
		411 Tota	11 Total									
		417	Program Administration, Evaluation, Accountability	4417001C - 14/004	Data Warehouse General Access Training	COWLEY , SANDRA	1					
		417 Tota	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1					
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	BRENNAN , SHANNON COWLEY , SANDRA	1					
						GLEESON , ERIN MARKS , HEATHER	1 1 1					
		512 Tot	512 Total									
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/001	ESOL Essentials	WATKINS, STEPHANIE	5					
				1700013C - 13/002	ESOL Essentials	KAY , BONNIE RISER , MARY	1					
		700 Total										
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/004	ESOL TESTING 60	DILLA , SARABETH HICKEY , MICHELLE	3 1 1					
		701 Tota	al				2					
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &									
		705	Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/001	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	RISER , MARY ROBIDOUX , BARBARA	1					
				1705001C - 13/002	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	WATKINS, STEPHANIE	1					
		705 Tota	al				3					
	Graded Total	000		10000250 11/050			45					
	Not Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/013 1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training Core Connections Literacy Training	GLEESON, ERIN	1					
				10000550 - 14/01/		RISER , MARY TORRES , CATHERINE	1					
				1008035C - 14/020	Core Connections Literacy Training	YUNCKER, JERRIE	1					
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	BUTCHER , SARAH	1					

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	1		1				
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
MILDRED HELMS ELEMEN	Not Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	HUENKE, EMILIE	1
		008 Tota	1				6
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BUTCHER, SARAH	1
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	MAISONET , JULIE	1
						OSBORNE, ERICA	1
						RISER , MARY	1
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CASTLE , SARAH	1
						DOIG , ANGELIKI	1
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	HESSE , KRISTIN	1
						MARICONE , KAREN	1
				1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	DELONG , ADRIENNE	1
						DILLA , SARABETH	1
						WATKINS, STEPHANIE	1
		009 Tota		-	-		11
		015	Science	1015050C - 14/000	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	DILLA , SARABETH	1
		015 Tota	1				1
		016	Social Studies	1016037C - 14/003	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	PALIJA , LINDA	1
				1016037C - 14/004	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	PALIJA , LINDA	1
				1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	HALL, SHERRY	1
						MAISONET , JULIE	1
		016 Tota	· ·			-	4
		010 1010	Adult English for Speakers of Other				
		302	Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/001	Use of iPad with ELLs	HARTL, JUDITH	1
		502		3302002C - 14/002	Use of iPad with ELLs	TORRES , CATHERINE	1
				55020020 14/002			
		302 Tota	l				2
		402	Attendance	6402001C - 14/001	Child Study Teams	KIRBY, LORRAINE	1
]				
		402 Tota	I				1
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	KIRBY, LORRAINE	1
		403 Tota	I				1
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	8406027C - 13/004	Clinical Educator 18	BULLOCK , JESSICA	1
		406 Tota					1

			Sumn	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded						•	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
MILDRED HELMS ELEMEN	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	7408011C - 13/001	Action Plans and Strategies to Support Goals	BRENNAN , SHANNON COWLEY , SANDRA	1
		408 Tota	al				2
		414	Physical and Mental Health Issues	6414007C - 14/001	SUICIDE PREVENTION	KIRBY, LORRAINE	1
		414 Tota	ıl				1
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	KIRBY, LORRAINE	1
					Data Literacy for Improving Learning for All		
				7415023C - 14/001	Students	BRENNAN, SHANNON	1
		415 Tota					2
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	BRENNAN, SHANNON	1
		417 Tota					1
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	GLEESON , ERIN	1
				8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	KIRBY , LORRAINE	1
		508 Tota	ıl				2
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BRENNAN, SHANNON	1
						COWLEY, SANDRA	1
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	BRENNAN, SHANNON	1
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	BRENNAN, SHANNON	1
				7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	HUBBARD , ALISON	1
						POTTINGER, KATHLEEN	1
		512 Tota	ıl				6
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700008C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES 6	JARAMILLO , IRIS	1
		700 Tota	ıl				1
			ESOL - Content area ELL Training				
		704	(Category II, III, IV)	1704003C - 14/001	ESOL FOR COUNSELORS ONLINE 60	KIRBY, LORRAINE	1
		704 Tota	ıl				1

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	•	÷				•	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION		
MILDRED HELMS ELEMEN	Not Graded	705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	NICHOLSON, DORIANNE	1
		705 Tot	al				1
	Not Graded	•					
	Total						44
MILDRED HELMS ELEMEN Total							89

			S	ummer 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	•		- I							
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
NEW HEIGHTS ELEMENTA	Graded	007	Integrated Curriculum	2007053C - 13/043	PLC Informed Instruction 20 2012-2013	TRULUCK , MARGARET	1			
	0.0000			20070000 207010						
		007 Tot	al				1			
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	COLLETTE , CHERYL	1			
				1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	GODFREY, WENDY	1			
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	CARROLL, ELIZABETH	1			
						ZENGOTITA , SHELLY	1			
				1008035C - 13/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	COLWELL, DIANA	1			
				1008035C - 13/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	CLIFTON, CATHERINE	1			
						SMITH , ESTHER	1			
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	ZIEHL, EMILY	1			
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	KORB , ASHLEY	1			
						WELLER, KAREN	1			
				1008035C - 14/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	LESTER, CATHERINE	1			
		008 Tot					11			
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BENCH , KRISTY	1			
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CLIFTON , CATHERINE	1			
						LESTER, CATHERINE	1			
		009 Total								
		010	Music	1010028C - 13/003	MUSICTIP 2B	RICHARDSON, PAMELA	1			
		010	Wusie	10100200 13/003		Menaleson, Famela				
		010 Tot	al				1			
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PEREZ, JENNIFER	1			
			5	1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PEREZ, JENNIFER	1			
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PEREZ, JENNIFER	1			
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PEREZ, JENNIFER	1			
				l ·						
		100 Tot	al				4			
		400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	AUSTIN , LISA	1			
						MCGEE, KRIS	1			
						ZENGOTITA , SHELLY	1			
		400 Tot	al		I		3			
					Integrating 21st Century Tool & Teaching					
		408	Instructional Strategies	3408099C - 13/002	Strategies into the Classroom	ENOS, ELYSE	1			

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded			l				
Facility Name	Graded/Not Graded	Focus Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
NEW HEIGHTS ELEMENTA	Graded	408 Total					1
		417	Program Administration, Evaluation, Accountability	4417001C - 14/005	Data Warehouse General Access Training	AUSTIN , LISA	1
		417 Total					1
		507	Leadership Skills/Communication/Critical Thinking	7507078N - 13/007	COD II TRIO	HAZELLIEF , MICHELLE	1
		507 Total				· · · ·	1
		508	Management Information Services	8508044C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS WALK-IN SCHEDULING	ROBERSON , KHALILAH	1
		508 Total 700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/001	ESOL Essentials	SMITH, ESTHER	1
		700 Total	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/004	ESOL TESTING 60	COLE, SUZANNE	1
		701 Total 702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702002C - 13/003	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	MCHUGH , JOELLA	1
			•	17020020 - 137003		MCHOGH, JOELLA	
		702 Total 703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/003 1703001C - 13/004	ESOL CURRICULUM ESOL CURRICULUM	MCHUGH , JOELLA HINES , ANDREA	1 1 1
		703 Total	-		-		2
		705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication & Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/001	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	COLE , SUZANNE WELLER , KAREN	1
		705 Total					2
	Graded Total	-		I			34
Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School Showcase	PETERSON , JEANNETTE	1	
						STEWART , AMY TRULUCK , MARGARET	1
		003 Total					3

			Sum	nmer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I						
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota
NEW HEIGHTS ELEMENTA	Not Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	BENCH, KRISTY	
				1008035C - 14/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	DAVE, FRANCESCA	
				1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	HINES , ANDREA	
						MCGEE, KRIS	
						WILCOXEN, LORENE	
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	BARWICK, DHARVETTE	
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	ANDERSON , THEODORE	
					BARWICK, DHARVETTE		
						LACOMBE, JEANNE	
						MILLER , SARAH	
						PETERSON , JEANNETTE	
	008 Tot	al				1	
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	DAVE , FRANCESCA	
		005		1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	TRULUCK , MARGARET	
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	DAVE , FRANCESCA	
			1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ZIEHL, EMILY		
			1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	CHANCE , BRITTANY		
						MATTHIESSEN , MICHAYLA	
						MCGEE , KRIS	
						WILCOXEN, LORENE	
						ZENGOTITA , SHELLY	
		009 Tot	2				
		010	Music	1010037C - 14/001	PERFORMING ARTS WKSHP 6	RICHARDSON , PAMELA	
		-		10100370 11,001			
		010 Tot 100	al Instructional Strategies	1100014C - 14/001	AUTISM SUMMER INSTITUTE	ROUISSE , LINDA	
				1100014C - 14/002	AUTISM SUMMER INSTITUTE	ROUISSE , LINDA	
				2100226C - 14/001	A Picture Exchange Communication Syste		
				21002200 - 14/001	A Picture Exchange Communication System		
		100 Tot 103	al Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103002C - 14/003	MATRIX OF SERVICES TRAINING	HUMESKY , NATALIE	
		103 Tot 400	Academic Interventions	2400015C - 14/001	AVID STRATEGIES EXTERNAL 30	MATTHIESSEN , MICHAYLA	
		400		2400013C - 14/001	AND STIMILOILS LATERINAL SU	IMATTILISSEN, MICHATLA	
		400 Tot					
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	KORB , ASHLEY	

			Summe	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded							
Gra	ided/Not	Focus					
Facility Name Gra	ided	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
					Classroom Management for High Structure		
NEW HEIGHTS ELEMENTA Not	t Graded	403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403122C - 14/001	Elementary Classrooms	SATCHFIELD, JULIE	1
		402 Tatal					2
		403 Total			1	[2
			Classroom Management &				
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute		1
		-0-		5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	KAWAJA , AARON	1
				8404058C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute- 6 hour	KAWAJA , AARON	1
		404 Total					3
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	8406028C - 13/014	Clinical Educator 6 - Refresher	GUYETTE , LISA	1
		406 Total					1
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	KAWAJA , AARON	1
				3408073C - 14/001	DIGITAL STORY TELLING	HAZELLIEF , MICHELLE	1
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	GUYETTE , LISA	1
		400 Total					2
		408 Total			1		3
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	DEEGAN , KAYJEAN	1
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	14110020 - 157001			1
		411 Total					1
			Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	HARVEY , CHRISTINA	1
					· · ·		
		415 Total					1
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	AUSTIN , LISA	1
						STEWART , AMY	1
		417 Total			1		2
			Landarskie Chille (Carter da de la de l				
		507	Leadership Skills/Communication/Critical	7507079N 12/009	COD II TRIO		4
		507	Thinking	7507078N - 13/008		BORBON-MUNOZ, MARIA	1
		507 Total					1
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	BENCH , KRISTY	1

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded						-	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
NEW HEIGHTS ELEMENTA	Not Graded	508 Tota	al				1
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	GUYETTE , LISA	1
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	GUYETTE , LISA	1
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	GUYETTE , LISA	1
		512 Tota	al				3
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	HAZELLIEF , MICHELLE	1
						WELLER, KAREN	1
		703 Tota	al				2
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION		
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	HAZELLIEF , MICHELLE	1
		705 Tota	al				1
	Not Graded						
	Total						50
NEW HEIGHTS ELEMENTA Tota	1						84

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes					
Count of Student Graded										
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
NORTH SHORE ELEMENTA	Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/004	Core Connections Literacy Training	LEONE , ANAYANSI				
				1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	BAGGETT , CINDY				
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	BROMMELSICK , MICHELLE				
				1008035C - 13/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	ALBURY, GARTH				
				1008035C - 14/011	Core Connections Literacy Training	WRIGHT, JANET				
		008 Total								
		008 100	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	WRIGHT , JANET				
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	LEONE , ANAYANSI				
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	ALBURY , GARTH				
				10090780 - 13/001		COCHRAN, SANDRA				
I						MCLEAN , MARY				
						PENDERGRASS , AMY				
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BAYNARD, SALLY				
		-		10090780 - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BATNARD, SALLY				
		009 Tot	al							
	013	Reading	1013043C - 13/002	LM-B: Seeing Stars	PENDERGRASS, AMY					
			1013044C - 13/002	LM-B: Visualizing and Verbalizing	PENDERGRASS , AMY					
				1013047C - 13/001	LM-B:IIntroduction to School Services	PENDERGRASS, AMY				
		013 Total								
		013 100	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	POPICK , ARLYNE				
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	POPICK , ARLYNE				
		016 Tot	-al							
		010100								
					Integrating 21st Century Tool & Teaching					
		408	Instructional Strategies	3408099C - 13/002	Strategies into the Classroom	JEFFERS , JILL				
		408 Tot	al							
			Program Administration, Evaluation,							
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/007	Data Warehouse General Access Training	DAWSON, WILHEMINA				
		417 Tot		75420650 42/004						
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	DAWSON, WILHEMINA				
		512 Tot	al							
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/004	ESOL TESTING 60	LEONE , ANAYANSI				
		701 Tot	al							

			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded			1				
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
		705	ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &	17050040 40/004			
NORTH SHORE ELEMENTA	Graded	705	Understanding ERT	1705001C - 13/001	ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION	MIRITELLO, NANCY	1
		705 Tota					1
	Graded Total	703 1018	I				22
	Not Graded	000	Art	1000050C - 14/001	Visual Arts Common Core Literacy 12	SZAKACS, DEENA	1
	Not Graded	000		10000300 - 14/001	Visual Arts common core Eneracy 12	SZARACS, DELINA	
		000 Tota	l				1
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	DAWSON , WILHEMINA	1
				1008035C - 13/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	WARNER , ASHLEY	1
				1008035C - 14/016	Core Connections Literacy Training	POPICK , ARLYNE	1
				1008035C - 14/018	Core Connections Literacy Training	COOL , CHRISTI	1
						MCDONALD , STEPHANIE	1
						MCLEAN , MARY	1
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	POPICK, ARLYNE	1
				1008035C - 14/021	Core Connections Literacy Training	PIRONE , KAREN	1
		008 Tota				1	8
		009	Mathematics	1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	MCDONALD, STEPHANIE	1
		009 Tota		10150500 101000			1
		015	Science	1015050C - 13/029	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	HEYNE, PAUL	1
				40450500 40/000		MCDONALD, STEPHANIE	1
				1015050C - 13/030	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	HEYNE, PAUL	1
						MCDONALD, STEPHANIE	1
		015 Tota	I				4
		015 1018	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	DAWSON , WILHEMINA	4
		010		10100/10 13/001	Diversity and character institute	SZAKACS , DEENA	1
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	HOUSTON , KERRI	1
				10100/10 10,001		SZAKACS , DEENA	- 1
				ļ			
		016 Tota	I				4
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100014C - 14/001	AUTISM SUMMER INSTITUTE	JEFFERS , JILL	1
		1				WARNER , ASHLEY	1
		1		2100226C - 14/001	A Picture Exchange Communication System	JEFFERS, JILL	1
						WARNER , ASHLEY	1

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded						-	
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
NORTH SHORE ELEMENTA	Not Graded	100 Tota	l				4
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
		408	Instructional Strategies	7408011C - 13/001	Goals	DAWSON , WILHEMINA	1
				l ·			
		408 Tota	I				1
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	LORAND , MARJORIE	1
		41E Toto	1				1
		415 1018					1
		117		14170020 - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training		1
		417	Accountability	14170020 - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	-	1
		417 Tota	I				2
		508	Management Information Services	8508042C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 1	ROBINSON , KATHLEEN	1
				8508044C - 14/001		ROBINSON , KATHLEEN	1
				8508045C - 14/001		ROBINSON , KATHLEEN	1
				8508047C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Discipline	ROBINSON , KATHLEEN	1
		Area ded 100 Tot 408 408 Tot 415 415 Tot 417 417 Tot 508 508 Tot 512 Tot 512 Tot	l				4
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	DAWSON, WILHEMINA	1
						LORAND , MARJORIE	1
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	HALEY, HARRIET	1
						LORAND , MARJORIE	1
						MIRITELLO, NANCY	1
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	DAWSON , WILHEMINA	1
						HALEY, HARRIET	1
						LORAND , MARJORIE	1
		542 Tata					
	Graded Focus Focus Area Description Class ID Class Name Student Name TA 100 Total 100 Total Action Plans and Strategies to Support DAWSON, WILHEMINA 408 Instructional Strategies 7408011C - 13/001 Goals DAWSON, WILHEMINA 408 Total 408 Instructional Strategies 7408011C - 13/001 Goals DAWSON, WILHEMINA 408 Total 415 Problem Solving Teams 7415022C - 14/001 MTSS/Rtl Data Camp LORAND, MARJORIE 415 Total 417 Accountability 1417002C - 14/001 Back to School Leadership Training DAWSON, WILHEMINA 417 Accountability 1417002C - 14/001 FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) - Part 1 ROBINSON, KATHLEEN 508 Management Information Services 8508042C - 14/001 FOCUS/SIS Markinistrative Attendance ROBINSON, KATHLEEN 508 Total Stodol Improvement 8508042C - 14/001 FOCUS/SIS Markinistrative Attendance ROBINSON, KATHLEEN 512 School Improvement 8508047C - 14/001 FOCUS/SIS Markinistrative Attendance ROBINSON, MARJORIE </td <td></td> <td>8</td>		8				
							20
NORTH SHORE ELEMENTA Total	iotai						38 60
INON IN SHOKE ELEIVIENTA TOTAL							00

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes				
Count of Student Graded	L.	1							
	Graded/Not	Focus							
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota		
INELLAS PARK ELEMEN	Graded	800	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/002	Core Connections Literacy Training	MERCHANT, VICKIE			
				1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	DUARTE , ROBERT			
						FOWLES , ANNE			
				1008035C - 14/007	Core Connections Literacy Training	AMMONS , ANGELA			
						DAZZO , ROSA			
				1008035C - 14/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	DELANEY, MICHELLE			
						GOLBOM , DEBORAH			
					HART, BARBARA				
					JOHNSON , LAURA				
						LEO , MARIEL			
						OLDANI, JEANNINE			
						ROBINSON , MARY			
						ROEMER, FRED			
				1008035C - 14/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	SOUTH-TORRES , KIMBERLI			
				- !	· · · · ·				
		008 Tota	al						
		009 Mathematics 10090	1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	DUARTE, ROBERT				
						HEARN, STEPHEN			
						KELLEY, JENNIFER			
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	DELANEY, MICHELLE			
						LEO , MARIEL			
						OSWALD , MAGEN			
						ROBINSON , MARY			
				·	·				
		009 Tota 407	al Instructional Media Services	3407069C - 14/001	Destinu	EDWARDS , KATHERINE			
		407	Instructional Media Services	34070690 - 14/001	Destiny	EDWARDS, KATHERINE			
		407 Tota							
		407 100	Program Administration, Evaluation,		1				
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/001	Data Warehouse General Access Training	FREEMAN , LISA			
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/001	Data Warehouse General Access Training	FREEWIAN, LISA			
		417 Tota	al						
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	TORRES, ROMANA			
				8508042C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 1	TORRES , ROMANA			
				8508043C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 2	TORRES , ROMANA			
		508 Tota	al						
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	HEUMAN , ANGELA			
			Summ	er 2013 Pro E	d Classes				
-------------------------	----------------------	-----------	---------------------------------------	-------------------	--	----------------------------------	-------	--	--
Count of Student Graded									
	Graded/Not Graded	Focus	Forus Area Description			Ctudent Neme	Total		
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name Differentiated Accountability Regional	Student Name	Total		
PINELLAS PARK ELEMEN	Graded	512	School Improvement	7512068C - 14/001	Summer Academies	LEO , MARIEL	1		
	Graded	512	School improvement	/3120080 - 14/001	Summer Academies	MORGAN , DANIEL	1		
						PROCIDA , JOAN	1		
						TROODA, JOAN			
		512 Tota	al				4		
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702002C - 13/005	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	GOLBOM , DEBORAH	1		
					·				
		702 Tota	al				1		
	Graded Total						31		
	Not Graded	000	Art	1000049C - 14/001	Digital Arts Lab 24	BEAVERSON, JACLYN	1		
		000 Tota					1		
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	BEAUREGARD , KATHLEEN	1		
				1008035C - 14/016	Core Connections Literacy Training	LUPIANI, PATRICIA	1		
		008 Tota	al				2		
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	DUARTE , ROBERT	1		
		005	mathematics	1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	KALLAS , VASILIKI	1		
				1009079C - 14/001	6-8 Summer Mathematics Institute	DELANEY, MICHELLE	1		
		009 Total							
		015	Science	1015050C - 13/030	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	ROBINSON , MARY	1		
				1015050C - 14/000	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	OLDANI, JEANNINE	1		
		015 Tota		T	1		2		
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	PITMAN , AMBER	1		
		403 Tota	al				1		
			Classroom Management &	54040740 44/004					
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	DUARTE, ROBERT	1		
						GOLBOM , DEBORAH	1		
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	OSWALD , MAGEN OSWALD , MAGEN	1		
				84040570 - 14/001		PROCIDA , JOAN	1		
				ļ	1	FROCIDA, JOAN			
		404 Tota	al				5		
		406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	8406027C - 13/004	Clinical Educator 18	HART, BARBARA	1		

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes					
Count of Student Graded	ł									
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota			
PINELLAS PARK ELEMEN	Not Graded	406	Human Relations/Communications Skills	8406028C - 13/014	Clinical Educator 6 - Refresher	LEO , MARIEL				
		406 Tota								
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001 New Teacher Institute Week 2	New Teacher Institute Week 2	DUARTE, ROBERT				
						OSWALD , MAGEN				
				3408091C - 14/001	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	LECKEY, JAMIE				
				7408011C - 13/001	Action Plans and Strategies to Support Goals	HEUMAN , ANGELA				
				74080110 - 137001	Goals	PROCIDA , JOAN				
						FROCIDA, JOAN				
		408 Tota	al							
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	HUCKNALL, CLARISSA				
				,		PROCIDA, JOAN				
						ZULOAGA , MERCEDES				
				•	+					
		415 Total								
			Program Administration, Evaluation,							
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	FREEMAN , LISA				
						HEUMAN , ANGELA				
		417 Total								
		508	Management Information Services	8508042C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS Edit All Profile (DMT) Part 1	PROCIDA , JOAN				
		508	Management mormation Services	8508042C - 14/001 8508044C - 14/001	FOCUS/SIS WALK-IN SCHEDULING	TORRES , ROMANA				
			1	00000110 11,001						
		508 Tota								
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	HEUMAN, ANGELA				
				7512063C - 13/003	Vision, Mission, Values	PROCIDA , JOAN HEUMAN , ANGELA				
				7512005C - 15/005	vision, wission, values	PROCIDA , JOAN				
						PROCIDA, JOAN				
				7512064C - 13/003	Managing the School Improvement Plan	HEUMAN , ANGELA				
						PROCIDA , JOAN				
		512 Tota 700	al ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700010C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES Online 18	CALKINS , CRYSTAL				
		700		17000100 - 14/001		CALING, CHIJIAL				
		700 Tota	-	47040000 44/001						
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701002C - 14/001	ESOL TESTING ONLINE	CALKINS, CRYSTAL				

			Sum	nmer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes				
Count of Student Graded	•								
	Graded/Not	Focus							
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total		
PINELLAS PARK ELEMEN	Not Graded	701 Tota	vtal						
					ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS ONLINE				
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702001C - 14/001	60	CALKINS , CRYSTAL	1		
		702 Tota	al						
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	CALKINS, CRYSTAL	1		
		703 Tota	otal						
			ESOL - Content area ELL Training						
		704	(Category II, III, IV)	1704003C - 14/001	ESOL FOR COUNSELORS ONLINE 60	CALKINS, CRYSTAL	1		
		704 Tota	Total						
	Not Graded								
	Total						39		
PINELLAS PARK ELEMEN Total							70		

			S	ummer 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	1					ł					
	Graded/Not	Focus									
acility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota				
PONCE DE LEON ELEMEN	Graded	000	Art	1000051C - 14/001	Visual Arts Assement of Student Work	BRUCE , WENDY					
				,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		000 Tot	al								
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/003	Core Connections Literacy Training	SPARKMAN , AIMEE					
				1008035C - 14/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	HOFFMAN, MARILEE					
						RITCHIE, CHERYL					
		008 Tot 009	al Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CURLS, CYNTHIA					
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics institute	GLASMANN MCCONNEL, ANDREA					
							_				
						SCHMIDT , ELIZABETH WOODHAM , TONYA	-				
				1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	ANDERSON , ANITA					
				10090770 - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics institute	ANDERSON, ANITA ASTON, LINDA					
						HACKETT , LYNN					
						KAMENSKY, REGINA					
						WEINBERG , AMY					
				1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	HAMPSON , SHARON					
				10030780 - 137001	5-5 Summer Mathematics institute	MANNINO , JUSTIN					
						ROSSI , DONNA					
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	SANTORO , KATHLEEN					
				10050780 - 147001	5-5 Summer Mathematics institute	STEAGALL, KARISA					
					1	STEADALE, NAMISA					
		009 Tot	al								
		016	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	ASTON , LINDA					
						GRAHAM, MARGARET					
						HARRIS, MARY					
						MCGOWAN, PAULA					
						OLIVER , LISA					
						WEINBERG , AMY					
		016 Tot		11000466 44/001	Deet Dreations in Cifts of Education						
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100046C - 14/001	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , HEIDI	_				
				1100046C - 14/002	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , HEIDI	_				
				1100046C - 14/003	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , HEIDI	_				
				1100046C - 14/004	Best Practices in Gifted Education	PARKER , HEIDI	+-				
		100 Tot									

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes				
Count of Student Graded						l			
	Graded/Not	Focus							
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total		
					Creating Visual Literacy Projects on the				
PONCE DE LEON ELEMEN	Graded	407	Instructional Media Services	3407067C - 14/001	iPad	COLEMAN , DAWN	1		
		407 Tota					1		
			Program Administration, Evaluation,						
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/004	Data Warehouse General Access Training	SACCASYN , THEA	1		
		417 Total					1		
		508	Management Information Services	8508038C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Enhancements	STEAGALL , KARISA	1		
				0000000 1,001					
				8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	STEAGALL , KARISA	1		
				8508040C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Teacher Part 1	STEAGALL , KARISA	1		
		508 Total		•			3		
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700013C - 13/003	ESOL Essentials	ROSSI , DONNA	1		
		700		17000150 - 15/005					
		700 Total					1		
		701	ESOL- Testing & Evaluation	1701001C - 13/004	ESOL TESTING 60	STONE , HILLARY	1		
		701 Total							
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702002C - 13/004	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	BLASSER , TERI	1		
						GRAHAM , MARGARET	1		
				1702002C - 13/005	ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS	STEAGALL, KARISA	1		
		702 Total					3		
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703001C - 13/002	ESOL CURRICULUM	STONE , HILLARY	1		
			+	4	4				
		703 Tota					1		
	Graded Total						40		
					Microsoft in the Classroom, Back to School				
	Not Graded	003	Computer Scienct/Tech Ed	1003004C - 14/001	Showcase	STEAGALL, KARISA	1		
		003 Total					1		
		008	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/015	Core Connections Literacy Training	HAMPSON, SHARON	1		
						ROSSI , DONNA	1		
						SANTORO , KATHLEEN	1		
				1008035C - 14/019	Core Connections Literacy Training	STEAGALL , KARISA	1		
				1008035C - 14/020	Core Connections Literacy Training	RITCHIE , CHERYL	1		
		008 Total					5		

			Sumr	mer 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes					
Count of Student Graded	1	1				1				
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
PONCE DE LEON ELEMEN	Not Graded	009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	KINZINGER, CYNDA	1			
				1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	QUILLEN , AMY	1			
				1009078C - 13/001	1 3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BOSTICK , SADRA	1			
						LONG , WENDY				
						STEAGALL, KARISA				
			009 Total							
		010	Music	1010037C - 14/001	PERFORMING ARTS WKSHP 6	LEHMANN , LISA				
		010 Tot	al							
		015	Science	1015050C - 14/000	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	ROSSI, DONNA	1			
		015 Tot	al							
		015 100	Social Studies	1016037C - 13/005	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	STEAGALL, KARISA				
		010		1016037C - 13/006	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	STEAGALL, KARISA				
				1016037C - 14/003	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	STEAGALL, KARISA				
				1016037C - 14/004	CIVICS EDUCATION 6	STEAGALL, KARISA				
				1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	HACKETT , LYNN				
						QUILLEN , AMY				
						SACCASYN , THEA				
						VALLE , HERIBERTO	1			
				1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	DOWDEN , KIM	1			
						SACCASYN , THEA	1			
						VALLE , HERIBERTO	1			
						WEINBERG , AMY	1			
		016 Tot	al				12			
		100	Instructional Strategies	1100041C - 13/002	ESY CURRICULUM 6	NABLE, BETH	12			
		100	instructional strategies	11000410 13/002		NADLE, DETTI				
		100 Tot	al		1	T				
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103002C - 14/003	MATRIX OF SERVICES TRAINING	SARIC, BOJANA	1			
		103 Tot	al							
			Adult English for Speakers of Other							
		302	Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/002	Use of iPad with ELLs	RITCHIE, CHERYL				
		302 Tot	al							
			Classroom Management &							
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute	BRUCE, WENDY				

			Sumn	ner 2013 Pro E	Ed Classes		
Count of Student Graded							
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
PONCE DE LEON ELEMEN	Not Graded	404	Classroom Management &	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute	HOFFMAN , MARILEE	
						LEHMANN , LISA	
						ZAJAC , MICHELLE	1
					ER&D MANAGING ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIORS		
				5404054C - 14/001	18	DURLING , TERESA	:
				5404071C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute	MAJORS , ASHLEY	
						MICKEL, ASHLEY	
						STEAGALL, KARISA	
						TOENJES, CONSTANCE	
				8404057C - 14/001	The Highly Engaged Classroom	MAJORS , ASHLEY	
						MICKEL, ASHLEY	
						TOENJES, CONSTANCE	
						. · · ·	
		404 Tot	al				12
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	LEHMANN , LISA	
						MAJORS , ASHLEY	
						MICKEL, ASHLEY	
						STEAGALL , KARISA	
						TOENJES , CONSTANCE	
				3408081C - 14/001	ELLUMINATE ONLINE	DURLING , TERESA	
				3408091C - 14/001	SMART BOARD ONLINE 18	STEAGALL , KARISA	
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support		
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	ASTON , LINDA	:
						BARNUM , JODY	1
						KAMENSKY , REGINA	1
						LEWICKE, JESSICA	1
						SACCASYN , THEA	
						SANTORO , KATHLEEN	-
						ZAJAC , MICHELLE	1
				1		•	
		408 Tot	al				14
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	SACCASYN , THEA	1
						·	
		417 Tot				I	
		508	Management Information Services	8508041C - 14/001	Focus/SIS Teacher Part 2	STEAGALL, KARISA	
		508 Tot	al				
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	ASTON , LINDA	
		1 -	- p			COLSON, DEBRA	

			Summ	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded						I				
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
PONCE DE LEON ELEMEN	Not Graded	512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	KAMENSKY, REGINA	1			
						LEWICKE, JESSICA	1			
						SACCASYN , THEA	1			
						SANTORO, KATHLEEN	1			
				7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	COLSON, DEBRA	1			
		512 Total								
		700	ESOL - Methods of Teaching	1700008C - 14/001	ESOL STRATEGIES 6	ANDERSON , ANITA	7			
				170000000 147001		RITCHIE, CHERYL	1			
				1700012C - 14/001	ESOL Essentials ONLINE	JOHNSON , ANQUANITA	1			
				,						
		700 Total								
					ESOL APPLIED LINGUISTICS ONLINE					
		702	ESOL - Applied Linguistics	1702001C - 14/001	60	JOHNSON , ANQUANITA	1			
					•	•				
		702 Total								
		703	ESOL - Curriculum & Materials	1703003C - 14/001	ESOL CURRICULUM ONLINE	JOHNSON, ANQUANITA	1			
						NABLE, BETH	1			
		703 Tot	al			T	2			
			ESOL - Cross-Cultural Communication &		ESOL CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION					
		705	Understanding ERT	1705002C - 14/001	ONLINE	JOHNSON , ANQUANITA	1			
		705		17050020 - 14/001	ONLINE	Johnson, Angoanna				
		705 Tot	al				1			
	Not Graded									
	Total						70			
PONCE DE LEON ELEMEN Tota	1						110			

Count of Student Graded Facility Name GANDY LANE ELEMENTAR	Graded/Not	1							
	-								
	Consideration of the second se	Focus							
ANDY LANE ELEMENTAR	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Tota		
	Graded	800	Language Arts	1008035C - 13/006	Core Connections Literacy Training	HARKINS, ANNE			
				1008035C - 13/008	Core Connections Literacy Training	BRINK, SARAH			
				1008035C - 13/009	Core Connections Literacy Training	BUBOLO, BARBARA			
						DUNCAN-DAY, LYNN			
						GUEVARA , MA HELEN			
						MAHONEY, MARY			
						MULLINS, JENNIFER			
						THORINGTON, DEIDRE			
				1008035C - 13/012	Core Connections Literacy Training	HARKINS, ANNE			
						KARLESKY, SARAH			
		1		1008035C - 13/013	Core Connections Literacy Training	BRINK , SARAH			
						GUEVARA , MA HELEN			
						MAHONEY, MARY			
				1008035C - 14/010	Core Connections Literacy Training	NEWTON, LESLIE			
		008 Tota	al						
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 13/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	MAHONEY, MARY			
						WARNER, JANINE			
					1009077C - 14/001	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	CULVERHOUSE , GRANT		
						1009078C - 13/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	KARLESKY, SARAH	
						MULLINS, JENNIFER			
		009 Total							
		403	Bahavioral Interventions	6403083C - 14/001	REPORTING CHILD ABUSE	HARKINS , ANNE			
		403 Tota	al						
			Classroom Management &		11 Principles of Character Education				
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	6404041C - 13/003	•				
		404	Organization/ Learning Environment	0404041C - 15/005	strategies	BRAUNSCHEIDEL, REANNA BUBOLO, BARBARA			
		1							
		1				CLIFTON , NICOLE			
		1				DEDERT, MELANIE			
						GUEVARA , MA HELEN			
						KINNEY, LISA			
		1				NEWTON , LESLIE			
						THORINGTON, DEIDRE			
						VELEY, AMANDA			
						VELEY, MICHAEL			
		404 Tota	-1						

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes		
Count of Student Graded	I						
	Graded/Not	Focus					
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total
SANDY LANE ELEMENTAR	Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	3408099C - 13/002	Integrating 21st Century Tool & Teaching Strategies into the Classroom	HARKINS , ANNE	1
		408 Tota	l		-		1
		411	Learning Styles, Student Differences	1411002C - 13/001	USFSP Teacher Leaders Institute	CHANDLER , CHARISSA	1
		411 Tota	I				1
			Program Administration, Evaluation,				
		417	Accountability	4417001C - 14/007	Data Warehouse General Access Training	DEDERT, MELANIE	1
		417 Tota	I				1
		512	School Improvement	7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	CHANDLER , CHARISSA	1
						KARLESKY , SARAH	1
						LARKIN, KEVIN	1
		512 Tota					3
	Graded Total	512 1018	I				36
	Not Graded	008	Language Arts	1008035C - 14/017	Core Connections Literacy Training	REYNOLDS , MARION	1
		008 Tota		10000000 11,01			1
		009	Mathematics	1009077C - 14/002	K-2 Summer Mathematics Institute	BAKER, KAREN	1
		005		10050770 117002		CLARK, TANYA	1
						HEALY, NICOLE	1
						NEWTON, LESLIE	1
						PEARSON , PAMELA	1
						REYNOLDS, MARION	1
						WENZEL, BARBARA	1
				1009078C - 14/001	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	CLIFTON , NICOLE	1
				1009078C - 14/002	3-5 Summer Mathematics Institute	BUBOLO, BARBARA	1
						HARKINS , ANNE	1
						MERCADO , JUSTIN	1
						STECKIS , TARA	1
						THORINGTON , DEIDRE	1
		009 Tota					13
		010	Music	1010028C - 13/004	MUSICTIP 2B	ROBINSON, JANAE	13
				1010037C - 14/001	PERFORMING ARTS WKSHP 6	ROBINSON , JANAE	1

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes					
Count of Student Graded	I					1				
	Graded/Not	Focus								
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total			
SANDY LANE ELEMENTAR	Not Graded	010 Tota		40450500 44/000			2			
		015	Science	1015050C - 14/000	STANDARDS BASED SCIENCE 3	THORINGTON, DEIDRE	1			
		015 Tota	51				1			
		015 100	Social Studies	1016071C - 13/001	Diversity and Character Institute	DYER, TERRI	1			
		010		1016071C - 13/002	Diversity and Character Institute	DYER, TERRI	1			
				10100/10 10/001						
		016 Total								
					ESE Policies and Procedures/Handbook					
		103	Procedural/Legal Requirements	7103013C - 14/002	Training	NEWTON , LESLIE	1			
				7103014C - 14/002	Developing Quality Measurable IEPs	HARKINS, ANNE	1			
		103 Tota					2			
			Adult English for Speakers of Other							
		302	Languages (ESOL)	3302002C - 14/001	Use of iPad with ELLs	GUEVARA , MA HELEN	1			
				3302002C - 14/002	Use of iPad with ELLs	GUEVARA , MA HELEN	1			
		302 Tota			1	1	2			
			Classroom Managament 8							
		404	Classroom Management & Organization/Learning Environment	2404023C - 13/001	Train the Trainer for New Teacher Institute		1			
		404	Organization/Learning Environment	24040230 - 13/001	11 Principles of Character Education	KARLESKT, SARAH	1			
				6404041C - 13/003	strategies	BENNETT , MARCIA	1			
				04040410 13/003	struces	BLACKHAM , SHERRY	1			
						BUEHLER , SANDRA	1			
						ESPOSITO , CARRIE	1			
						HOULLIS , CYNTHIA	1			
						NADIG , MARIE	1			
		404 Tota	al				7			
		408	Instructional Strategies	2408113C - 14/001	New Teacher Institute Week 2	LORENZ , CHRISTINE	1			
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support					
				7408011C - 13/001	Goals	BENNETT, MARCIA	1			
						CLIFTON , NICOLE	1			
						DEDERT , MELANIE	1			
						DYER, TERRI	1			
		1				KINNEY, LISA	1			
						VELEY , MICHAEL	1			

			Sumr	ner 2013 Pro E	d Classes						
Count of Student Graded	•										
	Graded/Not	Focus									
Facility Name	Graded	Area	Focus Area Description	Class ID	Class Name	Student Name	Total				
					Action Plans and Strategies to Support						
SANDY LANE ELEMENTAR	Not Graded	408	Instructional Strategies	7408011C - 14/002	Goals	CHANDLER, CHARISSA	1				
		408 Tota	408 Total								
		415	Problem Solving Teams	7415022C - 14/001	MTSS/RtI Data Camp	BENNETT, MARCIA	8				
		-				, -					
		415 Tota	15 Total								
			Program Administration, Evaluation,								
		417	Accountability	1417002C - 14/001	Back to School Leadership Training	CLIFTON , NICOLE	1				
						DEDERT, MELANIE	1				
				4417001C - 14/007	Data Warehouse General Access Training	CLIFTON, NICOLE	1				
		417 Tota	al			1	3				
		508	Management Information Convices	85080200 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview		1				
		508	Management Information Services	8508039C - 14/001	Focus/SIS for ALL Users An Overview	HARKINS, ANNE	1				
		508 Tota									
		512	School Improvement	4512016C - 13/001	Goal Setting,	BENNETT , MARCIA	1				
				.0120100 10,001		CLIFTON , NICOLE	1				
						DEDERT, MELANIE	1				
						DYER, TERRI	1				
						VELEY, MICHAEL	1				
				4512016C - 14/002	Goal Setting,	CHANDLER , CHARISSA	1				
				7512065C - 13/001	CCSS Summer Institute	VELEY, MICHAEL	1				
				7512065C - 13/002	CCSS Summer Institute	LARKIN, KEVIN	1				
		512 Tota	al				8				
	Not Graded										
-	Total						51				
SANDY LANE ELEMENTAR Tota							87				
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i											

Professional Development

Systematically support the continual and incremental growth of all PCS staff in order to positively affect student achievement

Explicit Slice PD Teachers per IPDP

Provided by the District Elementary ELA department, teachers may receive additional PD aligned to best practices, differentiation and data analysis.

Literacy Coaches All literacy coaches across district

Receive targeted, in depth PD from Core Connections to build their capacity to model, collaborate, and embed PD in their daily work with teachers at the classroom, school and district level

LLC Principal, 4 teachers, coaches

Receive ELA PD from Core Connections from a large perspective with the purpose to examine school need and facilitate CCSS ELA implementation at school level

Highest Student Achievement

Elementary ELA Common Core Implementation Professional Learning Plan

Moving from theory to practice...collectively

PLC's All school Personnel

Collaborate to develop lesson plans, examine student work and engage in inquiry, lesson study and on going PD based upon training from Core Connections in conjunction with student data.

LLT AP, 6 teachers, one from each grade K-5

Receive ELA PD from Core Connections from the perspective of being literacy leaders in the school. Communicate vertically and horizontally with other schools/grade levels. Create exemplar lessons aligned to PCS modules to build a district bank, and work in tandem with LLC to facilitate CCSS ELA implementation at the school and individual grade levels.

Florida LIIS Minimum Standards

4.0 Facilitator Profile - Lisa Grant					Updated as of 2/26/12		
The system will include district staff information combined with the ability to create and manage professional development offerings and plans							
Item	Requirement Description	Contact	System Name	2012 District Response	Current Response		
.1 Staff In	formation						
1.1	Ability to store demographic information consistent with state data reporting requirements.	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
.1.2	Ability to store staff information including, but not limited to:	_	1				
	a. school assignment	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. position type	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	c. job classification	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	d. degrees	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	e. certification, including renewal data	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	f. mentoring program activity	Lisa Grant	TERMS	Implementation in Progress	Standard Met		
	g. leadership activities	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	No Formal Plan			
	h. performance history	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
	i. supervision and evaluation history	Lisa Grant	TERMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met		
			TERMS/Performance				
	j. compensation structure	Carmen Kizer	Matters ADMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met		
4.2 Proficie	ncies						
4.2.1	Ability to store and link staff proficiencies by:						
+.2.1	a. school level (i.e. pre-k, elementary, middle, high school)	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. individual staff (i.e. instructional, leadership, support)	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	c. job classification	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
		Lisa Grant	Performance Matters		Standard Wict		
	d. grade level	Lisa Grant	ADMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met		
		Lisa Grant	Performance Matters	Formal Flait, NO Action Fet	Stanuaru wiet		
	e. subject area	Lica Crant	ADMS	Formal Plan No Action Vot	Standard Mot		
	f group of shaff () o known langer shudy groups department)	Lisa Grant Lisa Grant		Formal Plan, No Action Yet Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met		
	f. group of staff (i.e. teams, lesson study groups, department)		MoodleLMS per NB	,			
	g. certification/licensure	Loretta Miller	TERMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
	h. professional development program	Lisa Grant	Course Code Directory	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
	i. course description/course catalog		Course Code Directory/		C		
		Lisa Grant	Performance Matters	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	j. benchmarks		Performance Matters		C		
		Lisa Grant	ADMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met		
	k. curriculum		Performance Matters				
		Lisa Grant	ADMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met		
	I. instructional materials and/or resources	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
	m. assessment strategies	Lisa Grant		No Formal Plan			
	n. student needs	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
4.2.2	Ability to categorize staff proficiencies according to:		l	L	1		
	a. skills	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Implementation in Progress			
	b. knowledge	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Implementation in Progress			
	c. behavioral characteristics (i.e. effective oral and/or written communication, strong interpersonal skills)						
		Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Implementation in Progress			
4.2.3	Ability to edit or create new professional development proficiencies.		MoodleLMS per NB	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
4.3 Profess	ional Development Plans						
4.3.1	Ability to create and update professional development plans including, but not limited to:						
	a. proficiencies required		MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. proficiencies mastered, including acquisition date		MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	c. description of how proficiencies will be obtained		MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
4.3.2	Ability to store and view information from professional development offerings taken by a teacher including, but				·		
	not limited to:						
		Line Count	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	a, offering name	Lisa Grant					
	a. offering name b. offering dates	Lisa Grant Lisa Grant					
	a. offering name b. offering dates c. offering description	Lisa Grant Lisa Grant Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS MoodleLMS	Standard Met Standard Met	Standard Met Standard Met		

Florida LIIS Minimum Standards

4.0 Faci	litator Profile - Lisa Grant				Updated as of 2/26/1			
The system will include district staff information combined with the ability to create and manage professional development offerings and plans								
Item	Requirement Description	Contact	System Name	2012 District Response	Current Respons			
	e. delivery type	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	f. course proficiencies provided	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	g. course proficiencies obtained	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	h. link to job/regulation certifications	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	i. performance objectives	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	j. flag if professional development offering taken for in-service and/or certificate renewal	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	k. professional development credit earned internal and external to school district	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
.3.3	Ability to track mandatory training components including, but not limited to:		moodicemo					
0.0	a. completion of built-in assessments	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	b. user participation	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	c. successful completion of the training	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
4 Establis	shing Professional Development Offerings	1			1			
4.1	Ability to create and utilize a template for developing a professional development offering including, but not							
4.1	limited to:							
	a. rationale and purpose for the proposed professional development offering	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	b. list of the proficiencies embedded in the proposed professional development offering	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	c. a rubric for assessing mastery of each of the associated proficiencies	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Standard Met			
	d. a link to specific curricular activities, assessments, instructional activities, instructional materials and/or	Lisa Grant	WIOOdicEWIJ					
	resources for each of the associated proficiencies	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	e. brief description of the assessment strategies to be used including, but not limited to:	Lisa Grant	INIOOUIELINIS	Standard Met	Standard Wet			
	i. project	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	ii. test	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	iii. observation	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	iv. a combination of project, test, and/or observation	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	f. type of instructional delivery method including, but not limited to:	Lisa Grant	INIOOUIELINIS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	i. classroom	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	ii. virtual/distance learning	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	iii. web-based	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	iv. audio conferencing	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	v. independent study kit	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	vi. project-based program	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	vii. coaching models of train the trainer, coach-mentor	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	viii. lesson studies groups, professional learning communities, and collaboration by teachers	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	g. type of physical and/or digital learning environment required	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	h. duration	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	i. related prerequisites and/or learner assumptions	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	j. state standards for professional development	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	k. evaluations	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	I. employee classification	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	m. subject area	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	n. grade level	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	o. student needs	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	p. indicator if training is mandatory	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	q. course listing which includes an overview of the class with objectives	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
4.2	Ability to create professional development program evaluations including, but not limited to these question		IN OURCENIS		Standard Wet			
4.2								
	types: a. single line of text	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	b. multiple lines of text	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
	c. multiple lines of text	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met			
				DIGHUGIU WEL	isidhudru Met			

Florida LIIS Minimum Standards

4.0 Faci	litator Profile - Lisa Grant				Updated as of 2/26/1		
The system will include district staff information combined with the ability to create and manage professional development offerings and plans							
ltem	Requirement Description	Contact	System Name	2012 District Response	Current Respons		
	e. project rubric	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
4.3	Ability to create and update preapproved professional development instructors.	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
4.4	Ability to create and update preapproved professional development locations.	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	ng/Scheduling Professional Development Offerings	1			1		
.5.1	Ability to create an electronic catalog of professional development offerings.	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
.5.2	Ability to search and view professional development offerings on a variety of parameters including, but not		INIOGAICEINIS	Standard Met	Standard Wet		
	limited to:						
	a. key words	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. timeframe	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	c. proficiencies	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	d. employee classification	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	e. subject area	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	f. grade level	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
		Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	g. student needs						
	h. school type	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	i. school level	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	j. AYP status	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet	Ci. 1. 1.1.1.		
	k. school	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	I. credit type	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	m. location	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	n. benchmarks	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
	o. certificate categories	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
5.3	Ability to search and view professional development offerings whether offered by the school district or other						
	organizations.	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
5.4	Ability to schedule professional development offerings including, but not limited to:						
	a. scheduling of multiple sessions of the same activity	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. assignment of preapproved locations	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	c. assignment of preapproved instructors	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	d. setting registration as open enrollment or pre-approval	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		
5.5	Ability to make professional development evaluations anonymous or named.	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	No Formal Plan			
5.6	Ability for users to register online from any Internet, browser-based device including, but not limited to:						
	a. ability for users to register or seek approval to register for all courses online	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. ability for users to unregister from any course for which they have registered	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	c. ability for administrator to register another employee	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	d. ability to notify administrator if user unregisters for a class for which the administrator registered that						
	person	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	e. ability for users to change their password online	Lisa Grant		Standard Met	Standard Met		
	f. ability for users to recover their password via email	Lisa Grant		No Formal Plan			
	g. ability to block users from double-booking events	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	No Formal Plan			
5.7	Ability to manage session attendance and results including, but not limited to the ability to:			1			
	a. view roster of all registered participants	Lisa Grant	Reporting Services per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	b. print preformatted scannable sign-in sheet	Lisa Grant	Reporting Services per NB				
	c. add walk-in attendees	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	d. submit session attendance online	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	e. submit final assessment results	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
	f. assign mastery of proficiency levels	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Formal Plan, No Action Yet			
		Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS per NB	Standard Met	Standard Met		
1.5.8	g. submit seat time online Ability to collect evaluation results from a professional development offering.	Lisa Grant	MoodleLMS	Standard Met	Standard Met		

This flow chart explains the instructional coaching cycle for increased student achievement based upon Data, Administrator and Supervisor monitoring when working with an individual teacher.

